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## Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIIB</td>
<td>Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APA</td>
<td>Agency of Protected Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂-eq</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBRD</td>
<td>European Bank for Reconstruction and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIB</td>
<td>European Investment Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC</td>
<td>Engineering-Procurement-Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;S</td>
<td>Environmental &amp; Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESIA</td>
<td>Environmental &amp; Social Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMP</td>
<td>Environmental &amp; Social Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMS</td>
<td>Environmental &amp; Social Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE</td>
<td>Georgian State Electrosystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Gas Insulated Substation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoG</td>
<td>Government of Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPP</td>
<td>Hydropower project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Finance Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDB</td>
<td>Korean Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LALRP</td>
<td>Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mₘ₃</td>
<td>Million cubic meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>Non-Technical Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Probable Maximum Flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBM</td>
<td>Tunnel Boring Machine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Introduction

The proposed Nenskra Hydropower Project is a 280 Megawatt (MW) hydropower project (HPP) located in the upper reaches of the Nenskra and Nakra valleys in the North Western part of Georgia in the Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti Region (see Map 5-1 and Map 5-2). The Project uses the available discharges from the Nenskra River and the adjacent Nakra River, developing a maximum available head of 725 metres down to the powerhouse located approximately 17 kilometres downstream of the dam.

The Project is being developed by JSC Nenskra Hydro (JSCNH), whose main shareholders are K-water, a Korean government agency and Partnership Fund, an investment fund owned by the Government of Georgia.

In August 2015, the Nenskra Hydropower Project submitted the final Environmental & Social Impact Assessment Report (ESIA) to the Government of Georgia (GoG) as part of the national environmental permitting process. The 2015 ESIA report has been prepared by Gamma Consulting Limited (a Georgian consultant), based on field investigations undertaken in 2011 and 2014 and following the public consultations meetings held in May 2015. The Environmental Permit was awarded by the Environmental Authorities in October 2015.

Since then, several International Financial Institutions1 (the Lenders) have been approached to finance the Project. To ensure compliance with their Environmental and Social (E&S) policies, the Lenders have recommended that several Supplementary E&S Studies be undertaken to supplement the existing 2015 ESIA report. A first version of the Supplementary Environmental and Social Studies was publicly disclosed in February 2017.

The present document is the final Volume 1 “Non-Technical Summary” of the Supplementary Environmental and Social studies prepared and issued in 2017 after the public disclosure period held from March 2017 to September 2017. It summarises the findings of the 2015 ESIA and the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies (conducted during the period from September 2015 to September 2017):

- **Volume 1**: Non-Technical Summary (This document)
- **Volume 2**: Project Definition
- **Volume 3**: Social Impact Assessment
- **Volume 4**: Biodiversity Impact Assessment
- **Volume 5**: Hydrology and Water Quality Impact Assessment
- **Volume 6**: Natural Hazards and Dam Safety
- **Volume 7**: Stakeholder Engagement Plan
- **Volume 8**: Environmental & Social Management Plan
- **Volume 9**: Land Acquisition & Livelihood Restoration Plan
- **Volume 10**: Cumulative Impact Assessment

All documents are available online at the following address: <http://www.nenskrahydro.ge>.

---

1 In November 2017, the potential Lenders included the Asian Development Bank (ADB), the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the European Investment Bank (EIB), the Korean Development Bank (KDB), the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) and K-sure (Korea Trade Insurance Corporation).
In addition to the consultation activities that have already occurred, community members and other stakeholders can provide comments on the Project using the following contact detail:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Contact detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company:</td>
<td>JSC Nenskra Hydro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>6 Marjanishvili street, floor 4, Tbilisi, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:info@nenskrahydro.ge">info@nenskrahydro.ge</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nenskra.com">www.nenskra.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link to the website grievance mechanism: <a href="http://www.nenskrahydro.ge/en/texts/page/10">http://www.nenskrahydro.ge/en/texts/page/10</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>0 322 430 421 from Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ 995 322 430 421 from overseas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information on the Project and future engagement programmes is available on the project’s website (www.nenskra.com), and is posted on information boards in the villages in the Nenskra and Nakra valleys. Information can also be obtained from the Community Liaison Officers and at the Project’s information centre in Chuberi village. Grievance forms can be obtained at the Project's Public Information Centre in Chuberi village.
2 Description of the Project

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 2 - Project Definition” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

2.1 Need for the project

**Strategic project.** The existing Georgian power system is characterized by low demand and high generation in summer, and high demand and low generation in winter. From less than 1% in summer, thermal power’s share in total generation increases to 28% during winter when less water is available for hydropower schemes. Georgia imports power from neighbouring countries to meet this higher winter demand. The development of hydropower schemes with a regulation capacity is one of the governmental strategies to reduce this dependency on imported power during the winter period. Together with the proposed Khudoni HPP, the proposed Namakhvani HPPs cascade, and the proposed Oni HPPs Cascade, the Nenskra HPP is one of the four hydropower projects with large regulating capacities; hence it is of national importance.

**Annual production.** The Nenskra HPP will dispatch 100% of its produced electricity to the Georgian grid under a 36 year Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with ESCO (Electricity System Commercial Operator). The rationale of the Project is to guarantee energy during the winter season to meet higher domestic demand and promote exports by ESCO during the summer season. The Nenskra HPP will therefore be producing electricity throughout the year. The predicted average annual production is 1,196 GWh. The economic cost-benefit analysis of the Nenskra HPP publicly disclosed in 2017 shows that the Project is cost benefit justified. The negotiated tariff between the Government and the Project is lower than the price Georgia pays to import power in winter months from neighbours, including Russia.

**Preparation stages.** The Nenskra HPP has been designed in two phases. Between 2009 and 2012, the Pre-Feasibility Study, the Feasibility Study and the Initial Design were prepared by the engineering company Stucky. In 2015, the Engineering-Procurement-Construction (EPC) Contractor Salini Impregilo was contracted by JSC Nenskra Hydro to carry out the detail design of the Project’s components, procure the required works, equipment and services and execute the works until the start of the power production. The findings of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies were incorporated into the Project activities during this latter stage.

2.2 Project components

The Project components presented in this summary and further considered for the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies are based on the design approved by JSC Nenskra Hydro in December 2016. At the time of writing, no changes have been made by JSCNH to the project definition since December 2016. The Project’s key infrastructure locations are illustrated from [A] to [F] in the schematic on the next page.

A. Nenskra rockfill dam and Nakra water intake

**Nenskra dam.** The main project components comprise an asphalt face rock fill dam [A] on the upper Nenskra River. The Nenskra Dam will have a crest length of 870 metres and a height of 125 metres above the ground level, which will not exceed elevation 1,436 metres\(^2\). The total volume of the dam will be of 12.5 million cubic metres.

\[^2\text{All elevations are indicated as above the sea level in this document.}\]
On the right bank of the Nenskra River, immediately downstream of the dam site, a weir [B] will be built to divert the discharge of a small seasonal tributary into the Nenskra reservoir.

**Nenskra Reservoir.** The dam will create a live storage reservoir of about 176 million cubic meters and a reservoir area [C] at full supply level of 267 hectares. The Full Supply Level will be at elevation 1,430 metres and the Minimum Operating Level will be at elevation 1,340 metres.

**Main water stream.** The water stored in the Nenskra Reservoir will flow downstream of the dam through three structures, all located on the left bank of the Nenskra River: the power intake [D], the spillway [E] and the bottom outlet [F]. In normal operation, most of the water stream will flow through the power intake to supply the powerhouse through a 4.5 metre diameter and 15 kilometre long concrete lined headrace tunnel. During high flow periods, the excess water will be discharged downstream of the dam through the 850 metre tunnel spillway. The ungated spillway (52 metre weir length) has been designed to discharge the Probable Maximum Flood. During the construction period, and then for specific operational requirements, part of the water could flow through a 1,163 metre long bottom outlet. The bottom outlet would release water downstream of the dam foot, at the same location as the spillway tunnel outlet. At full supply level the discharge capacity of the fully opened bottom outlet will be limited to 200 m$^3$/s. The bottom outlet tunnel will also be used to release the mandatory ecological flow (0.9 m$^3$/s) downstream of the dam.

**Nakra diversion.** The Nenskra reservoir will not only be supplied by the Nenskra River but also by the Nakra River which flows in a valley parallel to the Nenskra valley. The objective of the Nakra diversion is to increase the annual power production of the Nenskra HPP. The Nakra water intake will be built across the Nakra River for that purpose. It will be made of a gated concrete weir at elevation 1,557 metre which will divert most of the Nakra river flow into the Nenskra reservoir through a 12 kilometre long gated transfer tunnel (45.5 m$^3$/s design capacity). The Nakra weir will be 46 m-wide and 9 metre-high. There will be a fish pass on the left bank. The Mandatory Ecological Flow (1.2 m$^3$/s) will be maintained, mostly through the fish pass. The Nakra Water intake will not generate a water storage reservoir; only a small water head pond of less than one hectare will be created by the weir.

**B. Powerhouse and transmission line**

**Powerhouse.** The Nenskra HPP will have a surface-type powerhouse located on the left bank of the Nenskra River about 17 kilometres from the power intake. The powerhouse will accommodate three vertical Pelton turbine units. Next to the powerhouse, there will also be the transformers and a Gas Insulated Substation (GIS) yard (i.e. enclosed in a building). The total installed capacity will be 47 m$^3$/s and 280 MW. Each turbine unit will have an installed capacity of 16 m$^3$/s and 93 MW. The turbine axis is located at an elevation of 705 metres. The powerhouse will be operated in accordance with the dispatch centre of GSE. The energy production will see large differences between summer and winter. The typical daily operation pattern will be: low production during the night and high generation during the day; attempting to cover as much as possible the evening peak with a highest power output.

**Penstock.** The water will be transported from the headrace tunnel down to the powerhouse through a 1,790 metre long steel lined penstock of 3 metre diameter. The penstock will be buried. Its construction in open trench, and then the operation of associated installations (surge shaft and valve chamber) will require the creation and maintenance of a serpentine road from the Nenskra valley up to the surge shaft.

**Transmission Line.** A 220 kV transmission line that connects the powerhouse GIS yard to a new substation located in the Nenskra valley will be built. Georgian State Electrosystem (GSE) will design, permit, construct, install, commission, own, operate and maintain this Transmission Line and connection facilities in accordance with Transmission line. The grid connection point or
boundary for the delivery of power will be the connection to the first tower at Nenskra HPP 220 kV GIS yard. The location of the new substation in the Nenskra valley as well as the alignment of the proposed 220 kV Transmission Line are yet to be defined by GSE, at the time of writing. GSE confirmed that the ESIA of the proposed transmission line will be undertaken by GSE, taking due consideration of the national legislation requirements and International E&S standards. GSE will consult the Project to harmonise approaches to environment and social risk management and mitigation.

C. Access roads and electrical service line

Access roads. The Project will upgrade existing roads from the main Zugdidi-Mestia national highway to the Nenskra dam site and up to the Nakra water intake. The roadway will be of 6.5 metre width but the drainage system will require additional space; the right-of-way required for the road could therefore vary from 8 to 10 meters, with narrower sections through residential areas. Two new bridges will be constructed over the Nenskra River: in Lakhani 2 kilometre upstream of the powerhouse, and 3 kilometre downstream of the dam. Existing bridges will also be reinforced to support the weight of the special trucks used to carry the large construction (e.g. Tunnel Boring Machine) or operation (e.g. transformers, segments of penstock) equipment mobilized by the Project. This includes the Khaishi Bridge over the Enguri River on the main road to Mestia, as well as the eight existing bridges in the Nenskra valley at Luki, Chuberi and Tita. Bridges to be upgraded or constructed over the Nakra River will be known in 2017.

Service line. During the operation phase, the Nakra Intake will be supplied in electricity by a diesel generator. The Nenskra facilities will be power-supplied with an approx. 18 kilometre long 35 kV electrical line between the powerhouse and the dam site and a 7 kilometre long 11 kV electrical line between the powerhouse and the valve chamber. The service line routes will follow the access road to the dam and the service road to the gate chamber while avoiding houses and other private assets. Poles will typically be of 10 to 13 metres height.

D. Operators village

During the operation phase, JSCNH will accommodate the operation management team into permanent houses, named the “Operators Village”. The Operators village will also be used during the construction period to accommodate the JSCNH Supervision Team. It will be located in the Nenskra valley, along the access road to the dam, 7 kilometres downstream of the dam site and 9 kilometres upstream of the powerhouse, close to Tita Village.

E. Workers camps and temporary installations required for construction purposes

The construction activities will be concentrated on 3 worksites: (i) the dam site and reservoir area, (ii) the powerhouse and penstock area, and (iii) the Nakra water intake area. The worksites are temporary facilities; they will be rehabilitated after construction. The tunnelling activities will be organized from the dam site (Nakra Transfer Tunnel) and from the powerhouse area (Nenskra headrace tunnel) using two Tunnel Boring Machines. These Tunnel Boring Machines will be disassembled and transported away at the end of the construction period.

In each of the three works sites (see Map 5-3) the EPC Contractor will execute the construction works as per the required design and will also operate:

- A construction camp where the workforce will be accommodated;
- Technical installations, including parking areas, workshops, laydown areas. Technical installations are located close to the camps for security and logistics reasons. The Project’s crushing and screening plant will be sited at the dam site only, and
Disposal areas where the spoils from tunnelling and excavation activities will be disposed of permanently. The quarry areas will be located within the Nenskra reservoir area.

### 2.3 Analysis of alternatives

Analysis of alternatives was conducted at the prefeasibility and feasibility stages. The alternatives were analysed with respect to the capacity to meet the following 2 criteria: (i) generation of 2.9 GWh per day in December, January and February, and (ii) average annual production of 1,196 GWh over the year.

**Alternative technologies** based on solar or wind energy, as well as a run-of-river hydropower alternative would not meet the winter production criteria. A Combined Cycle Thermal Power Plant (e.g. gas turbine) could be an alternative to the Nenskra HPP; however it would not reduce the dependency on gas or other fossil fuel imports. It would also render less achievable the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions committed to by Georgia through its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution submitted to the UNFCCC in 2015. The scenario of the Nenskra HPP without the Nakra diversion has also been examined; the annual energy production would be on average 30% less than with the Nakra transfer and the firm annual production would be lower. An alternative scenario with two separated hydropower schemes (one HPP on the Nenskra River and another HPP on the Nakra River) with the same combined power production targets as the proposed Project would not reduce the adverse impacts predicted on the Nakra valley.

**Alternative Nenskra dam locations** in the Nenskra valley and alternative Nakra diversion weir locations in the Nakra valley were also examined. These alternatives would have caused more adverse impacts on the biodiversity of the upper Nenskra valley without gain on the predicted adverse impacts on communities. Likewise, alternative dam heights were studied. The U-shape of the valley and the low gradient of the riverbed slope upstream of the proposed Nenskra dam location allow a large water storage volume with a small reservoir footprint; A lower dam would have reduced the volume of water stored and reduced the annual power production, without influencing the reservoir footprint, hence the E&S issues. Two potential powerhouse locations have been examined at the pre-feasibility stage as an alternative to the solution selected by the Project. They were located upstream of - and closed to - the proposed powerhouse and would have caused the involuntary resettlement of as many households as the finally selected solution.

**E&S contributions to design.** The 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies (conducted in 2015-2017) have influenced the Project design in order to reduce the predicted adverse effects on communities, water quality and biodiversity. The changes are documented in “Vol2. Project Definition”. The layout of the powerhouse temporary and permanent installations was modified to avoid permanent physical displacement. The Nakra water intake design was changed to improve sediment management, flood control and ecological continuity. At dam site, the bypass capacities of the bottom outlet (ecological flow) and the diversion weir (small stream flowing immediately downstream of the dam) have been increased to provide greater adaptive management of downstream water quality.

### 2.4 Implementation schedule

The main construction period is planned to start in March 2018 and will last 4 years until 2022. Some early works will be executed from October 2015 to March 2018: rehabilitation of access roads, construction of workers camps and technical installations. Power generation is planned to start in 2021 if the conditions are favourable.

JSCNH will operate the Nenskra HPP for 36 years; after these 36 years, the scheme will be transferred to the Government of Georgia who will continue its operation.
3 Environmental & social assessment

3.1 Benefits sharing

The biggest contribution the Project will make to Georgia is the positive impact of its power production. Locally, in addition of employment during construction and - to a lesser extent - during operation, upgrading of local roads and payment of taxes, a voluntary Community Investment Programme will also offer an important additional avenue for enhancing positive impacts and socioeconomic benefits.

The Community Investment Programme (CIP) will be developed and implemented with the participation of local authorities and the community as a benefit sharing mechanism. Potential areas for community investment were identified in 2016 through participatory assessment of community needs. They relate to community health (upgrade of clinic, medical equipment, and ambulances), education (rehabilitation of schools, kindergarten and vocational training), upgrade village internal roads, agricultural development and water supply. JSCNH intends to support community investment initiatives during the construction period and the operation phase. The objective is to distribute the first investments in 2017 and 2018, i.e. during the Early Works period. For that purpose, a preliminary priority action plan is being agreed with the Gamgebeli of Mestia Municipality, the Representative of the Mestia Gamgebeli in Chuberi community and the member of Mestia Sakrebulo elected from the Nakra community at the time of writing. The intent is to select a small number of short-term projects which can be implemented in 2017-18 to demonstrate goodwill from JSCNH and tangible benefits to communities. Parameters established by JSCNH to decide how much to invest, where to invest and under what conditions will be discussed with the community leaders for further vetting and validation. This will be done through CIP Advisory Committees, which were already established in the Nenskra and Nakra valleys at the time of writing. JSCNH will attempt to have gender-balanced committees.

3.2 Downstream hydrology effects and water quality

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 5 - Hydrology and Water Quality Impact Assessment” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

The Nenskra reservoir will be operated according to the following principles: (i) guarantee the safety of the dam and the safety of communities downstream of the dam and downstream of the powerhouse, (ii) maintain a minimum ecological flow of 0.9 m³/s downstream of the dam, at all times including during construction, (iii) manage the reservoir water level so that it is at full supply level in November in order to guarantee energy production from December to February, (iv) manage the water volume stored in the reservoir the rest of the year in order to produce as much energy as possible. The Nakra water intake will be operated according to the following basic principles: (i) maintain the ecological continuity and the sediment transport management functions of the Nakra River, and (ii) maximize the transfer of Nakra water into the Nenskra reservoir.

The operation regime will impact the hydrology and sediment transport of the Nenskra and Nakra rivers. It has also the potential to affect the Nenskra river water quality during the first years of impoundment. The paragraphs below describe these effects and the proposed solutions.
A. River hydrology and water quality baseline

Hydrology. The Nenskra River and the Nakra River are right bank tributaries of the Enguri River. Low flows are observed in winter from December to March and high flows in spring and summer from May to August when the glaciers melt. At the proposed dam site, the Nenskra watershed area is 222 square kilometres and the annual average flow is 16.8 m³/s. At the proposed Nakra intake, the Nakra watershed area is 87 square kilometres and the annual average flow is 9.3 m³/s.

Water quality. There are no industrial activities and only limited artisanal and agricultural activities upstream of the future Nenskra reservoir or upstream the Nakra diversion weir. The waters are typical of pristine streams in granite and gneiss rock type areas. The concentrations of organic carbon and nitrogen are very low and phosphorous below detection limits. Nutrient input from river water into the future reservoir is consequently expected to be low.

Solid transport. The expected input of solid material into the Nenskra reservoir has been estimated to be 60,000 cubic metres per year. Even in a worst-case scenario, if the total quantity of solid material fully accumulated in the reservoir each year, several hundreds of years would be required to fill the reservoir with sediment. The sediment accumulation could reach the level of the bottom outlet, after approximately 20 years of operation. There could therefore - after a number of years – be a need to vent the sediment that has accumulated in the reservoir in order to avoid blocking the bottom outlet gate.

B. Predicted impacts on hydrology

Map 5-4 in Section 5 depicts the hydrological impacts described in the paragraphs below.

Downstream from dam. The Nenskra River between the dam and the powerhouse will be affected by a reduced flow as a result of the Project. Downstream from the dam, the Nenskra flow will comprise the sum of the ecological flow discharged from the reservoir and the natural runoff from the catchment area. The contribution from the natural run off increases with the distance from the dam: immediately downstream of the dam, the Nenskra flow will be made of the ecological flow only and will represent 5% of the existing situation. At the confluence with the Okrili River located 4 km downstream from the dam, the Nenskra flow will represent 15% of the existing situation. Upstream from the powerhouse, the Nenskra flow will represent 40% of the existing situation without the dam.

Spillage. It is expected that for a normal year - in terms of precipitation and runoff - the Nenskra reservoir water level can be managed without spillage of water via the spillway. During very wet years (in average one year out of every five), spillage of reservoir water via the spillway is expected to occur, principally in August, in the range of 10 to 20 m³/s, possibly for a duration of 2 to 4 hours each day, and possibly every day for about a month causing an increase in flow rate downstream.

Downstream of powerhouse. Because of the Nakra transfer and the water storage in the Nenskra reservoir, the average river flow immediately downstream from the powerhouse will be increased compared to the existing situation. Average monthly increases range from 5% in June - which is the month with the highest flow rate - to 300% in winter, when there is naturally a low flow rate. The downstream flow will be also significantly influenced by the hourly variations in the discharge of the powerhouse turbines causing instantaneous Nenskra flows that are higher than those of the natural conditions. In February - when the river flow is at its lowest - the peak energy turbining would cause the river flow downstream of the powerhouse to vary from 3 to 50 m³/s.

Downstream of Nakra intake. The diversion of the Nakra river water via the transfer tunnel to the Nenskra reservoir will cause a reduction in the flow of the Nakra River downstream from the...
weir. The flow downstream from the weir will comprise the sum of the ecological flow and the natural runoff from the catchment area. Immediately downstream from the Nakra weir the reduction in average monthly flows range from 50% in February to 95% in June, and at the Enguri confluence the reduction varies from 30% to 60%.

**Effects on Enguri.** The reduced flow in the Nakra River will cause a slight reduction in the flow of the Enguri River between the Nakra confluence and the Nenskra confluence. The reduction in average monthly flows range from 6% in February to 11% in July due to the diversion of the Nakra River into the Nenskra reservoir. The average annual flow of the Enguri River downstream from the confluence with the Nenskra River will not be affected by the Nenskra Project. However, the average monthly flow rates will be modified by the storage in the Nenskra reservoir and release during the winter months. In February – when the river is naturally at its lowest, the Enguri flow will be increased by 73%. In July, when the river is naturally at its highest, the flow will be reduced by 9%. The average annual flow into the Enguri reservoir will not be affected by the Nenskra Project. This is not expected to cause a significant change to the functioning of the Enguri reservoir, and power generation potential in winter is expected to increase, which will be beneficial. During the first Nenskra reservoir filling, a loss of inflow to the Enguri reservoir will be observed, representing 3.6% of the Enguri annual inflow for the year of the Nenskra filling. There will be no loss for subsequent years.

**Climate change.** The climate change scenario for the year 2100 predicts a decrease in precipitation in winter, spring and autumn respectively. Precipitation will increase by 16% in summer in Mestia and will decrease by 14% in winter. At the time of writing hydrological studies have been undertaken by the Project and the studies on climate change are ongoing. The value of extreme floods adopted for the design will be the value established taking into account the climate change studies. If necessary the detailed design of hydraulic structure will be revised in 2017.

C. **Predicted impacts on solid transport**

The solid transport in the Nakra River and in the Nenskra River will be affected by the changes in hydrology and changes in solid material transport capacity.

**Downstream of Nakra intake.** In the Nakra valley, the Lekverari torrent and its confluence with the Nakra - immediately upstream of the Nakra village - is a zone which is vulnerable with respect to hydrological and geomorphological changes. The reduced flow in the Nakra River resulting from the diversion of the water to the Nenskra reservoir could reduce the capacity of the river to regularly flush away accumulated sediments from mudflow events that could occur. A similar, but less serious situation also exists at the confluence of the Laknashura and Nakra, further upstream.

**Mitigation strategy.** The Nakra diversion weir and transfer tunnel are designed and will be operated to ensure that the sediment transport function of the Nakra River is maintained. The weir is equipped with two large gates to allow sediment that is trapped in the head pond to be flushed downstream. Periodically the gate on the Nakra transfer tunnel inlet will be closed and simultaneously the weir gates opened to allow the Nakra River’s natural flow rate to be re-established so that sediment that has accumulated in the riverbed can be flushed away. The most effective method for controlling accumulated sediment by re-establishing periodically the natural flow of the Nakra River will be determined by a specific study. The scope of the study will include improving the current understanding of the baseline sediment situation, establishing recommendations for (i) sediment flushing flow rates, frequency and duration, (ii) sediment accumulation monitoring and (iii) evaluation of the need for and concept of river maintenance works – including the realignment of the Nakra near the confluence with the Lekverari. The need for mechanical removal of sediment will be avoided as far is technically practicable and mechanical removal will be only performed when no other alternative is available. Any such
sediment removal works will be performed in alignment with standard practice in other EU countries and will not result in adverse sediment transport.

**Downstream from the Nenskra dam,** the solid transport capacity of the Nenskra River will also be reduced. However, this will be balanced by the fact that the dam will trap much of the sediment transported from the upper Nenskra catchment area and because the tributaries downstream of the dam do not transport significant amounts of solid material. Consequently no significant geomorphological impacts are expected along most of the Nenskra. Although the increase in flow of the Nenskra downstream from the powerhouse is not expected to cause erosion of the riverbed, there is a possibility that bank erosion may occur in the first two kilometres. Consequently monitoring will be implemented and if bank erosion is observed then the banks will be reinforced using suitable means.

**D. Predicted water quality**

**Nenskra Reservoir.** The Nenskra reservoir water quality is expected to be modified during the first 2 to 3 years of operation after reservoir filling due to the presence of flooded biomass and soils. However because of low reservoir temperature and high rate of recharge, development of excessive primary production causing algal blooms, pH changes, creation of anoxic conditions and further water quality degrading are not expected.

**Nenskra River.** The water quality of the ecological flow released from the reservoir will influence the water quality in the Nenskra River between the dam and the powerhouse. Although the ecological flow is rapidly diluted by the inflow from tributaries, there could be a discernible increase in the concentrations of nutrient between the dam and Okrili confluence in the first 2 to 3 years after reservoir filling. The amount of nutrients discharged from the reservoir will decrease over time and after 3 years the quality of river water is expected to be similar to natural conditions. During the first 3 years after reservoir filling the stretch from the dam to Tita could be affected by low Dissolved Oxygen. Further downstream the Dissolved Oxygen will have increased due to re-oxygenation and dilution. Downstream from the powerhouse, the water quality of the Nenskra River will be reduced in the first 3 years of operation after reservoir filling because the turbined waters will be discharging water from the reservoir.

**Mitigation strategy.** The Nenskra HPP will clear the vegetation present in the future reservoir area prior to impoundment, thus minimising the quantities of flooded biomass which is the source of the modification of the water quality. The water quality of the mandatory ecological flow will be monitored. In the event of degraded water quality, the Project would re-establish the flow of the small stream (which is diverted to the reservoir) back to its pre-project state with a discharge into the Nenskra immediately downstream of the dam. The Project would also reduce the release of the ecological flow through the bottom outlet. The effectiveness of this measure will be limited by the seasonality (April to October) and average discharge of this stream which is smaller than the mandatory ecological flow.

**Nakra River.** No impacts on water quality in the Nakra are expected. The reduced flow is not expected to have an effect on water quality or temperature. The presence of the diversion weir and the upstream pond is not expected to cause a discernible change in water quality or temperature.

**E. Greenhouse gas emissions and micro-climate change**

**GHG.** Construction Greenhouse Gas emissions (GHG) represent 2.3 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO$_2$-eq) over 4 years. Reservoir GHG emissions represent 51 thousand tonnes – produced in the first 7 years after reservoir filling. The reservoir emissions have been compared to benchmark indicators reported by the World Commission on Dams and the value of 643 g CO$_2$-eq/ m$^2$/ year is comparable with that of dam-reservoirs in Canada and Finland. The
combined construction and reservoir emissions (averaged over 34 years) represent an average of 32 grams CO₂ per kWh which compares favourably for other hydropower projects of comparable capacity reported in 2011 by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

**Microclimate.** In terms of micro-climate change, it is possible that there may be localized micro-climate change in the vicinity of the dam-reservoir - but not extending down the valley further than Tita - with slightly lower temperatures in summer and slightly higher humidity in the summer. No detectable changes are expected in the winter months. It is more likely that the micro-climate changes are negligible compared to regional climate changes as a result of global warming. Microclimate parameters will be monitored to detect any localised changes.

### 3.3 Land acquisition and economic displacement

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 3 - Social Impact Assessment” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

#### A. Socioeconomic environment in the Project area

**Population.** Most settlements and people which may be potentially directly affected by the Project are located in the Nenskra and Nakra River valleys. The Nenskra valley contains Chuberi village, and two hamlets which are part of the Khaishi village. By the end of 2015, it was estimated that this valley has 1,148 permanent inhabitants (268 households) living in 13 hamlets along the river. The Nakra valley encompasses Naki village and one hamlet from Lakhalmula village. The total count is 300 permanent inhabitants (85 households) living in 5 hamlets. About 30 to 40 families now reside outside the valleys, returning only for the summer months. The vast majority of people in the valleys were born there, and are Georgian nationals.

**Ethnicity.** The Consultants conducting the Supplementary E&S Studies assessed whether the “Indigenous Peoples” policies of the potential Lenders apply to this Project. The criteria used to assess if the Lenders policies on “Indigenous Peoples” apply are quoted from the EBRD and the ADB policies. Although slightly different in wording, policies used by other lenders to be involved in the Project are similar in substance and spirit. The Asian Development Bank 2009 Safeguard Policy Statement uses the term Indigenous Peoples to refer to a distinct, vulnerable, social and cultural group, possessing the following characteristics in varying degrees: (i) Self-identification as members of a distinct indigenous cultural group and recognition of this identity by others; (ii) Collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats or ancestral territories in the project area and to the natural resources in these habitats and territories; (iii) Customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are separate from those of the dominant society and culture; (iv) A distinct language, often different from the official language of the country or region. For the EBRD, Performance Requirement 7 uses the term “Indigenous Peoples” to refer to a social and cultural group, distinct from dominant groups within national societies, possessing all of the above four characteristics (similar to the ADB policies above) in varying degrees and in addition the group must also possess a fifth characteristic below to a varying degree: (v) Descent from populations who have traditionally pursued non-wage (and often nomadic/transhumant) subsistence strategies and whose status was regulated by their own customs or traditions or by special laws or regulations.

The findings of the Supplementary E&S studies and a detailed historical and anthropological study conducted for JSCNH by a reputed Georgian Scholar suggest that the people in the Project area identify themselves as Svans, and are recognised as such by the rest of Georgia. The Svan are an ethnic sub-group within the broader “Kartvelian” (Georgian) ethnos, which also includes other ethnic sub-groups such as Mingrelians, Lazes. The Svan have been historically attached to the Upper and Lower Svaneti territories where they currently live; they speak Svan which is a language different from Georgian but derived from the same Proto-Kvartelian ancestry.
language. The Svans are integrated into modern Georgian society. They are subject to and adhere to Georgian laws, have no specific governance systems and live a lifestyle that is similar to others who live in similar remote mountainous regions of Georgia. Svans have access to and benefit from the same resources and socio-economic infrastructure as other groups. From an administrative perspective Svans are not considered, by the Georgian state, as a distinct minority group. There is no evidence that Svans were or are a marginalized and vulnerable social and cultural group vis-à-vis other ethnic groups in Georgia. Based on these findings, the Consultants conducting the Supplementary E&S Studies assessed that the "Indigenous Peoples" policies of the potential Lenders do not apply.

Land tenure. In the project area, legal and formalized land tenure was introduced in 2008 and coexists and sometimes overlaps with the customary land tenure, which is well recognised by the local communities. However, there have been reports of difficulties for people wishing to register traditionally owned land. This is a factor that has had to be taken account in the land acquisition and livelihood restoration planning. In the Nenskra and Nakra valleys, almost all the land is officially State Land, and categorized by the State as Agricultural Land, and outside the settlements most of the land is also categorized as Forest Fund Land. Within the settlements, individual land plots are all well demarcated, and almost always fenced. Outside the settlements, in the forested areas, customary ownership is also, most of the time, well defined. Ownership and right of use of pasture areas is defined by customary rights: Specific areas are owned by groups of families sharing the same ancestry and customary right of use of these areas are inherited. These customary rights for pasture areas are not recognized by the Georgian legal system. The project has conducted a detail mapping and census to identify the users of affected pasture areas through extensive consultation with affected people.

Pastures. Ownership and right of use of pasture areas is defined by customary rights like elsewhere in mountainous areas in Georgia. An estimate of the available pasture areas in the two valleys has been established from interpretation of aerial imagery. The total area of the summer pastures of Chuberi village has been estimated to be about 695 hectares. This does not include the Lukh and Tobari pastures as these are not affected by the Project and are not part of Chuberi village. The total area of the summer pastures of Naki village has been estimated to be about 549 hectares and this does not include Shtikhiri pasture land as not affected by the Project and not part of Naki village.

Livelihoods. Traditionally, farming was the main activity in both valleys. However, the people’s traditional way of life has evolved and most households also have additional income from logging for commercial sale and diverse other activities. Almost all families have several sources of income. Only 25 families (7% of 353 households) work only in agriculture. The vast majority of families engage in several remunerative activities. Logging was recognized as a key income source in the economy of the Nenskra and Nakra valleys by most informants. With regard to employment, prior to Project early works, 27% of households have at least one member permanently employed in the public service, and 11% have at least one member receiving a salary from a private company. Agriculture and livestock farming are largely for home consumption. Based on households’ interviews, the average head of cattle owned per household is four. People are largely self-reliant, doing much of their own construction and mechanical repair work. Also, there is a large amount of neighbourly assistance in farming and other activities (e.g. house construction). However, the population is still very dependent on the larger society for services and supplies.

Vulnerability and Women’s Role. Households are considered as vulnerable if they possess at least one of the following characteristics: (i) Registered as poor in the local social services; (ii) Women-headed households; (iii) Elder-headed households (≥70 years old) without any other bread-winner in the household; (iv) Households headed by disabled people.
In the Nenskra and Nakra valleys, a total of 150 households (42%) are considered as vulnerable in Nakra and Nenskra valleys, including 82 woman-headed households (23%). Twenty-three households are elderly-headed households without other bread winner in the family, and 8 households are headed by a disabled person. More than a fifth of all households in the project area (78 of 353 or 22%) report receiving Poverty allowance and being officially registered as being under the national poverty line. This is above the national average, which was 11% in 2014 (National Statistics Office of Georgia, 2015), but below typical values for remote mountainous areas where poverty can be as high as 50%.

Amongst these vulnerable households, 29 households will be affected by the land acquisition process. This includes ten woman-headed households. Fourteen vulnerable households (six women-headed) are affected by the upgrading of the Nenskra road and will lose strips of non-productive land along the road, fences and two structures and some trees. The impact on their incomes and livelihoods is considered as not significant. Sixteen vulnerable households (including four woman-headed households) will be affected by loss of summer pasture areas:

- Eleven vulnerable households (two woman-headed) will temporarily lose access to a pasture area at the Nakra water intake site during the construction period. The impact on their incomes and livelihoods is considered as not significant.
- Five vulnerable households (two woman-headed) will lose access to pasture areas at the Nenskra dam & reservoir site. The impact on their incomes and livelihoods is considered significant for the four vulnerable households (two woman-headed) affected by temporary loss of pasture at the Dam construction camp during construction; and severe for one household affected by permanent loss of pasture in the Nenskra reservoir.

Forty-three of the interviewed households declared that at least one of their members is receiving a refugee allowance. These refugees are internally displaced people that came in the Nenskra and Nakra valleys after the Abkhazian conflict in the early 1990’s. They are Svan and have settled in the local communities, with whom they had kinship ties. Five of these families are affected by the Land acquisition process. There are also five households affected by the Project land acquisition that include at least one internally displaced people through marriage. Therefore, in total there are 10 households affected by the Project land acquisition that include at least one internally displaced people. Only those internally displaced people who are affected will benefit from livelihood restoration packages as any other affected vulnerable people. It is important to reemphasise that the Project will not contribute to any existing or potential vulnerabilities.

The women’s role in the community is important, though subordinate to the men’s. Women can inherit property. A significant number of women work as educators or nurses’ aides, while housewives produce cheese, preserved foodstuffs and some craft items to contribute to the family budget. Reportedly, domestic violence is not a salient issue, although it was impossible to determine the extent to which such issues were being downplayed during the two women’s focus group discussions. According to the focus group conducted with women, gender violence is not an issue in the study area.

Community infrastructure Community infrastructure is mostly basic. School buildings are in a poor state of repair, though people appreciate the quality of the teachers and the importance of education. The health clinics provide first-aid assistance only. Police are based in Khaishi, which is 10 kilometres from Chuberi and some 35 kilometres from Naki. However, law and order is maintained largely through the community. There are few shops in Chuberi, though none in Naki. Public transport is scant in Chuberi and non-existent in Naki. Itinerant marketers travel to Chuberi several times a week to sell basic commodities from the back of their minivans. Demand in Naki is too weak to support even this level of commercial activity. There are no repair services in either valley. Several corn water mills are used in each valley. Each community has a town hall.
building, though the physical infrastructure is dilapidated. There are two churches in Chuberi, and 10 church buildings in Naki, but only three of which are in a state of repair to allow church services to be held. Each community has a football field for recreational activity. There is no collective water supply system. Individual households are supplied with water from springs and seeps by flexible aboveground pipes in which the water is conveyed by gravity. Each household installs its own individual pipe. River water is not used as drinking water.

**Security and Human Rights.** The primary security risk is geopolitical; it is related to the proximity (located 7 kilometres from the dam) of the administrative boundary line between Georgia and the breakaway region of Abkhazia, which is considered by the government of Georgia as Russian-occupied territory. However, this security risk is a national concern and is managed by the government of Georgia. In the event of any risk situation the Project will follow the government’s instructions.

The Project also prevents economical, civil and social risks by identifying potential for violence, understanding the root causes of conflict, and considering the local way of resolving conflict (authority and judiciary’ capacity, as well as their capability to respond to situations of violence in a lawful manner). The local communities reported one crime in the past five years in the Nenskra valley. They usually prefer to settle disputes internally using the traditional dispute resolutions – which is a Georgian practice and not specific to Svaneti - by referring the matter to the elders or to religious leaders. If internal resolution is not successful, the dispute is then brought to the local authorities. The project will liaise regularly with representatives of these local institutions to assess and monitor social risks.

In terms of human rights Georgia has strengthened ties with the European Union through the signature and ratification of the European Union Association Agreement. The signing of the agreement represents a commitment by Georgia to progress on human rights. The Project will support government in this way by promoting respect for human rights.

The Project will liaise regularly with state forces to ensure good communication and coordination with private security providers. With regards to private security providers, JSCNH will include compliance with the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights as a contractual requirement, and will only engage licensed private security services providers with a known and approved background. The Project will take appropriate measures to avoid the use of individuals who are credibly implicated in human rights abuses. Private security forces will be regularly trained and monitored to ensure their obligation to provide security in manner consistent with the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights.

**B. Land requirements and resettlement**

Impacts caused by the Project’s land take are analysed and their mitigation measures are presented in detail in report Vol. 9 – Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Plan (LALRP).

The total land requirement is 882.5 hectares; comprising 427.8 hectares for permanent facilities and 454.7 hectares for temporary facilities. All the temporary and permanent land required will be subject to the Land Acquisition Process developed by the Project with the Government. On completion of the construction works, land affected by temporary land use only will be rehabilitated. In total 89 households are affected (as described below), including 29 vulnerable households. There will be no permanent physical displacement.

There will be no impact on land used for residential purposes in the two valleys. In Chuberi village (Nenskra valley) the Project land take represents 5% of arable land (cultivated and non-cultivated) out of which 0.75% will be affected permanently, and 2% of pasture land, of which 0.8% will be affected permanently. In Naki village (Nakra valley), the land take represents a loss of 1% of the land used for pasture only, of which 0.16% will be affected permanently, and there is no loss of arable land.
Reservoir area. The principal land requirement is at the dam-reservoir site, which requires 560 hectares of forest / pasture land including 205 hectares for the temporary construction camp and spoil disposal areas. The dam will occupy 83 hectares, and the reservoir 270 hectares. The land take affects a total of 25 households who will lose pasture land (16 temporarily during construction, and 9 permanently). Five of these households are vulnerable, including two woman-headed household.

Powerhouse area. The second most important land requirement is at the powerhouse, which requires 189 ha of land. However, most of the land (160 hectares) is required for the temporary construction camp and spoil disposal areas. The permanent land take is 29 hectares required for the powerhouse. Five households will be affected and will lose trees, arable land and fences. These households are not vulnerable and there is no female headed household. Two of these households are living within 500 meters of the powerhouse. During the construction period, they will be disturbed by noise, light, traffic, dust and vibrations from drilling, possibly blasting and general construction activities. The EPC contractor will define and implement technical measure to guarantee appropriate health and safety conditions for these two households exposed to these nuisances during construction.

The Nenskra road widening requires the acquisition of 4.5 hectares of arable land and affects 35 households, including 14 vulnerable households of which 6 are women-headed households. The households are affected by loss of private land, loss of assets (such as wooden fences, and wooden sheds), and loss of perennial trees.

The Nakra weir and transfer tunnel intake requires a land take of 36.7 hectares, of which less than one hectare will be used for the permanent infrastructures. The land use is pasture and hay fields. Twenty-seven households are affected of which eleven are vulnerable, including 2 women-headed households.

The operators’ village requires the acquisition of 2.5 hectares of forest land and affects one household who is not vulnerable.

The remaining land acquisition is for the 35 kV power supply line, the 110 kV power supply line, the Nakra road widening. The land acquisition is expected to be in the order of 90 hectares, but the alignment of the power supply lines will be defined later and the Nakra road widening works have not been defined yet, and so the number of affected households and type of land affected will be defined at a later stage and an updated LALRP covering these areas will be prepared prior to any land take.

Logging is often undertaken nearby the pasture areas in the forestry lands. During informal interviews, it was confirmed that the pasture areas that will be affected by the Project were already logged prior to the Project, especially in the Nenskra reservoir. Therefore, the impact on logging activities is considered low. Prior to the start of the Project, the Government initiated a program of large-scale licenses for logging in order to regain management of the forested area. While local people with permits are still allowed to cut 5 cubic metres for household use, locals are not allowed to be engaged in commercial logging activities as they cannot effectively compete for the large-scale licenses, which go to large companies. At the time of the field surveys conducted as part of the Supplementary E&S studies, Government had sent in officers to enforce the new system. There was, therefore, an understandable reluctance on the part of the local population to speak openly about their involvement in the logging and sawmill industries, either in households’ interviews or during thematic interviews or focus groups.

C. Land acquisition process and livelihood restoration

The land take process has the potential to adversely impact the livelihoods of households affected by loss of land if no mitigation and compensation measures are implemented: loss of pasture or access to pasture, impaired access to timber and non-timber resources, loss of assets.
Alignment. A Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Plan (LALRP) has been developed to prevent and mitigate the negative impacts of land acquisition and resettlement and to set out the entitlements of the different categories of affected individuals. It has also been prepared to align (i) the land acquisition process ruled by the Implementation Agreement established between GoG and JSCNH with (ii) the Lenders’ E&S Policies. A first version of the LALRP has been publicly disclosed in February 2017. A revised version was released in November 2017, with changes on number of affected households and people at the powerhouse, at the Nenskra dam site and for the Nenskra road widening to reflect the land acquisition process, which was well advanced at the time of writing.

Eligibility. The Project will compensate all affected people regardless of their legal status, including (i) People holding a legal land title; (ii) People without a legal land title, but who could register their land, either because they own documents showing their legal rights to land, or because they are recognizable owners by the Georgian law. People in this category will be assisted by JSCNH to register their land to facilitate timely payment of compensation and turnover of land to the project. Taxes or transaction charges for this will be borne by the project; (iii) People without any formal legal rights or recognized or recognizable claims.

Entitlements. The Project will endeavour to enter into an amicable (willing buyer – willing seller) agreement with the affected persons in compliance with the Lenders’ E&S policies thus avoiding involuntary expropriation. No temporary impairment of access to pasture lands are expected as the Project will maintain access to pasture land that are outside the work sites but for which access is impaired by the physical presence of the temporary facilities. The LALRP has established eligibility criteria and entitlements based on: (i) loss of land; (ii) loss of assets on that land; (iii) loss of livelihood, and (iv) loss of access to natural resources.

The significance of the impact on the affected households has been assessed in regards to the share of losses of productive assets, and the consequences on the households’ income. Households significantly affected are those who will lose 10% or more of their producing assets. Those severely affected will lose more than 20% for their productive assets.

The significance of the impacts caused by the Project Land take is summarized in the Table 1 below.

Table 1 – Distribution of Impact by significance and Project component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area/facility</th>
<th>Number of households affected</th>
<th>Low impact / not significant</th>
<th>Medium / significant</th>
<th>High / Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nenskra dam and reservoir</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powerhouse site</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operators village</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nenskra road</td>
<td>35^a</td>
<td>32^b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakra water intake</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89^a</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Includes 4 households also affected by other Project’s components.
^b Includes one household also affected by the Nakra water intake.
^c Includes one household also affected (not significantly) by the Nenskra road.
^d Includes two households also affected (not significantly) by the Nenskra road.

As per ADB’s Safeguard Requirement 2 on Involuntary Resettlement, which defines the threshold for being significantly affected as the loss of 10% or more of productive (income generating) assets.
Entitlements are as follows:

- Households who are significantly impacted receive compensation at full replacement cost for their assets and benefit from the following livelihood restoration activities: (i) preferential hiring and skills training; (ii) assistance for management of received financial compensation, (iii) support to improve existing economic activities (agricultural production, beekeeping, nuts production, fish farming), (iv) support for initiatives to develop alternative sources of income, (v) for the people affected by the loss of Kvemo-Memuli pasture area: improvement of existing tracks to non-affected pasture areas, and (vi) assistance for legalisation and land ownership.

- Households who are severely impacted receive compensation at full replacement cost for their assets and benefit from the livelihood restoration activities for significantly affected households listed below, plus the following measures: (i) Provision of assistance to increase yield of existing fodder production and develop sustainable livestock production, (ii) assistance to develop to grow and sell hazelnuts, (iii) assistance to develop beekeeping and sale of honey, (vi) assistance to develop market gardening.

- Households affected by loss of pasture area, either temporary or permanent, will benefit from a temporary supply of fodder (or cash to buy fodder) during the transition period, which is the period when (i) livelihood restoration activities are being developed but are not operational and (ii) when pasture areas are unavailable as being used during construction – this includes the time to rehabilitate temporarily affected pasture land. The transition period is planned to be 7 years duration. Conversion into pasture of temporary facilities (e.g. laydown areas), post construction, is also planned.

- People who are affected by economic displacement but not significantly affected receive compensation at full replacement cost for assets lost.

- Vulnerable households who are affected will receive a specific allowance, equivalent to three months of minimum subsistence income.

The affected people will be able to question the assessment made during the preparation of the LALRP and the mitigation measures proposed by the Project. This will be done when the results of the impact assessment will be discussed with the affected households and the compensation packages and livelihood restoration activities will be negotiated at a household level.

**Nenskra dam as a physical obstacle to the upper valley.** The baseline situation of the Nenskra valley upstream of the future reservoir is characterised by very limited anthropogenic activities. There is some hunting and during the soviet period there were some logging activities – though this is no longer the case. Once constructed, the Nenskra dam will be a physical barrier blocking the access to this area and the border with the Russian Federation. Consequently, the Project will establish a cattle track from the dam, along the Nenskra reservoir leading to the area upstream of the reservoir. This measure will allow local people to access currently unused/disused pasture areas and for border control forces to access the border.

**D. Interaction with water uses, fishing, beekeeping and other downstream activities**

**Use of river water.** No discernible negative impacts on the use of water by communities are expected. There is not any centralised permanent irrigation system using the Nenskra and Nakra rivers water. River water is not used as potable water but only used occasionally to water private gardens and intermittently (in summer period) periods may be used for domestic purposes. Springs and seeps are the source of potable water used for drinking and domestic uses. However, the Project will monitor the quality and availability of water and in the case of adverse negative impacts caused by the Project will provide alternative source of water.
Fishing. Impacts on recreational fishing could occur on the reaches of the rivers with reduced flow – because of a potential reduction in the fish population. However, the Project will implement a river habitat management plan aimed at improving/maintaining fish spawning grounds to minimise the impact on the fish population.

Beekeeping was not declared as a significant income source by the interviewees. Three of the households affected by the land acquisition at the Powerhouse do practice beekeeping on a land plot affected by the Project. Beekeeping activities could be disturbed during the construction period by the Project traffic. However, it is not anticipated that bees will suffer from any long-term impact such as loss of foraging areas. To minimise potential impacts the project will help beekeepers temporarily move beehives away from traffic affected areas.

There are no on-going mining or forestry concession in the Project area, and the GoG has no plans to issue new concession. Consequently, the Project will not have an impact on commercial natural resources exploitation. There is only limited tourism in the Nenskra valley (some limited kayaking and hiking) and no activities in the Nakra valley. Tourism is not a source of income for people. The presence of the Project and improvement of the access roads could attract and facilitate visits by tourists to the valleys. From May to October, which is the kayaking season, the Nenskra flow pattern during the Project operation will be roughly similar to its natural state. It could actually benefit the kayaking activities through better regulated flow, the reservoir buffering most of the flood events in the Nenskra valley. The sudden release of water from the powerhouse will be progressive and should not constitute a new danger for kayakers.

All other formal and informal local businesses, such as family owned guest houses or shops would benefit from new business opportunities.

3.4 Community health and safety

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 3 - Social Impact Assessment” and Volume 6 “Natural Hazards and Dam Safety” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

A. Key impacts and risk during the construction

Road use by Project vehicles will create additional dust and exhaust gas emissions; noise & vibration; traffic congestion and an increase in the risk of traffic accidents. As the cattle is grazing freely along the roads in the project area, potential risks to livestock are important. The principal affected roads are the Nenskra dam and Nakra weir access roads which will be used by the construction traffic. However, the Zugdidi-Khaishi road will also be affected - but to a lesser extent - by the transport of supplies and material. These risks will be mitigated by the implementation of a Traffic Management Plan. Children are identified as a high-risk group for traffic impacts, therefore, awareness campaign for school kids will be implemented.

Community health. The recruitment of workers for the construction from other region of Georgia may result in an increased risk of transmissible diseases, including sexually transmitted diseases. Maximizing use of the local workforce combined with the accommodation of non-local workers in controlled worker camps, will reduce this risk. Health screening and health monitoring of the workforce will be carried out, and community health awareness campaigns organized. No health impacts related to water supply are anticipated during construction, as the supply of household potable and domestic water is from springs and streams which are not expected to be affected by the Project. However, monitoring of the quality and availability of spring water will be carried out. Access to worksites will be controlled and restricted to prevent exposure of communities to the health and safety hazards which these areas could present. The worksites will be secured through use of security personnel to prevent unauthorised public access.
Natural hazards. Safety of workers with respect to avalanches, debris flow and extreme floods hazards are being assessed and addressed primarily through design, monitoring and emergency response planning as appropriate.

B. Key risks related to operation

Regular daily variations in the flow of the Nenskra River downstream from the powerhouse represent a public safety risk. People or livestock present in the riverbed at that time of a rapid increase in river flow would be in danger of drowning. Awareness campaigns will be carried out, warning signs will be installed to inform people of the risk, and access to the most unsafe areas (e.g. turbine or spillway outlet) will be fenced.

Dam spillage. Occasional irregular increased discharge downstream from the dam caused by spillage of reservoir water could occur. People or livestock present in the riverbed at that time of a rapid increase in river flow would be in danger of drowning. Awareness campaigns will be carried out and warning signs installed to inform people of the risk. The Nakra transfer tunnel will be equipped with a gate that will be closed during flood events when the Nenskra reservoir is a fully supply level so that flood flow rates in the Nenskra are not higher than natural flows (i.e. baseline flow rates without the dam).

Bottom outlet opening. On rare occasions there may be a need to open the reservoir bottom outlet gate. This will be done most often during planned operations of short duration (e.g. gate testing or sediment venting): as these actions are planned, the risks they can cause will be anticipated and therefore managed to avoid any accident. The bottom outlet might also be used to preventively lower the reservoir water level (for example in case of avalanche risk): this will be done by opening the bottom outlet partially, at a rate that does not generate a risk for the community. High flow in the Nenskra downstream from the dam (with possibly a risk of flooding) could occur in case of emergency situation (for example during extreme rainfall event). Flood studies will be undertaken to establish the extent of any flooding that could occur and integrated into the Emergency Preparedness Plan.

Natural hazards (seismicity, extreme flood events, avalanches, debris flow, slope stability) are being assessed and are being addressed primarily through safe design, reservoir operating procedures and emergency response planning as appropriate.

The project Emergency Preparedness Plan will be finalized in order to ensure effective response to emergency situations. The plan will include (but not limited to): (i) procedures for alerting and mobilising emergency management authorities and emergency response teams; (ii) training plan – including training of local authorities and emergency services; (iii) programme of emergency situation exercises, including the participation of local authorities, emergency services and local communities. The preparation of the plan includes undertaking flood studies for different type of events including dam failure and the opening of the reservoir bottom outlet gate.

Downstream Nakra. Mudflow events on lateral tributaries of the Nakra River can block the river resulting in temporary flooding upstream of the blockage - and downstream flooding when the blockage is breached. The Project could potentially increase this risk because of the reduced capacity of the river to flush away sediment. To address this risk, the Project has included gates on the Nakra weir and a gate on the transfer tunnel inlet. Operation of the gates allows the natural flow of the Nakra to be re-established when required and to ensure the sediment transport function of the river. Studies will be undertaken to establish what further actions can be taken to best minimise the risk of flooding caused by mudflow events and accumulation of sediment so that the population ends up being less exposed to flooding risk with the Project than without the Project.
**Water use.** During construction and operation, no impact on community water supply is anticipated. Springs and seeps used as a source of potable and domestic water are not expected to be affected by the Project. However, the water quality and availability will be monitored and alternative supply of water provided in the case of Project induced impacts.

### 3.5 Labour and working conditions

The Project will require a peak workforce of about 1,100 workers for construction. To ensure maximum local benefits are achieved through the construction phase, and to minimise the influx of workers from outside the region, the Project will aim to recruit 100% of the unskilled workers from the Nenskra and Nakra valleys. If insufficient numbers of workers are available locally, the recruitment will be extended to the nearest villages in the Mestia Municipality and the Svaneti region as secondary catchment areas. The Project will aim at minimum 80% of all recruited workers (including skilled, semiskilled and unskilled) are Georgian citizen. JSCNH is committed to identifying opportunities to increase women’s participation in the Project. To promote women’s employment, targets will be established with the EPC Contractor with an objective to recruit women for at least 15% of staff positions across operations.

This process will be managed through a Local Recruitment and Procurement Plan. If insufficient numbers of workers are available locally, the recruitment will be extended to the nearest villages in the Mestia Municipality and the Svaneti region as secondary catchment areas.

Job opportunities are communicated locally through the recruitment offices located in the existing Public Information Centres which have been opened in Chuberi and Naki. Human Resources personnel are available in these recruitment offices to receive resumes from applicants. When new job opportunities are available, all registered community members receive a text message announcing the position(s) available. Monitoring of the supply chain will allow to enhance local indirect business opportunity where and when possible.

All workers coming from others part of Georgia will be accommodated in working camps. Project-induced in-migration is unlikely to occur during construction, but any such in-migration could induce risks of anti-social behaviour, pressure on social services, increase in sexually transmitted diseases, local inflation. These risks are still relevant for the workforce that will be brought from other regions of Georgia to work on the Project.

Processes and procedures with respect to labour management are in alignment with national, Lenders and the International Labor Organization requirements. Independent audits will be conducted for all workforce, including contractor personnel, to ensure compliance with these requirements.

During operation, the hydropower facilities will employ between 50 to 100 staff on site. Most jobs would be skilled positions. Unskilled labour positions would be limited to guard keeping and operators’ village maintenance. The Project aims at supporting vocational training and skill development activities during construction phase in order to increase the local employment targets for operation phase.

### 3.6 Biodiversity and fisheries

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 4 - Biodiversity Impact Assessment” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

**A. Habitats assessment**

**Vegetation.** The flora, vegetation and habitats baseline surveys identified 12 broad scale habitats within the survey area (see Map 5-6). The dominant habitat present was found to be
mixed broadleaved and conifer woodland which made up 60% of the survey area. The survey area covered a total of 142 km². The second most common habitat was broadleaved woodland which comprised 13% of the area surveyed. Conifer dominant woodland was found to cover only 5% of the area surveyed.

**Sensitivity.** The more detailed floral surveys identified 20 species of plant which are endemic to the Caucasus Mountains, two which are listed on the Georgian Red List (as vulnerable) and three species covered by the CITES convention (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna). In 2015, one individual plant of *Paracynoglossum imeretinum,* was found. This is an endemic species to Georgia and at the time, only recorded from 17 locations within Georgia. A survey undertaken in 2017 found that this species, within its range is in fact relatively common and widespread. This species was found at multiple locations within the Nenskra, Enguri, Ormeleti, and Nakra valleys. Of the habitats identified, two of habitats of potentially high sensitivity value were identified within the reservoir impoundment area. These are: beech forests with Colchic understory *Fageta fruticosa colchica* and dark coniferous forest without the understory *Piceeto-Abieta sine fruticos.* Habitats of medium sensitivity value oak or oak-hornbeam forests (*Quercitum -Carpinion betuli*) were recorded. Habitats of a low likely sensitivity value were also recorded.

**Mammals.** The mammal surveys undertaken in 2015 identified that brown bear *Ursus arctos* are present within the Nenskra valley. The 2016 surveys covered the whole of the Nenskra and Nakra watersheds and found signs of brown bear in both valleys. Signs of brown bear: prints, dung and camera trap photos, were found in a number of the Nenskra tributaries, both upstream and downstream of the proposed reservoir area. The number of brown bear signs suggest that there are between 6 and 10 bears present in the Nenskra valley. A single print considered potentially to be from the Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) was also recorded within the reservoir area in 2015, but no signs of lynx were recorded during the 2016 surveys. Bat surveys were also undertaken, they found that less bats use the reservoir area than the area surrounding Tita Village, however seven species of bat were identified within the reservoir area using bat call analysis. It is considered likely that comparatively less bats are roosting within the reservoir area than Tita, but suitable roosting habitat is present in the form of mature trees with lose bark and rot holes. During the mammal surveys no signs of otter *Lutra lutra* were noted, however the habitats present within the survey area were considered to be suitable for this species. Caucasian squirrel *Sciurus anomalus* were noted during the 2016 surveys and were found to be relatively wide spread within the Nenskra valley. A single female wolf *Canis lupus* was recorded on remote camera, feeding on a carcass close to Tita village.

**Birds.** During the bird survey a number of records were made for wide spread and commonly occurring bird species. The surveys were undertaken during September, which is a month when bird migrations (from north to south) are occurring. The survey found that while small flocks of species such as griffon vulture *Gyps fulvus* did fly over the survey area, they did not stop and flew over at height. The surveys found that the Nenskra and Nakra valleys are only occasionally used as migratory flyways, the main flyways being situated to the west of the survey area (closer to the Black Sea) and to the east of the survey area. It was also concluded that the Project area does not lie within a protected site for birds, nor does it form part of the rich bird endemism sites which are present within Georgia.

**River fish.** The 2015 aquatic biodiversity survey had to rely on a habitat assessment and the examination of fish caught by local anglers as electro-fishing was not licenced in Georgia at the time of survey. Only one fish species was observed in the two rivers: brown trout *Salmo trutta.* Further baseline fish surveys were undertaken in September 2017, preliminary results confirm that the only native fish species present in the Nenskra and Nakra rivers is brown trout. The river habitat assessment found that there are a number of habitats present on both the Nenskra and Nakra rivers. High flow areas are considered only to be suitable for adult fish, whereas the lower
flow areas with gravels are likely to be suitable as spawning and nursery sites. No spawning areas were noted on the Nakra River up to 2 km upstream of the proposed Nakra water intake; however some areas were considered suitable as nursery areas. Spawning habitat may also be present within the tributaries, such as the Ormeleti river, downstream of the proposed reservoir area. On the Nenskra potential spawning habitat was noted upstream of the proposed reservoir area. Downstream of this there are a variety of habitats, including nursery habitats. Local observations found that trout are caught by anglers on the Nenskra, Nakra and Ormeleti Rivers.

B. Conservation initiatives

**Proposed Svaneti Protected Area.** The Nenskra HPP (dam, reservoir, powerhouse, Nakra water intake, access roads) is not included in an existing protected area. The creation of a new Svaneti protected area is being investigated by the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources Protection. It has been confirmed that the boundaries of the proposed Svaneti protected area would be located east of the Nakra valley and would not include any of the Nenskra HPP installations or accesses. A management plan has not yet been finalised or made available.

**Candidate Emerald Site.** There are also plans to create an Emerald site in the Svaneti region. In February 2016, the national authorities made an application to the Bern Convention to change the original Svaneti area boundaries. In 2017, the Nenskra Project area lies wholly outside of the candidate Emerald site boundary. Although the Project area resides outside of the boundary of the candidate Emerald site, some species for which the candidate Emerald site has been designated, may range into the Project area, therefore an Appropriate Assessment screening exercise has been undertaken, in line with European Habitats Directive guidance. The Appropriate Assessment Screening Report is presented in Vol 4. “Biodiversity Impact Assessment”.

C. Critical habitat assessment

A Critical Habitat Assessment has been performed, in line with relevant guidance (IFC, ADB, EIB, AIIB and EBRD) to identify areas of high biodiversity value and which would be sensitive to the proposed development. The five criteria provided by the IFC have been assessed based on the primary data collected during the field biodiversity surveys. Also referenced within the assessments is the requirement of the EBRD to identify Priority Biodiversity Features and of the EIB to identify Natural Habitats. During the assessment of the five criteria, no Critical Habitats were assessed to be present within the Project-affected area (dam and reservoir, powerhouse and penstock, Nakra water intake), as the habitats present were considered to be modified through logging and stock grazing. While emblematic species such as brown bear, lynx and wolf are present in the Project area, none of the habitats present were considered to be Critical for the conservation status of these species as it was considered that habitats outside the Project area, (especially those upstream of the proposed impoundment area) provided more natural, less disturbed areas, with sufficient food availability for these species.

D. Predicted impacts on terrestrial biodiversity

**Habitat loss.** As a result of the Project there will be impacts regarding habitat loss. The total area of habitats potentially to be affected will be 882.5 ha. During the construction phase, approximately 314 ha of permanent forestry and scrub loss will occur. To compensate for this loss, the implementation of a Nenskra/Nakra watershed based Reforestation Management Plan is planned. The plan aims to restore and manage a greater area of forest, than that which will be lost. For temporarily lost habitats a Revegetation and Management Plan will be implemented to enable targeted planting and management to restore areas, to similar habitats to those pre-construction.
Mammal and birds. For mammal and bird species no significant impacts were predicted into account the identified mitigation. Only two red list/Annex 1 species of bird were recorded within the Project Area and which may also breed there: woodlark and red-backed shrike. Both species are regarded as being common and widespread in the region. The construction phase will displace the breeding birds where habitat removal occurs. Vegetation clearance works will therefore be timed to occur outside of the nesting season. Nest boxes suitable for Boreal owl (presence not confirmed through survey) and for bat species will also be erected on trees adjacent to the Project area. Monitoring for brown bear will also be undertaken (and begun September 2017); population estimates will be based on DNA analysis of brown bear dung. Monitoring for otter presence will also be undertaken at the same time as the fish/invertebrate surveys.

Induced access. As part of the Project an access track will be created from the dam area to the upstream end of the reservoir impoundment area. This would replace a track which already exists, as it would be flooded by the reservoir. The new track would facilitate access to the upper Nenskra valley therefore mitigation would be implemented to control the access along the reservoir by-pass cattle track, to prevent use by vehicles. In the Nakra valley, the track which currently leads up to the weir location will be improved. This improved track is not anticipated to have any significant effect on the current rate of logging in the valley. However, as the weir and track will be located closed from the boundary of the proposed Svaneti Protected Area, the Project will continue to consult with MoENRP to identify defined conservation project(s) to (part) fund, to aid in the creation of the proposed Svaneti Protected Area.

E. Predicted impacts on river fish

Downstream of Nenskra dam. The Nenskra dam will prevent downstream migration of fish from the upper part of the valley to the lower Nenskra River, as no fish pass is proposed for the Nenskra dam. This is because the available technical solutions are considered to be inefficient for a 125 m high structure, with fluctuating reservoir levels. Since no suitable spawning areas were identified downstream of the dam on the Nenskra river itself, the altered migration pattern could reduce the brown trout population over time, post construction, in the Nenskra River downstream of the dam, as the only available spawning areas would be within the tributaries flowing in to this stretch of the Nenskra. However, the fish impact assessment found that the change in river levels and flow velocity which will occur as a result of the dam could be of benefit to fish populations in some sections of the river.

River Habitat Enhancement. Downstream of the dam, close to the Tita bridge, a 2.2 kilometre long reach of the river could become a suitable area for nursery and spawning grounds. The expected increased sediment deposition downstream of the dam (due to a decrease in average flow rates) would likely contribute to the emergence of new spawning areas for the fish. However, it is anticipated that sediment deposition would take time, in the order of about 10 years. As a mitigation measure, a River Channel Maintenance/Habitat Enhancement Management Plan will be prepared and implemented. The plan will be informed by the results of ongoing fish monitoring and a year one post dam construction repeat River Habitat Survey. The River Channel Maintenance/Habitat Enhancement Management Plan will deal with the management of a 2.2 km section of river close to the Tita Footbridge area. This section of river will be managed as a spawning/nursery ground for trout; management is likely to include engineering of the river bed to achieve enhancement. On the Nakra River, a natural/slot pass

---

4 Dam height was previously disclosed as 130 m. Dam height is now referred to as 125 m as this relates to the height from the deepest point on the upstream face of the dam, whereas the 130 m previously quoted relates to the height from the deepest point on the downstream face of the dam. The reservoir full supply level and the design of the dam have not changed. This has been amended to provide consistency with other Project documents.
hybrid fish pass will be constructed for the weir and ongoing monitoring to assess its efficacy will be undertaken.

**Fish and invertebrate monitoring.** Ongoing monitoring to assess the population levels of brown trout and invertebrate populations, in both the Nakra and Nenskra rivers will be undertaken (begun in September 2017). This monitoring will be ongoing through the construction and operational phase of the Project. The results will be used to inform the need for remedial action (e.g. re-stocking or catch and release of brown trout) if population levels are found to have significantly reduced over a given period of time.

### 3.7 Ecological flow

A minimum ecological flow of 0.9 m$^3$/s will be discharged immediately downstream of the Nenskra dam all year round. The effectiveness of the ecological flow has been assessed in the Supplementary E&S studies against social and biodiversity issues.

The assessment has shown that the ecological flow is not the critical factor with regard to maintaining ecological continuity and no net loss of biodiversity. The limiting factor is the physical presence of the Nenskra dam, which acts as a barrier blocking access for fish to spawning areas that are upstream from the dam in the future reservoir area and further upstream; There are no spawning areas in the 2 kilometres immediately downstream from the Nenskra dam and probably no spawning areas will develop in this reach after the dam has been built as the gradient of the river is very steep. Consequently, it is not considered necessary to maintain a larger flow in this reach from a fish biodiversity perspective. The adopted approach is to maintain ecological continuity downstream from the Nenskra River’s confluence with the first tributary downstream from the dam – which is the Memuli - located at 2 kilometres from the dam. Downstream of the Memuli confluence there are areas that could become spawning areas as a result of the reduced flow, which will be targeted by the River Habitat Enhancement Management Plan to be developed by the Project.

The future Nenskra river flow resulting from the combination of ecological flow immediately downstream of the dam and the contribution from the downstream tributaries has also been assessed as appropriate to maintain the existing uses of the river water by the local population and the tourists. During the 2017 public meetings concerns were raised about potential changes in temperatures and humidity in the vicinity of Chuberi due to reduced river flow downstream of the dam. The physical presence of the river has a cooling effect caused by evaporation of water from the surface of the river, and from spray generated by the turbulent flow of water. It is assessed that the reduced flow of water is not expected to cause a change in the quantity of evaporated water as the surface area of the river in Chuberi will not be significantly modified, and the project is not expected to have a discernible effect on water temperature. The magnitude of the potential changes in the amount of spray produced by the river is expected to be within or only marginally greater than the existing naturally occurring inter-annual changes. In summer with the dam, the spray produced can be expected to be of a similar order of magnitude to that produced in a dry-year without the dam. The reduced cooling effect of the spray may be noticeable within a few metres of the river or on bridges at certain times of day, such as the hottest part of the day, but the change is not expected to cause particular discomfort for the residents of the village. In the winter, the reduced humidity in the vicinity will probably not be discernible because of the general low temperatures and humidity naturally present in winter. In addition, it is possible that any changes to the micro-climate will be negligible compared to the effects of climate change on a regional scale. To demonstrate and monitor this prediction, the Project will install two weather stations at the dam site and the powerhouse.

A minimum ecological flow of 1.2 m$^3$/s will be discharged from the Nakra water intake via the fish-pass all year round. The assessment of the effectiveness of the ecological flow has
concluded that the planned minimum flow will be sufficient to support aquatic faunal populations downstream of the Nakra water intake. As highlighted in Section 3.2, the ecological flow would not be sufficient to compensate the reduced capacity of the Nakra river to regularly flush away accumulated sediments from mudflow events that could occur. This is the reason why in 2016 the Project modified the design of the Nakra weir. Remotely controllable gates were added on the weir to allow sediment flushing or occasional water flushing for sediment transport management.

3.8 Cumulative impacts

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 10 - Cumulative Impact Assessment” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

Objectives. The cumulative impact assessment has identified E&S impacts and risks associated with the Nenskra Hydropower Project that, in the context of existing, planned, and reasonable predictable developments, may generate cumulative impacts that could jeopardize the overall long-term environmental, social and economic sustainability of the Project and the Enguri watershed.

Other Projects. The predictable developments (see Map 5-8) that could generate cumulative impacts with the Nenskra HPP comprise: (i) the proposed Khudoni HPP, which is situated on the Enguri River downstream of the confluence with the Nenskra River; (ii) the existing Enguri reservoir and (iii) the potential small run-of-river hydropower sites that could be developed in the Enguri watershed, especially those on the tributaries of the Nenskra River (Darchi HPP, Lakhami 1 and 2 HPPs, Tita HPP) or on the Nakra River (Nakra HPP, Nakra 1 and 2 HPPs). Also taken into consideration are the external activities – forestry, mining and tourism, and environmental stressors.

Terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity. No significant cumulative impacts are predicted on terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity because there is no spatial overlap of the affected areas of the different projects. On a watershed scale, the overall loss of resources is not significant.

River fish. The migration pattern altered by the construction of the Nenskra dam could reduce the brown trout population over time, post construction, in the Nenskra River downstream of the dam. The Khudoni project will also have significant impacts on fish resources by the creation of an artificial reservoir downstream of the Nenskra powerhouse. However, the two projects affect different reaches of the Nenskra and Enguri rivers and there is no spatial overlap of impacts. Consequently, it is expected that there will be no discernible cumulative impact on fish and fish habitat from the Khudoni and Nenskra projects. Nevertheless, the reduced flow in certain reaches of the Nenskra River’s tributaries caused by small run-of-river schemes may represent a loss of fish habitat. It can be expected that the environmental authorities will require maintaining of ecological flows and construction of fish passes at the run-of-river weirs thus minimising the impact. A population of brown trout could develop in the Khudoni reservoir, and which may at certain times of year move upstream and partially balance reduced fish numbers in the Nenskra River caused by the Nenskra Project. However, this positive impact will probably be marginal. In the Nakra valley, the river will also be affected by 3 run-of-river hydropower schemes (Nakra HPP, Nakra 1 and Nakra 2 HPPs). The weir constructed by the Nenskra Project will be equipped with a fish pass, and it is expected that the upstream run-of-river HPPs will also be equipped with fish passes and that suitable ecological flows will be maintained in the bypassed sections of the river. Nevertheless, the combined effect of the Nenskra Project and

5 Potential hydropower developments in the Nakra valley were previously disclosed as Nakra HPP. Since the disclosure of the Nenskra Supplementary E&S studies in February 2017, the list of potential hydropower projects has been updated by the Ministry of Energy. In October 2017, it included a new hydropower cascade planned to be located upstream of the proposed water intake of the Nenskra Project: Nakra 1 and 2 HPPs.
the Nakra run-of-river schemes is expected to cause a significant impact on fish population in the Nakra river.

Social aspects. At the time of writing, the Nenskra Project’s early works are ongoing, as are the discussions with affected people regarding resettlement and compensation. Concerns about the Nenskra HPP have been raised by stakeholders and some of the people from the Nenskra and Nakra valleys were not favourable towards the Project in the early stages. This was partly a result of a perceived lack of social license to operate on the part of the Project and hydropower developments in general. However, the Project has engaged with local communities and revised the design of certain facilities in order to avoid the need for physical displacement and minimise economic displacement. The Nenskra Project is now seen in a more favourable light, and some members of the community have expressed that they see the employment opportunities brought by the Project as positive. The Nenskra Project has the objective to set a standard with respect to the Good International Practice in terms of minimising social impacts, stakeholder engagement and public disclosure.

Most of the land needs for the Khudoni HPP, Nenskra HPP and the small run-of-river projects do not overlap spatially. However, the footprint of the Khudoni HPP labour camp may overlap with the Nenskra powerhouse work areas near Lakhami. This will depend on the final location of the Khudoni labour camp, which is still to be defined. There could be some similar cumulative land acquisition issues related to overlapping land requirements for the Nenskra Transmission Line in the area of the Nenskra powerhouse and the new substation in the Nenskra valley. However, at the time of writing the location of these components are not available and in addition the Nenskra 220 kV Transmission Line will be designed, constructed and operated by GSE, who will also manage the land acquisition for the Transmission Line. GSE will consult JSCNH to harmonise approaches to environment and social risk management and mitigation.

Construction periods. There is uncertainty with regard to the start date of the Khudoni project construction works. In the event that the construction works for the Nenskra and Khudoni projects are concurrent, it can be expected to result in higher levels of traffic along the Jvari – Khaishi road and along the Khaishi – Chuberi road, compared to the case when the projects are constructed at different times. This has implications on the size of the road needed, upgrading requirements and road maintenance. There are also issues around noise and dust in terms of public health and safety. The high level of traffic may cause traffic delays or give the road a bad safety reputation affecting tourist development around Mestia. The physical presence of the proposed Khudoni reservoir would require that a new section of the Jvari – Mestia road to be constructed to replace the section flooded by the Khudoni reservoir. However, the route taken by the new section of the road has not yet been publicly disclosed. In the case that the Khudoni project construction starts before the Nenskra Project construction, the distance covered by Nenskra Project traffic may be slightly longer.

Exposure to technological risk. The physical presence of the Nenskra dam and the Transmission Line will contribute to the general industrialisation of the valley and which translates as an increased exposure to technological risks. However, if exposure to risks is in alignment with European standards, although there may be an increase, the overall cumulative exposure shall be within acceptable and tolerable limits. The presence of the Nenskra dam could be perceived as an additional threat to the safety of the Khudoni dam and consequently downstream communities. However, the likelihood of failure of the Nenskra dam can expected to be in the same order of magnitude at that of the Khudoni dam – very remote likelihood - and the overall risk of the Khudoni dam failure with or without the presence of the Nenskra dam shall be within tolerable limits as defined by good international industry practice.

Microclimate. Discernible impacts on microclimate from the Nenskra reservoir could occur in the immediate area of the reservoir during the summer. Because of the small size of the reservoir these changes are not expected to be detectable beyond Tita, which is 4 km
downstream of the dam. The microclimate changes around the Khudoni and Enguri reservoirs are not expected to rise up the valley to Chuberi – because the colder more humid air around the reservoir is denser than the ambient air and thus is not expected to move up a valley gaining 400 m in altitude. Consequently, there is no spatial overlap of areas affected by change in microclimate from the Nenskra and Khudoni projects and therefore no cumulative impact.

**Reservoir triggered seismicity.** It is considered that the combined physical presence of the Nenskra, Khudoni and Enguri reservoirs will probably not cause a Reservoir Triggered Seismicity event of greater magnitude than that of any one of the three reservoirs considered individually or the case without any of the dams. However, the additional stress that is put on the faults by the combination of the three reservoirs could increase the likelihood or frequency of Reservoir Triggered Seismicity. There are a number of faults situated between the Nenskra and Khudoni reservoirs. The faults are at similar distances from both the Nenskra and Khudoni reservoirs, and could be influenced by both the reservoirs, and the possibility of occurrence of Reservoir triggered seismicity cannot be excluded. As for the Nenskra Project reservoir alone, there are at present no feasible way to assess the maximum magnitude of Reservoir triggered seismicity earthquakes, but events with a magnitude of 4.5 on the Richter Scale and possibly slightly more must be regarded as possible, which although they can be felt are not expected to cause damage to buildings.

**The cumulative impact mitigation strategy** relies on all parties coordinating their plans and encouraging information sharing on each project. This would help ensure consistency between mitigation strategies, and more effective management of social and environmental aspects. The Georgia State can, and should, play a key role in coordinating the development plans for the various projects.
4 Environmental & social management

4.1 Responsibilities

**JSC Nenskra Hydro.** As the Project Company, JSCNH will take the full responsibility of the E&S management of the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Nenskra Hydropower Project (Nenskra Dam and Reservoir, Headrace Tunnel and Powerhouse, Nakra Water Intake and Transfer Tunnel).

**GSE.** The 220 kV Transmission Line to be developed from the Nenskra switchyard to a new substation in the Nenskra valley will be designed, constructed and operated by a third party company, namely Georgian State Electrosystem (GSE). GSE will take the full responsibility of the E&S management of the construction, operation and decommissioning of the 220 kV Transmission Line that evacuates the power produced by the Nenskra Hydropower Project.

**EPC Contractor.** Although JSCNH takes the overall responsibility for the implementation of E&S mitigation and compensation measures of the Project, JSCNH delegates to the EPC Contractor (Salini Impregilo SA) the implementation of the E&S measures relating to the construction methods. This delegation is ruled by the E&S specifications that form part of the EPC Contract and that establish the objectives in terms of E&S performance for the construction methods. Some E&S actions that do not relate to the construction methods will be initiated during the construction period. These actions result from the mitigation strategy of the long term effects identified by the 2015 ESIA process and the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies. Their implementation will be under the responsibility of JSCNH.

**GoG.** The Government of Georgia and JSCNH have shared responsibility for preparation and implementation of the land acquisition process for the implementation of the Project in compliance with the Georgian legislation and the Lenders policies. JSCNH will define and justify the land required for the Project construction and operation. GoG will review, approve or amend these land requirements. GoG will acquire the approved required lands and transfer to JSCNH the land title that is valid and free of encumbrances. JSCNH will pay costs and expenses incurred by the GoG and needed for acquiring all legal rights in accordance with the applicable laws and in compliance with the Lenders E&S policies.

4.2 Environmental & social management plan

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 8 - Environmental & Social Management Plan” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

**Commitments.** The 2017 supplementary E&S Studies complete the work conducted during the 2015 ESIA study. Through these studies, a number of mitigation and compensation measures have been identified to reduce or compensate the predicted adverse E&S effects resulting from the Nenskra HPP construction and operation. They are based on embedded mitigation involving site and technology choice, application of good international industry practice and enhancement measures that distribute benefits more equitably. These measures constitute the Project’s E&S commitments. They are approved by JSCNH and will be implemented during the lifetime of the Project. Through its E&S Management Plan (see Volume 8 - ESMP), JSCNH describes the management actions necessary to implement these commitments and mitigation strategy,
including the manner in which they will be executed, the timetable, the resources and the performance indicators. Management actions include the following:

- **A Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Plan** has been prepared to guide the land take process and to ensure that all individuals affected by land acquisition for the Project are compensated for their land and assets, which will enable them to attain a standard of living similar to and, if possible, better than the existing one.

- **Management of access to pasture** potentially impaired by temporary works will be implemented through layout adaptation of camps and site installations before construction, and through conversion into pasture of temporary facilities (e.g. disposal area, laydown areas), post construction.

- **A Community Investment Program** sourced by the JSCNH during the construction period and the operation phase will be managed to financially support local initiatives which sustainably address educational, economic and social needs of communities in the Nenskra and Nakra valleys.

- **A Downstream Flood Protection Plan** will be prepared and implemented to design and execute (i) the most effective methods for controlling accumulated sediment by re-establishing periodically the natural flow of the Nakra River, sediment accumulation monitoring and possible river maintenance works, and (ii) the structural and non-structural measures to address potential flood flows downstream of the Nenskra dam that are higher than for the natural situation due to reservoir operation.

- **Emergency Planning** will be prepared, tested and resourced to respond to rapid variations of downstream river water level in the Nenskra valley including interface with local authorities and emergency services, in case of dam failure hazard, downstream release hazard or circumstances that potentially indicates an increase in the likelihood of a dam failure hazard or downstream release hazard happening.

- **Replanting of vegetation** will be planned and implemented in order to (i) eco-compensate the loss of forested area due to reservoir impoundment and construction of project’s infrastructure, (ii) ensure the long-term reinstatement of areas disturbed during the construction period and prone to erosion, and (iii) conserve possible rare plant species existing on the construction areas.

- **Wildlife conservation measures** will be undertaken through (i) river fish habitat management in the Nenskra valley and construction of a fish pass on the Nakra weir, (ii) monitoring of brown bear and (iii) contribution to biodiversity conservation initiatives in the Enguri watershed in collaboration with NGOs and the Agency for Protected Areas.

- **River water quality** will be protected from project operations through (i) erosion control and effluent/drainage treatment during construction, (ii) vegetation clearing of the Nenskra reservoir and management of associated green waste, (iii) management of tunnelling spoil that represents an environmental risk as per good industry practice.

- **A Stakeholder Engagement Plan** has been prepared to summarize the public consultation process carried so far and the future engagement initiatives planned during the construction and the operation periods. See Section 4.3 below.

- **Detailed E&S obligations** have been included into the EPC Contract to ensure that construction methods do not incur adverse or non-compensated effects on communities, vegetation, soils, groundwater, biodiversity, natural drainage and water quality in areas adjacent to Worksites for the entire duration of the works. It includes the performance standards of the Lenders’ E&S policies.

- **E&S Surveillance of Construction works** has been organized to supervise the good execution of the aforementioned E&S construction obligations as well as managing the changes of design required by the 2015 ESIA and 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.
Natural hazard risks to workers are being addressed through development of a construction Emergency Preparedness Plan that will be informed by detailed studies of a number of potential risks.

- **E&S Monitoring** activities will be implemented to detect unpredicted adverse changes in communities and receiving environment, verify the effectiveness of the ESMP measures and plan corrective actions when and where required. The following indicators will be monitored during construction and the first years of operation: (i) downstream hydrology and ecological flow, (ii) river and drinking water quality, (iii) river fish habitats and fish pass efficiency, (iv) land use, erosion and revegetation progress, (v) livelihood restoration and socio-economic indicators.

- **Community-based Participatory Monitoring**: local communities and stakeholders will be involved in the monitoring of environmental and social indicators which include, but are not limited to, noise and vibration, dust and air quality, and water quality. At the time of writing, JSCNH had already engaged the local community and discussed the principles and operational details. First joint-monitoring activities are planned to start end of 2017, beginning of 2018.

### 4.3 Stakeholder engagement plan

The information presented in this Section is described in detail in “Volume 7 - Stakeholder Engagement Plan” of the 2017 Supplementary E&S Studies.

**Previous stakeholder engagement.** The Nenskra HPP has conducted a number of stakeholder engagement activities in 2015-2017: (i) Public hearings in the valleys and in Mestia Municipality as part of the 2015 ESIA disclosure process, (ii) Focus groups, key informant interviews and households interviews as part of the socioeconomic surveys for the Supplementary E&S Studies from September to November 2015, (iii) Public information meetings in Chuberi and Naki in December 2015 to discuss the preliminary findings of the Supplementary E&S Studies and the proposed mitigation measures, (iv) Meetings with farmers affected by loss of pasture areas at the Nenskra dam site and at the Nakra water intake site in February, April and October 2016, (v) and several meetings with the general population in 2016. In February-March 2017, the first version of the 2017 Supplementary E&S studies was publicly disclosed in both hard copies and on internet. Several public meetings were then held from April to August 2017 with the population located in Nenskra and Nakra valleys and in Tbilisi. JSCNH selected several mediums to disclose the 2017 Supplementary E&S studies, allowing stakeholders to provide feedback regarding their concerns. This multi-modal approach ensured that a maximum number of people were informed about the Project and the most recent studies.

**Comments from communities.** Through these various meetings held in 2017, the villagers of the two valleys expressed their concerns about many issues, including: (i) Community investment, ensuring the communities benefit from the Project; (ii) Land Acquisition, regarding non-legalizable land and how the project would access this land and users of common use areas; (iii) dam safety, landslides, noise and vibrations; (iv) employment opportunities; (v) Svan status, regarding the request for identification of Svan as Indigenous Peoples under the Lenders policies; and (v) accumulation of sediments in the Nakra river downstream of the water intake and risk of floods if natural dams were created in the riverbed.

**Future activities.** The future stakeholder engagement activities have been planned in accordance with the identified community's concerns. The EPC Contractor will also conduct dedicated meetings to further explain local recruitment procedures, traffic management, and important construction activities such as the use of TBM machine or explosives. Throughout the Project’s lifecycle, JSCNH will ensure that stakeholders are well informed about the construction and then, the operation activities. Stakeholders will have the opportunity to express their
opinion about the project and about JSCNH, including complaints. The delivery of information will meet all applicable legal requirements and will comply with the Lenders policies in terms of transparency, disclosure and grievance mechanisms. To facilitate this, the Project will implement a Grievance Mechanism that will allow affected people and other stakeholders to express their grievances or comments to the Project, with the aim of finding an amicable solution to resolve the grievances.
5 Maps
Dam site:
- 13 summer cabins affected
- 1 pasture permanently lost (Machlitchala)
- 1 pasture lost during construction (Kvemo Memuli)
Total: 25 affected households

Powerhouse area:
- 2 occupied houses
- 1 house not occupied
- 1 household affected by loss of land
Total: 5 affected households

Operators village:
- 1 household affected by loss of land

Road widening:
- 35 households affected by loss of land, trees, fences or ancillary structures

Nakra Water Intake area:
- 1 summer cabin affected
- 1 pasture with partial permanent loss
Total: 27 affected households

Figure 7 - Location of impacts

Map 5.5 - Land requirements
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