

Macroeconomics and the Rise of Market Power

Gauti B. Eggertsson

Brown University and NBER



BROWN

Two papers

- Diez, Fan and Villegas-Sanches: Global Competition
 - Firm level-dataset covering 300 million private and publicly listed companies from 28 countries.
 - Use the method proposed by Hall (1986) and De Locker and Warzynski (2012) and De Locker and Eeckhout (2018) using cost minimization to establish five stylized facts
 - F1: Competition down, markups up by 6% 2000-2015
 - F2: Driven by superstar firms
 - F3: Most evident in developed countries
 - F4: U shape of markups in the size of firms
 - F5: Driven by incumbents and reallocation to high markups firms

Two papers

- Salas, San Juan, Valles
- Look at data from France, Germany, Italy, Spain from 1995-2016
- Evaluate markups by looking at *profits share* and *inverse of labor share*. Find
 - Markups rising in US and Germany
 - Little evidence of this for France, Italy, Spain

Key Questions

- There have been some trends in broad economic aggregates that do call for some explanation.
- The rise in markups is one such explanation.
- If markups are not the explanation, what is?



Eggertsson, Robbins, Wold (2018)

“Kaldor and Piketty’s Facts: The Rise of Monopoly Power in the United States”


Five puzzles from perspective of neoclassical model

LOW GLOBAL INTEREST RATES

NOMINAL SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM RATES, 1990-2015




(P1) “Wealth is back”



Puzzle 1: Should be same in neoclassical model

-- should see cross-country differences depending on rise in markups


(P2) Tobin's Q



Puzzle 2: Should be 1 in long run in neoclassical model

-- should see cross country differences depending on rise in markups



Puzzle 3) Decrease in real interest rate while measured return on capital constant





Puzzle 3: GRR and r should be same in neoclassical model
 AND stable – one of Kaldor’s stylized facts
 -- are average returns systematically different cross countries?

(P4) A persistent decrease in labor and capital share....

Factor Shares 1980




Factor Shares 2014



Puzzle 4: Should be constant in neoclassical model – one of Kaldor's stylized facts

(5) Decrease in investment-to-output ratio, even given low borrowing costs and high Tobin's Q



Puzzle 5: Should be rising given P2 and P3

Summing up

(P1) $W/Y \gg$ despite low S and low K/Y .

(P2) High Tobin's $Q \gg 1$.

(P3) A decrease in r while measured return on capital constant.

(P4) A decrease in both the *labor share* and the *capital share*.

(P5) A decrease in I/Y despite low r and a high Q .

A natural explanation for P(1)-P(5) in the United States is a rise in markups, taking *the fall in interest rate as given*

Question -- Conclusion

- If the micro evidence for rising markup **does not** cooperate outside of Europe raises other question
- Do P1-P5 not arise in Europe?
- Clearly interest rate falling, yet no investment boom!
- Need an explanation that is **increasing** over time
 - Rise in “labor wedge” in S-Europe?
 - Tied to competitiveness (need to account for monetary factors)
 - Rise in risk premia?
 - Increasing financial frictions?
 - Unmeasured capital?