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1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Objectives of the SEP

This Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) provides the framework for external stakeholder engagement in the Albanian section of the Trans Adriatic Pipeline (TAP) project and is aligned with its Stakeholder Engagement Strategy.

This is a live document and will be updated as needed. This issue of the SEP focuses mostly on the engagement planned during the construction stage.

The specific objectives of this SEP are to:

- Provide an outline of planned stakeholder engagement during the construction stage of the project, in line with project standards, and indicate how the plan will be updated in preparation for operations.
- Ensure regular, accessible and transparent consultation with stakeholders.
- Build constructive, long-term relationships, based on two-way dialogue and communication aimed at attaining broad community support among affected communities.
- Provide the framework for ongoing stakeholder identification, analysis, mapping and prioritisation; consultation and engagement; risk and issue identification; information sharing; and documentation of engagement and required follow-up actions.
- Build on previous engagement efforts during the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) and Land Easement and Acquisition (LEA) phases by introducing suitable mechanisms for sharing information and receiving feedback, acknowledging key issues raised by stakeholders, assisting TAP to understand stakeholder expectations and facilitating stakeholder input relating to the project.
- Define the roles, responsibilities and resources necessary to implement the SEP, including procedures to monitor and follow up stakeholder feedback and grievances.

1.2 TAP Engagement Commitments

In Albania, TAP has committed to the following specific actions:

- Promoting meaningful engagement in Albania.
- Ensuring the contents and actions covered in the SEP are understood by all Stakeholder Engagement Functions in Albania, including field staff.
- Ensuring key national, regional, and local stakeholders affected by the pipeline as well as other stakeholders who have an interest in TAP, its impacts, benefits and opportunities are identified.
- Engaging with these stakeholders in a dialogue on social, environmental, safety, security and other relevant issues through regular, free, prior and informed consultations.
- Disclosing relevant project information to stakeholders.
- Promoting awareness and understanding of TAP’s Stakeholder Engagement process, ensuring an open, two-way flow of information, transparency and accountability.
- Ensuring compliance with the Stakeholder Engagement Strategy and other TAP Management System documents that relate to stakeholder engagement.
- Ensuring compliance with project standards.
- Providing the necessary training to internal parties on topics related to stakeholder engagement.
2. Project Stakeholders

2.1 Stakeholder Identification

Stakeholder identification has been, and will continue to be, carried out with the objective of establishing which individuals and organisations may be directly or indirectly affected (positively and/or negatively), by TAP’s activities or may have an interest in or influence on the project.

As part of the TAP stakeholder identification process, the following were taken into account:

- TAP’s potential impacts during construction and operations.
- What type of stakeholder engagement is mandated by law and project standards.
- Who is likely to be affected directly or indirectly by potential impacts in the project’s area of influence.
- Who are the vulnerable groups requiring special engagement efforts.
- Who supports or opposes the changes that TAP’s activities/projects will bring and why.

TAP stakeholders identified in accordance with the above fall into one of the following groups:

- **Directly affected population**: including all owners and users of land and other resources affected by project land access.
- **Project affected communities**: including residents of the communities in the project area of influence.
- **Vulnerable groups**: stakeholders directly or indirectly affected by project land access who, by virtue of gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity, indigenous status, refugee/migrant status, age, disability, economic disadvantage or social status, may be more adversely affected by project impacts than others and who may be limited in their ability to claim or take advantage of project benefits. This may include women, ethnic minorities, children, young people, the elderly/retired, single-headed families, households with low income, disabled people, households with no legal rights to land, or households that are dependent on limited land of low productivity.
- **National government**: government ministries, state agencies, parliamentary committees, regulators.
- **Regional and municipal government**: including regional governments and the local governments of Berat, Fier and Korça counties.
- **Public authorities**: education, health, hospitals, civil protection, ports.
- **Local businesses and operators, agricultural institutions / associations**
- **NGOs, civil society**: national and international NGOs and associations.
- **Media**: TAP has attracted considerable national, regional and local media attention and communicates with journalists.

Early in the project, TAP developed a Stakeholder and Consultation Database as a tool to maintain the list of individual stakeholders identified in each group above and to help manage the relationships with them. This database documented stakeholders’ specific interests in the project, as well as issues, concerns and relevant questions raised during the consultation undertaken. The system recorded Minutes of Meetings (MoMs), information on meeting agendas, attendance, questions and answers, decisions and information disclosure, as well as management of actions and commitments.

---

1 Communities within the area impacted (directly and indirectly) by the project including the supporting facilities operated by EPC contractors.
In early 2016, TAP decided to revise the stakeholder management system and engaged a specialist database development consultant to devise an enhanced stakeholder database. This revised system has been integrated with TAP’s Grievance Management process and is known as the Stakeholder and Grievance Management Tool (SGMT). Its operation is based on inputs by the in-country stakeholder facing functions and managed by a dedicated Stakeholder Database Analyst based in Baar, Switzerland, together with the Grievance Advisor in Albania who manages the grievance component of the system.

The SGMT:

- Details the various stakeholders and stakeholder groups;
- Details any consultations or formal meetings held and information provided;
- Comprises a record of specific grievances lodged and the status of their resolution; and
- Incorporates an automated stakeholder mapping function.

The SGMT also prioritises stakeholders and tracks issues through to closure. The SGMT is used to systematically document detailed information on stakeholders and to record engagement sessions, meetings and outcomes based on information fed into the database. Where data is entered by contractors, the in-country social experts will review these entries.

### 2.2 Stakeholder Analysis, Mapping and Prioritisation

To define stakeholder relationships, stakeholder vulnerability, their importance to TAP and stakeholder influence on TAP’s activities, stakeholders are assessed and mapped according to influence, interest and the degree of potential impact. The Albania country team has developed regional stakeholder maps and related stakeholder registers. The objective of this stakeholder mapping exercise has been to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of pro-active and reactive engagement, and to identify any potential risks and issues that TAP may face. This approach also helps TAP understand the stakeholder interfaces, stakeholder issues, set priorities, and decide on specific techniques for achieving effective stakeholder participation.

The matrix presented below is used during stakeholder mapping to determine the importance of the various stakeholders. The matrix has helped the classification of the stakeholders and the definition of the degree to which a stakeholder is affected/impacted or can impact the project. Alignment on the vertical axis indicates the ability of stakeholders to influence the project or other stakeholders.

Impact or interest, along the horizontal axis, refers to the degree to which stakeholders are interested in the project (the amount of involvement).
Stakeholder mapping guides the degree of effort required in working with the stakeholder on an on-going basis. After prioritising stakeholders, the information is used to determine the degree to which TAP interacts with each stakeholder.

TAP recognises that there is a continual need to maintain and update the list of individual stakeholders and their ranking to inform TAP’s interactions with these stakeholders as the project proceeds through the various implementation stages. In doing so, TAP is committed to respecting people’s privacy and the confidentiality of personal data. Regular outreach to all stakeholders is planned to identify additional interested parties, identify any potential gaps in coverage and to update the list.

The mapping described above is being transferred into the SGMT which will be used by TAP to automate stakeholder mapping updates, based on the ongoing stakeholder activity, their interaction with the project and the stakeholder engagement activities as recorded by the stakeholder engagement team’s inputs to the SGMT.

Stakeholder information in the tool’s database allows the generation of stakeholder maps that, by using specific criteria and filters (such as type of stakeholder, geographic information) can be customised to address particular analysis and engagement needs.

This function will greatly improve the ability to generate mapping of individual stakeholders and communities as needed. The previously ‘manually-generated’ maps were subject to limitations due to the large number of stakeholders.

An example of an SGMT-generated stakeholder map is provided in Figure 2.2 overleaf.

Stakeholder mapping is reviewed and updated on a quarterly basis. As part of this process, the automated SGMT-produced mapping is also checked and verified (‘ground-truthed’) by TAP’s stakeholder-facing functions to ensure that it reflects up-to-date relationship dynamics and spheres of influence.
Figure 2.2: SGMT-generated stakeholder map (for illustrative purposes only)

Legend
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Size of circle

Represents stakeholder importance as set by the SGMT operator (Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team member)
3. Stakeholder Engagement Completed to Date

3.1 Introduction

TAP has been engaging stakeholders since 2008 through structured, ongoing dialogue, both proactively and as required by law and project standards. TAP Albania’s Community Liaison Coordinators (CLCs) have been engaging regularly with regional, municipal and community leaders and officials, keeping them updated about the project, gathering field information, and answering any concerns and requests.

TAP has used a variety of methods to communicate with stakeholders. At different stages of the project, these have included:

- Project offices (i.e. project information spots, Community Liaison Offices).
- Info points and public display processes.
- One-to-one and small group meetings, in particular with government officials.
- Community/public meetings.
- Brochures and posters.
- TAP website.
- Newspaper advertisements.
- Social media (e.g. text messaging, blogs).
- Electronic media (radio & TV).

3.2 ESIA and LEA-based Engagement

3.2.1 ESIA Engagement

Stakeholder engagement during the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) process was completed in five phases to ensure that a consistent, comprehensive, coordinated and culturally appropriate approach was taken for consultation and project disclosure. These phases comprised the following:

- Pre-scoping
- Route refinement
- Scoping
- Main ESIA Phase
- ESIA finalisation and disclosure.

During the main ESIA phase of stakeholder engagement, nearly 1,500 participants were consulted during 140 consultation events. These were as follows:

- Seven national level meetings with government authorities and NGOs.
- 71 local-level meetings across 32 communes and 76 settlements (Devoll, Korça, Skrapar, Berat, Fier).
- 38 focus groups (Devoll, Korça, Skrapar, Berat, Fier).
- 24 key informant interviews (Devoll, Korça, Skrapar, Berat, Fier).

A series of media announcements were placed in national and local press. Once the date, time and location of each consultation meeting were confirmed, a press release was issued to Albanian national newspapers and an
announcement made on local television stations before each meeting. Consultation posters were also distributed throughout the settlements in advance of meetings that were held in the mornings and evenings in order to increase attendance.

In all cases, formal Minutes of Meeting (MoMs) and evaluation forms were completed.

The ESIA stakeholder engagement process also included focus groups for women and a focus group for the Roma population in Mbrostar.

Before the final submission of the ESIA, TAP provided the full ESIA document to the residents of more than 80 communities in the regions of Korça, Skrapar, Berat and Fier, as well as to various NGOs and institutions. In total, more than 20 public consultations took place with local stakeholders along the TAP route. Local government was also involved in the consultation process. The TAP project team discussed the report with the councils of more than 35 communes located along the route. All issued formal decisions in support of the project. During this period of public consultation on the ESIA, TAP received feedback from local communities and responded to a range of queries.

As a result of the stakeholder engagement that took place during the ESIA, a number of key concerns and issues were raised. In order of prevalence, these included:

- Loss of livelihoods and land compensation.
- Potential benefits.
- Overview of the TAP project.
- Health and Safety.
- Purchase of gas.
- Project impacts and their management.
- Stakeholder engagement and information disclosure.
- Role of government in the implementation of the project

A full description of the issues raised and an analysis by region can be found in section 7 of the ESIA. The mitigation measures devised to address project impacts (including the issues raised during consultations) are included in section 8 of the ESIA and information on routing alternatives is contained in Annex 1. Formal approval of the ESIA submitted by TAP for the Albanian section of the pipeline was approved by the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Water Administration in April 2013.

### 3.2.2 LEA Engagement

TAP strongly believes in dialogue and establishing long-lasting relationships with all communities along the pipeline’s route. To that end, TAP organised consultations with project-affected people (PAPs) on several occasions:

- Extensive consultations took place at national, regional, local and community levels during the Environment and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) development and its disclosure.
- Consultations concerning compensation values were conducted by local and international experts during 2012 and 2013 with more than 20 national and local authorities.
- An additional round of meetings was organised for the disclosure of compensation rates. Participatory consultation occurred during a two-month timeframe in 2014 in all project areas.
• Prior to initiating the Census and Asset Inventory (CAI) process, meetings were held both at community and individual level. General public meetings explained the overall LEA process and specific CAI activity. All meetings were announced and advertised in local media. Extensive and detailed one-on-one consultation was organised with all PAPs.

• Updating and improving existing cadastral records was both a precondition of concluding amicable voluntary agreements with PAPs, as well as a TAP commitment to the Albanian government. Several LEA teams were engaged to work with PAPs one-to-one to clarify, update, and improve their land ownership documents, so that they could conclude voluntary amicable agreements with TAP.

• Individual and community compensation meetings were also organised. Once TAP prepared official compensation offers for individual PAPs, general community meetings were held once again to inform PAPs of the process and provide the opportunity to ask questions and seek clarification. Following the general community meeting, at least two one-to-one meetings (often more) were held between TAP and individual PAPs.

There are six Immovable Property Registration Offices (IPROs) located in Devoll, Skrapar, Fier, Korça, Berat, and Lushnje. TAP provided these offices with additional professional staff to assist PAPs with compiling and verifying property documentation and land registration and for publicly displaying cadastral information and project routing maps. As part of updating the cadastral data, close to 50 public display processes were organised throughout 2014 and 2015 in 10 public display offices near the access road areas and at 31 IPROs along the pipeline route.

A variety of brochures, posters and leaflets, including a Guide to Land Acquisition and Compensation (GLAC), has been developed and distributed to each PAP and other key stakeholders. All printed material is available in both Albanian and English at TAP offices, and includes:

• Guide to Land Acquisition and Compensation: 8,000 copies have been distributed and a copy posted on the TAP website.
• Notification poster for the start of the Census and Asset Inventory (C&AI) and cut-off date.
• Notification poster on property verification.
• Notification poster on disclosure of compensation values (for both draft and final versions).
• Notification poster on the start of access roads construction works.
• Grievance leaflet distributed to each PAP.

Engagement with people affected by the construction and upgrading of the access roads included meetings with affected community members and local authorities in each of the 21 affected Local Government Units (LGUs).

In 2014, TAP conducted three high-level meetings involving 30 representatives from the national authorities (Ministry of Energy and Industry, Ministry of Agriculture, Rural Development and Water Resources, Ministry of Environment) to disclose and gather feedback on the compensation values. This was followed by 21 meetings with local authorities (Korça, Berat and Fieri Regional Authorities and Prefecture Authorities) and PAPs, involving close to 400 participants.

During LEA engagement sessions, stakeholders raised a number of concerns and issues. These are summarised in the Livelihood Restoration Plan (LRP) and differentiated by stakeholder group, primary decentralised government officials, regional district government officials, and PAPs. Questions raised by PAPs included orphan land, leased land, determination of lease prices, compensation entitlements, project benefits, local access to natural gas and permanent land take for the compressor (CS) and block valve (BV) stations. Responses to these questions were included in face-to-face engagement sessions and communication materials distributed along the project footprint.
3.2.3 Post-ESIA Engagement on Project Impacts

Since the ESIA was approved in April 2013, open public meetings with local communities and local authorities were conducted along the pipeline corridor on ESIA and LEA-related issues and disclosure. Other recent engagement activities in the period 2013 to 2015 include:

- Community meetings on HSE training programme along the pipe line route (2015–2016).
- Training sessions on HSE with medium and high schools along the pipeline route (2015-2017).
- More than 50 Meetings with local authorities (mayors, heads of administrative units, heads of villages), about the pipe transport process (2016).
- Meetings with community representatives, business, schools, along the transport route, about the start of the pipe transport process (2016).
- Information campaign (print and electronic media) to inform communities along the transport route of the start of the ongoing pipe transport process.
- Meetings with local authorities on project development in respective areas.
- TAP awareness survey to test the public opinion on the effectiveness of interaction with various stakeholder groups and potential changes in understanding and expectations towards TAP projects
- Meetings with local authorities, in all levels, in the frame of SEI projects identification, jointly with SEI team.
- Daily meetings with PAPs, in support to project, following their grievances related to land and construction issues.

The purpose of these engagement initiatives was to engage with stakeholders on project impacts, with particular emphasis on those that could present community health and safety risks.

3.3 Social and Environmental Investment-related Engagement

The goal of the TAP Social and Environmental Investment (SEI) programme is to contribute in a sustainable and inclusive way to improved livelihoods and quality of life within local communities in proximity to the project.

TAP’s SEI strategy explicitly seeks to align SEI with communities, civil society and government to create shared value and to build relationships to support construction of the pipeline. TAP SEI is delivered using a number of principles including that of participation, defined as ensuring community-level involvement in the design and development of TAP SEI projects and in the decision-making processes associated with such projects.

The SEI strategy is considered an important TAP instrument capable of enhancing good-will for the TAP project in Albania. It also supports the mitigation of some of the non-technical risks since it offers opportunities for positive communication and stakeholder engagement activities at the local and regional level.

TAP’s Albania SEI programme includes defined areas of intervention (such as capacity of local schools and agricultural livelihoods) and a pragmatic model for implementation. It has been developed through a series of engagements, including:

- Understanding of SEI priorities gained during ESIA consultation.
- Consultation with more than 10 NGOs with the potential to become implementing partners to understand their priorities and capacity to work with TAP.
• Survey of 350 PAPs representatives, 500 community members, 60 opinion leaders, government officials and representatives of public institutions.
• More than 10 focus groups, one per municipality, to evaluate the needs and development priorities of the region and special focus groups for vulnerable people in each affected region.
• Discussion of draft SEI programme with local mayors and the central government.

The results of SEI monitoring and evaluation, which include community feedback, will be fed back into the design and delivery of the SEI programme.

### 3.4 Local Content-related Engagement

To inform local companies on TAP processes and procurement, TAP organised three networking events in April 2016, January 2015 and October 2014 for pre-qualified companies and potential sub-contractors to explore opportunities of mutual interest. In addition, TAP took steps to promote use of its sub-contractor database to enhance awareness of potential sub-contractors among EPC contractors.

Local content engagement with EPCs, local businesses and other key stakeholders is performed continuously with a focus on maximising opportunities for local businesses and workforce in the pipeline’s area of influence, and within the framework of legislation (European Union public procurement rules) and procurement best practice.

### 3.5 Outreach Engagement

As part of its outreach programme, TAP interacts with stakeholders across the project footprint to enable open and transparent dialogue.

TAP’s outreach engagement, not linked to any specific project activity, is an ongoing process designed to provide opportunities for individual or community stakeholders to voice concerns, explain their interests, and otherwise initiate and keep constructive dialogue with TAP. Through outreach engagement, TAP carried out thematic campaigns and consultation processes including perception surveys and introductory meetings to build shared understanding among stakeholders on specific topics. This will inform TAP’s longer-term stakeholder engagement strategies.

TAP’s Communications team has focused on maintaining, protecting and enhancing the project’s reputation among its international, national and local stakeholders in Albania through engaging with national media, participation at key conferences, milestone events, roundtables, and undertaking advertising and media campaigns. The Albania Country Office has focused on media outlets most likely to be effective and influential in covering TAP stories and promoting its image.
4. Stakeholder Engagement Programme

4.1 Organisation and Responsibilities

TAP stakeholder engagement is performed by an Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team of staff from Stakeholder Management, Land Management (LM), Environmental and Social (E&S), SEI, and Government Affairs functions, as well as by dedicated EPC contractor personnel.

The stakeholder engagement responsibilities of the Albania stakeholder engagement staff are summarised in table 4.1 overleaf.

The in-country Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team members are supported at TAP corporate level by the following corporate functional leads who provide guidance and ensure consistency of practice across the host countries:

- Corporate Stakeholder Manager
- SEI Manager
- Government Affairs Manager
- Corporate LM Manager
- Grievance Advisor
- Senior Social Performance Advisor.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Stakeholder Management Function</th>
<th>Land Management Function</th>
<th>E&amp;S Management Function</th>
<th>EPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Liaison Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governmental Affairs Senior Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communications Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEI Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM Manager</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social LM Advisor</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM Advisors</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Field Monitors</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM Technical and Legal Contractor Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EPC Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EPC Management Social Expert</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Field Monitors</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grievance Coordinator</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EPC Social Staff</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land owners / users*</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community members*</td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local municipalities</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National government</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government/ public authorities, institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local businesses (associations &amp; operators)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil society/NGOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including vulnerable stakeholders

| A ACCOUNTABLE for managing stakeholder relationship. To be informed of outcome of engagement performed by other team members. |
| E ENGAGES with the stakeholder and informs the TAP accountable functional team on engagement completed and planned on weekly basis. |
4.2 General Overview of TAP Stakeholder Engagement Process

TAP is implementing a structured approach to stakeholder engagement as illustrated in Figure 4.1 overleaf, which indicates the engagement responsibilities of each function of the Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team.

TAP’s stakeholder engagement process includes activities performed at specific stages before, during and after construction (represented as cross-functional activities in the diagram), as well as ongoing engagement not related to a particular construction stage. The process will be updated prior to operations, taking into account changes in engagement requirements.

The stakeholder engagement and internal coordination processes are described in more detail in the ensuing paragraphs.
Figure 4.1: Overview of TAP Stakeholder Engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Country/Office/Stakeholder Management Function</th>
<th>E&amp;S Management Function</th>
<th>Land Management Function</th>
<th>EPC</th>
<th>Ongoing Engagement</th>
<th>Government Affairs Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Entry</td>
<td>2 weeks before entry</td>
<td>Community Screening Workshop</td>
<td>Individual Land Entry Meetings (individual PAPs)</td>
<td>Pre-Entry Construction Awareness Meeting (PAPs + Community members)*</td>
<td>Individual PAP Meetings (address PAPs concerns, place landmarks)</td>
<td>Internal Follow-up on Pre-Entry Meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-construction/ reinstatement</td>
<td>as needed</td>
<td>Community engagement/meetings (individuals, community leads, village elders, schools etc.), H&amp;S Awareness (schools, businesses etc.)</td>
<td>Communication Campaigns (local TV, posters, leaflets etc.)</td>
<td>Continuous on-site presence to address PAPs concerns, requests and grievances</td>
<td>Continuous on-site presence and engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land/Community Area Exit</td>
<td>2 weeks before exit before demobilisation</td>
<td>Pre-Exit Community Meeting (PAPs + Community members)*</td>
<td>Individual Land Exit Meetings (individual PAPs)</td>
<td>Follow up with Communities*</td>
<td>Follow up with PAPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Attendance at meetings dependent on community tiering

Legend: Internal Processes | External Stakeholder Engagement Processes
4.3 Construction Stage-related Stakeholder Engagement

TAP seeks to engage proactively with its stakeholders during all stages of construction within each affected community.

This stakeholder engagement process is conducted by TAP in close cooperation with the EPC contractors as illustrated in figure 4.1 and further detailed in the following sections of this SEP.

4.3.1 Engagement during Construction Preparation Phase

4.3.1.1 Community Screening

**Process Outline**

As illustrated in Figure 4.1 above, to appropriately scale and structure the stakeholder engagement during the construction stages in each of the affected communities, TAP performs an initial stakeholder/community screening for distinct parts of the route, approximately two months before land entry and the start of construction works. This screening uses the integrated stakeholder and consultation database (SGMT) and is based on information from stakeholder engagement performed at earlier project stages, the grievance mechanism, the land easement and acquisition process, the KP (Pipeline Kilometre Point) Social and Environmental Constraints and Mitigation Registers as well as the Community Livelihood Indicator\(^2\) and associated mapping prepared in support of the LATS programme. Stakeholder vulnerability and the potential project risks and opportunities are also reviewed as part of the screening. This includes consideration of any risks identified as a result of the permanent media and social media monitoring performed by TAP’s Communications staff which is internally distributed on a daily basis.

The Geographic Information System (GIS) platform is used to support the Community Screening process by visually representing the social sensitivity of the areas along TAP’s route and highlighting communities where special care and attention is required as part of the engagement process. The resulting GIS-based maps consider several aspects including the number of grievances received in the past, the outcomes of previous engagement, the presence of vulnerable groups or of groups with special consultation needs, land-related sensitivities (e.g. number of PAPs with documentation issues in relation to land), and the Community Livelihood Indicator and associated mapping.

This allows identification and mapping of stakeholders in areas that may be significantly impacted, or opposed to the project. As an outcome of the screening process, the project-affected communities are classified from a sensitivity perspective based on a three-tiered categorisation (Tier 1 – highly sensitive, Tier 2 – sensitive, Tier 3 – non-sensitive).

The categorisations are used to optimise stakeholder engagement in each project-affected community. Stakeholder engagement in Tier 1 communities will be performed based on Tier 1 Engagement Plans (TEPs) that are designed to address local sensitivities in the respective Tier 1 communities. TEPs will outline the additional engagement and related support which may be required additional to the steps outlined in this SEP. Stakeholder

---

\(^2\) The Community Livelihood Indicator and associated mapping were developed to assist TAP in better understanding the communities along the pipeline RoW and in identifying priority areas for the LATS programme. The Community Livelihood Indicator categorises the economic well-being of rural communities and areas along the pipeline route based on both qualitative data (assessments from people who worked directly with PAPs in TAP information spots, cadastral offices and the secure land access process) and quantitative data collected as part of the census and socio-economic baseline survey undertaken as part of the Land Easement and Acquisition process.
engagement in Tier 2 communities will be performed with the participation of all stakeholder team functions, while Tier 3 community engagement will be undertaken with the involvement of those stakeholder team functions as needed at each specific project implementation stage and with consideration of the local context.

**Target Stakeholders**

Stakeholder screening is performed within the framework of an internal workshop. No stakeholder engagement is undertaken at this stage.

**Timing**

Stakeholder screening is performed at the initial stages of development of a specific KP section of the pipeline and revisited on a quarterly basis to allow for adjustments based on most recent developments and the outcome of stakeholder engagement undertaken in the community.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

Stakeholder screening is carried out within the framework of a workshop led by the Country Office Stakeholder Management function with the participation of all stakeholder engagement functional teams.

Tier 1 Working Groups are established for the implementation of Tier 1 Engagement Plans, with members drawn from various Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team functions and project departments as required, on a case-by-case basis.

### 4.3.1.2 Individual Land Entry Meetings

**Process Outline**

Land access to the pipeline right of way (RoW) requires appropriate engagement with project-affected land owners and users to proactively address their concerns, mitigate impacts and minimise potential land entry refusals. Engagement is conducted by the LM team function and EPC stakeholder engagement staff.

This process requires careful preparation so that any questions or concerns raised by PAPs such as, for example, compensation, orphan land, reinstatement or land use restrictions can be addressed and responded to. For this purpose, an individual information package (a so called ‘Parcel Passport’) is prepared in advance for each land parcel and made available to the team engaging with the project-affected land owners and users.

Approximately two months before accessing the land for construction, the LM team and the EPC stakeholder engagement staff meets each individual PAP in person to:

- Inform them that the land plots will be accessed to place landmarks prior to construction initiation.
- Solve/record any outstanding issues, requests or grievances.
- Sign Land Notification Protocols informing PAPs of the exact date when construction will start on their land plot.
- Sign Land Entry Protocols (also documenting all structures, installations and features to be reinstated to similar or better condition at finalisation of construction, irrigation/drainage systems or other facilities that need to be maintained during construction, and the provision of access from one side of the parcel to the other).
**Target Stakeholders**

Targeted stakeholders of the individual land entry meetings are the project-affected land owners and users.

**Timing**

As indicated above, the individual land entry meetings with each PAP take place approximately two months before accessing the land for construction.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The individual land entry meetings are performed by the LM team in cooperation with the EPC contractor’s staff.

4.3.2 Engagement during Pre-Construction Phase

4.3.2.1 Pre-Construction Community Notification and Pre-Construction Construction Awareness Meetings

**Process Outline**

As part of the stakeholder engagement process, timely notification of construction activities is provided to local communities to allow the appropriate management of construction-related disruption and rehabilitation-related expectations and issues.

The required actions to achieve this are performed by TAP in close cooperation with the EPC. In accordance with the requirements of the Albania Stakeholder Engagement and Corporate Social Responsibility Contractor Control Plan (CCP), before initiating construction of a specific pipeline section or at a project site, the EPC is required to prepare Stakeholder Engagement Action Plans (SEAP) describing the stakeholder engagement to be performed by the EPC in relation to the respective construction activities. The SEAPs are subject to review and approval by TAP before work commences and inform the planning of TAP stakeholder engagement and its coordination in cooperation with the EPC.

The overall engagement process is planned based on the construction works schedule, taking the provisions of the associated SEAP prepared by the EPC into consideration.

Before construction reaches a particular community area, in line with the respective SEAP, the EPC will notify the local authorities to agree on the date and location of a ‘Pre-Construction Awareness Meeting’ in the respective community. The EPC Contractor sends notification letters, followed by meetings with local authorities representatives, to confirm key activities to be undertaken, discuss sensitive areas for construction traffic safety (such as schools, hospitals, markets), outline potential employment opportunities, discuss issues of concern for the local authorities, and confirm contact details. These meetings are attended by TAP’s Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team members (primarily LM and/or CLCs and/or SFMs/contractor CLOs). Otherwise, the EPC informs TAP of the outcome of these meetings by providing the respective MoMs. This information will then be used to adjust the agenda of the Pre-entry Construction Awareness Meeting. The date and location of the meeting is advertised locally by the EPC in cooperation with the local authorities through posters or by other means locally available.
The intended audience for the meeting includes interested community members, representatives of the local agricultural associations and local businesses, land owners and users and other interested individuals.

The purpose of the meeting is to:

- Explain the upcoming construction activities in the community area and present the works schedule.
- Present TAP and contractor key points of contact.
- Discuss community health and safety aspects and arrangements.
- Notify attendees of available employment opportunities and the associated employment process.
- Remind them of the grievance process and grievance mechanism contacts.
- Get stakeholders’ feedback on issues and concerns.

**Target Stakeholders**

Targeted stakeholders of the Pre-Construction Awareness Meetings include individual community members, PAPs, community leaders, local authorities, local businesses and schools.

**Timing**

Local authorities are notified approximately three to four weeks in advance of the commencement of construction activities initiation in the area of the respective community.

The Pre-Construction Awareness Meetings take place approximately two weeks in advance of the start of construction.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The EPC representatives are responsible for notifying the local authorities of the meeting, agreeing the date and location with them, as well as advertising and organisation.

The Pre-Construction Awareness Meeting is held by the EPC staff in cooperation with TAP Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team functions’ representatives (SFM, CLC, LEA).

Meetings and engagement with Tier 1 communities are performed as defined in the Tier 1 Engagement Plans for the respective community. Meetings in Tier 2 communities are attended by team members from all Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team functions. Tier 3 community meetings will be attended at a minimum by one TAP representative (typically by an SFM and/or a CLC), in addition to the relevant EPC stakeholder engagement staff.

### 4.3.2.2 Individual PAP Meetings

**Process Outline**

Before the start of construction, PAPs are individually pre-notified and personally met by the team in the field to place construction landmarks and accurately identify and inform on the land actually affected by the upcoming construction works. The outcome of this engagement is documented in pre-land entry MoMs, which are followed up by the LM team.
**Target Stakeholders**

Targeted stakeholders of the Individual PAP Meetings are the project-affected land owners and users.

**Timing**

Individual pre-notification and personal meeting with each PAP to place construction landmarks take place before construction activities start.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The Individual PAP Meetings are conducted by the LM team in cooperation with the EPC contractor’s staff.

4.3.2.3 Internal Follow-up on Pre-Construction Stage Meetings

**Process Outline**

The outcomes of the Pre-Construction Awareness Meeting and the feedback received, as documented in the Minutes of Meeting (MoMs), are followed up internally and used to confirm or adjust the Tier 1 – 3 community classification, as well as to define subsequent engagement within that community during construction.

Based on the outcome of meetings and feedback received, TAP prepares an ‘Outreach Calendar’ on an annual (high level) and quarterly basis. This provides the planning for engagement with stakeholders along the pipeline corridor, responding to stakeholders’ interests and needs, primarily in relation to the progress of construction management of associated potential environmental, social and cultural heritage impacts.

While the outreach engagement programme is designed to primarily meet these needs, it also includes engagement on other important topics such as social and environmental investment, local content, and livelihood restoration.

The Outreach Calendar is adjusted according to the outcomes and feedback received from the pre-construction awareness meetings and individual PAP meetings. This ensures that engagement during construction will respond to the specific needs of the respective community.

**Target Stakeholders**

The outcomes of the Pre-Construction Stage Meetings are internally followed-up by each of the Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team functions. No stakeholder engagement is performed at this stage.

**Timing**

Follow-up on the pre-construction stage engagement is performed prior to the start of construction.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

All stakeholder engagement functional teams are responsible for following-up on the outcomes of the pre-construction meetings and for planning the engagement required in the subsequent stages.
4.3.3 Engagement during Construction Works Execution Phase

**Process Outline**

A permanent presence on site is maintained by all stakeholder engagement functional teams during construction work to ensure that stakeholders’ concerns and requests can be addressed.

Based on the local context and community tier classification, engagement by the Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team during project construction in a particular community area may include:

- Stakeholder engagement/meetings with individuals, vulnerable stakeholders, community leads, village elders, schools, local businesses.
- Health and safety awareness training (schools, local businesses etc.).
- Communications campaigns (local TV, posters, leaflets, etc.) on upcoming construction activities, livelihood restoration, employment opportunities, etc.
- Other engagement in response to stakeholder interests and needs.

Stakeholder-related activities by EPC contractors during construction include the disclosure of information and engagement concerning project impacts. This activity addresses aspects related to environmental, community health and safety and security issues, interruption to infrastructure and utilities, land entry/exit, additional land take, local content (procurement and employment) as well as grievance management. Commitments in this respect have been incorporated in the Contractor Control Plans (CCPs) and in the associated ESIPs by EPCs. Implementation is monitored by TAP on an ongoing basis.

The stakeholder engagement activities performed by EPC contractors are defined in Stakeholder Engagement Action Plans (SEAPs) prepared before construction of a specific KP section or project component commences and are subject to review and approval by TAP.

Particular emphasis is therefore placed by TAP on monitoring the stakeholder engagement practices of EPC contractors. To this end, specific training is delivered by TAP to the contractor CLOs and ongoing close cooperation is ensured between TAP’s in-country E&S management staff and contractor personnel with stakeholder engagement responsibilities.

**Target Stakeholders**

Stakeholders targeted for engagement in communities during the construction stage include individual community members, PAPs, community leaders, local authorities, local businesses and schools.

**Timing**

Stakeholder engagement is performed as needed while construction activities are under way in the respective community area.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The Country Office/Stakeholder Management function is responsible for delivering the outreach engagement in communities, including stakeholder meetings and communications campaigns. The function also delivers health and safety awareness training at schools and local businesses, in addition to similar engagement by the EPC.
The E&S Management function reviews and approves the EPC contractor’s SEAPs and supervises their implementation by the EPC through SFMs permanently on site during construction. Engagement oversight of the EPCs ensures that existing and emerging issues can be identified and addressed in a timely and transparent manner. As part of this process, the SFMs also engage directly with the local community members (in addition to the engagement by EPC CLOs) to address any issues that may arise during the execution of construction works in the respective community area.

The LM function ensures the continuous presence of its advisors and field monitors on site during construction works execution phase to address any PAP concerns or requests and to engage with them as necessary.

4.3.4 Engagement associated with Route Social Impact Plan

The Route Social Impact Plan (RSIP) augments the ESMS and associated management plans to provide effective responses to potential residual social impacts. The RSIP identifies all assets and businesses in proximity to the Right of Way and other project infrastructure potentially impacted by construction activities; assesses risks associated with those sites; and identifies cases for further assessment, engagement and mitigation as appropriate. Within this, the project focuses particularly on:

- Areas of known project opposition.
- Areas with land-related issues.
- Areas of high productivity land or high value crops.
- Grazing/beekeeping.
- Business (particularly those susceptible to environmental changes / construction impacts).
- Areas known for tourism and with operators in the area.
- Irrigation systems and other types of infrastructure/utilities.
- Crossings of heavily used roads.
- Highly populated areas in the vicinity of the pipeline route.
- Secondary access to land (e.g. for agricultural purposes or access to houses).
- Educational facilities (primary, secondary, tertiary) and medical facilities.
- Recreational areas.
- Cemeteries, churches and other religious facilities (e.g. monasteries) where access/ceremonies could be interrupted during construction.
- Communities with a high concentration of vulnerable groups.

The RSIP enables TAP to proactively manage identified impacts and monitor the implementation of impact mitigation measures to ensure the maintenance and/or restoration of affected livelihoods and living standards.

As part of the RSIP process, TAP determines levels of local community sensitivity and identifies the need to develop a TEP. TEPs are established where there are particular social or stakeholder sensitivities in a project-affected location or clusters of households or businesses experiencing similar significant impacts. The scale and complexity of stakeholder issues in Tier 1 communities typically requires commitment of levels of engagement, resourcing and support in excess of those generally applied in country SEPs.
4.3.5 Engagement during Land/Community Area Exit Phase

4.3.5.1 Pre-exit Community Meetings

Process Outline
Before construction works finish in the area of a particular community, TAP will notify the local authorities and will agree on the details of a ‘Pre-exit Community Meeting’, aiming for an attendance similar to the Pre-Construction Awareness Meeting.

Main scope of the Pre-exit Community Meeting is to:

- Inform the community on the aspects associated with the reinstatement, land handback, and any land use restrictions upon completion of works.
- Remind attendees of the grievance process and contacts.
- Get stakeholders’ feedback on any open issues and concerns, and agree how these will be addressed and closed.

Target Stakeholders
Targeted stakeholders for these meetings include individual community members, project-affected people, community leaders, local authorities, local businesses and schools.

Timing
The completion of construction works finalisation will be notified approximately two to three weeks in advance and the Pre-exit Community Meetings are held about one to two weeks before construction equipment is removed from the respective community area.

Responsibilities for Execution
The Pre-exit Community Meeting is held in cooperation with the EPC contractors and with the participation of TAP stakeholder engagement functional team representatives. Meetings and engagement in Tier 1 communities are performed as defined within the TEPs for the respective community. Meetings in Tier 2 communities are typically attended by team members from all Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team functions. Tier 3 community meetings will be attended by at least one TAP representative (primarily a LM member and/or an SFM/CLC), in addition to the relevant EPC stakeholder engagement staff.

4.3.5.2 Individual Land Exit Meetings

Process Outline
Upon completion of construction and reinstatement in temporarily occupied land (pipeline construction corridor and temporary facilities), the LM team and EPC contractors perform exit inspections with all owners/users of all land used during the construction works execution phase. If the inspection concludes that reinstatement is satisfactory, the usage right of the affected land plot will be handed back to the land owner or user for agricultural activities. Any claim arising from potentially unsatisfactory reinstatement will be managed through the grievance management mechanism. During the exit inspection, the LM team also provides the land owner/user, both in writing and verbally, with all required information about permissible and restricted activities on the land plot, and
contact details of the team responsible for monitoring the project during operations. The contents of the Easement Agreement signed upon entry into land is re-explained to the land owner.

**Target Stakeholders**

Targeted stakeholders of the Land Exit Meetings are the project-affected land owners and users.

**Timing**

Inspection of each affected land plot is conducted with the land owner/user upon completion of construction and reinstatement.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The Land Exit Meetings are performed by the LEA function in cooperation with the staff.

### 4.3.6 Post-Construction Phase Engagement

**Process Outline**

After completion of construction and reinstatement works within a particular community area, TAP periodically meets the local authorities and community leads to follow up any open issues associated with the project and will engage with relevant parties and stakeholders to address them as needed. This engagement is aimed at closing any social issues related to construction, following up residual concerns or grievances, and ensuring that any potential land management/land restoration-related issues are addressed and closed.

Follow-up engagement with project-affected landowners and users including, vulnerable stakeholders, is performed as part of the monitoring of the Livelihood Restoration Plan (LRP) and implementation of the LATS programme. This engagement is aimed at assessing the extent to which the livelihoods of affected households have been restored, verify that measures to restore or enhance project-affected peoples’ quality of life and livelihoods are being implemented, and assess their effectiveness.

**Target Stakeholders**

The Post-Construction Phase Engagement is envisaged as following up on any issues and addressing the needs of all stakeholders within a specific area where construction works were finalised.

**Timing**

Post-Construction Phase Engagement is performed as needed after construction and reinstatement works are finished. However, as this version of the SEP focuses on the construction phase of the project, the plan will be revised before operations commence to determine the engagement that will be performed on an ongoing basis.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

Post-construction follow-up engagement with the local community leads and local authorities is performed by the Country Office/Stakeholder Management and E&S Management functions while the LM function is responsible for follow-up engagement with land owners and users.
4.4 Ongoing Stakeholder Engagement

In addition to construction phase-related stakeholder engagement, TAP also engages with its stakeholders on an ongoing basis through the implementation of its LATS and SEI programmes, the grievance management process, and by regular outreach engagement actions that include interactions with government authorities at all levels. These stakeholder engagement processes are not related to a particular construction stage and are described briefly within subsequent sections of this document.

4.4.1 Livelihood Restoration-related Engagement

*Process Outline*

Throughout the construction phase, TAP will continue to engage with individuals and communities affected by land easement and acquisition as part of the implementation of its Livelihood Restoration Plan (LRP). This includes monitoring the execution of land entry and exit protocols by EPC contractors, overseeing any necessary additional land take undertaken by contractors, and follow-up monitoring with PAPs to document the effectiveness of livelihoods restoration.

TAP also undertakes stakeholder engagement activities through its Livelihood Assistance and Transitional Support programme (LATS). Its goal is to ensure that those people whose land is temporarily or permanently acquired are able to restore their livelihoods to pre-project levels or improve where possible.

Several tools have been developed by TAP to support the implementation of the LATS. These include a Community Livelihood Indicator, a Vulnerable Household Watch List and a Vulnerable Assessment Tool.

The Community Livelihood Indicator was developed to help TAP better understand the communities along the pipeline RoW and identify priority areas for the LATS programme.

The Vulnerable Household Watch List identifies and helps TAP monitor those PAPs considered to be ‘vulnerable’. Special measures taken by TAP for this category of stakeholders include home visits to review contracts and agreements, support with transportation to notaries/banks and meetings with extended family members to ensure there is a thorough understanding of the land access process. The Social LM Advisors also use this list to keep EPCs informed of vulnerable PAPs who may require extra support through the land entry and exit process.

A Vulnerable Assessment Tool was also developed to help TAP identify PAPs who are at a higher risk of experiencing negative project impacts, such as very poor families with limited assets who are highly dependent on the affected land parcel for their livelihood, elderly widows/widowers with failing health and limited social support who rely on the income from perennial trees, and those who may require transitional support and/or additional, specialised assistance.

Detailed LATS components and implementation schedules are provided in the TAP Albania LATS document.

Livelihood restoration process and LATS implementation are monitored continually. Reports on their overall progress of livelihood restoration and LATS implementation are produced for internal purposes on a quarterly basis and summaries of main related information are made available publicly on TAP’s website annually.
**Target Stakeholders**

Stakeholders targeted for Livelihood Restoration-related engagement are project-affected land owners and users and any other stakeholders with a demonstrated loss of income source or means of livelihood as a result of project restrictions on land use.

**Timing**

Livelihood Restoration-related engagement is performed on an ongoing basis throughout project execution.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

Implementation of Livelihood Restoration-related engagement is the responsibility of the LM team, in cooperation with the SEI team. They identify synergies with the SEI programme and coordinate implementation with the Stakeholder Management team.

### 4.4.2 Social and Environmental Investment (SEI)-related Engagement

**Process Outline**

The goal of the TAP SEI programme is to contribute in a sustainable and inclusive way to the improved livelihoods and quality of life within local communities in proximity to the project.

The objectives of the TAP SEI programme are to:

- Strengthen livelihoods within local communities\(^3\).
- Support improved community quality of life along the pipeline route.
- Enable improved skills and abilities through support for education and training initiatives.
- Enhance environmental management including through support for biodiversity.

The programme’s funding framework includes grants, local community initiatives and regional development partnerships.

Implementation requires stakeholder engagement, including qualitative and quantitative data collection from communities, local, national and regional government and key development actors and NGOs. This engagement will ensure there is a clear and up-to-date understanding of community needs and that communities have the opportunity to contribute to the priorities for development interventions. It is hoped that communities will themselves contribute to the majority of SEI interventions, either financially or in kind.

**Target Stakeholders**

Stakeholders targeted for TAP’s SEI-related engagement include local communities, businesses, institutions and civil society.

---

\(^3\) Note: the SEI programme focuses on livelihoods as one of the priority development needs identified through baseline analysis and stakeholder consultation. The programme goes above and beyond impact mitigation both in terms of the target beneficiaries and the intended outcomes. The LATS programme’s more limited and specific focus is the restoration of livelihoods to at least pre-project conditions for those impacted by TAP.
Timing

TAP SEI-related engagement is performed on an ongoing basis throughout project execution.

Responsibilities for Execution

SEI-related engagement is the responsibility of the SEI team which cooperates with the LM team to identify synergies with the Livelihood Restoration programme and coordinate in implementation.

4.4.3 Outreach Engagement

Process Outline

The goal of TAP’s Outreach Engagement programme is to maintain open communications channels with a range of stakeholders to listen to their views, issues and concerns in relation to project activities and, based on this engagement, to adopt corrective actions where appropriate. Achieving this goal requires a regular presence in communities and engagement with a broad range of stakeholders as part of an engagement calendar or as a result of ad hoc information requests. Other interactions may be unplanned, responding to questions and issues raised during field trips or visits to TAP offices.

Target Stakeholders

Outreach engagement activities target a broad range of stakeholders, including communities and other identified stakeholders present at a local level. These may include local government, NGOs/civil society, public authorities, local businesses and operators and agricultural institutions.

Timing

TAP outreach engagement is performed on an on-going basis throughout project execution and into operations.

Responsibilities for Execution

The Stakeholder Management function is responsible for outreach engagement. They are supported by other stakeholder facing functions to ensure the consistent communication of key messages.

4.4.4 Government Affairs-related Engagement

Process Outline

TAP’s Government Affairs engagement seeks to mitigate risk and monitor and manage arising issues that could potentially affect the project’s reputation and hinder its ability to secure key permits for construction. TAP’s Government Affairs engagement aims to protect and maintain the project’s reputation by promoting messages that are related specifically to the project and the benefits it brings, through the use of targeted communications activities and campaigns.

In addition, TAP’s Government Affairs engagement includes regular briefings provided to relevant diplomatic missions in Tirana on matters related to the project.
**Target Stakeholders**

Stakeholders targeted for TAP Government Affairs-related engagement are the national and local-level government agencies and the civil society organisations.

**Timing**

TAP Government Affairs-related engagement is performed on an ongoing basis throughout project execution.

**Responsibilities for Execution**

The implementation of TAP Government Affairs-related engagement is the responsibility of the Government Affairs team.
5. Grievance Mechanism

5.1 Introduction

This section provides a summary of TAP’s Third-Party grievance mechanism. Full details are set out in TAP’s Grievance Management Framework (GMF), TAP’s Albania Third-Party Grievance Procedure (Albania Grievance Procedure), and the EPC Contractors Third Party Grievance Procedures.

The corporate GMF outlines the principles of grievance management and defines the organisational structure and processes required to implement a functional, effective and culturally appropriate grievance mechanism that is responsive to stakeholder needs. It is supported by the Albanian Third-Party Grievance Procedure which aims to address, manage and resolve stakeholder grievances, concerns, complaints and LM requests related to TAP construction activities and to ensure that TAP is aware of and responds to stakeholder concerns. Both the GMF and the Albania Grievance Procedure are continuously updated to ensure the grievance management process remains relevant, accessible and effective.

TAP communicated its pre-construction grievance mechanism to stakeholders during the ESIA consultation phase and throughout LM-related activities. TAP’s Grievance Mechanism was then revised for the construction phase and made available through all identified channels that are accessible to local stakeholders. The grievance mechanism is communicated in a format and language readily understandable to the local population. To ensure that all stakeholders are aware of how to submit grievances, TAP has also created additional grievance communications materials, such as posters and pamphlets, as well an engagement plan for the Grievance and Information Hotline. These materials are distributed at TAP regional offices and worker camps.

5.2 Grievance Management Process

TAP’s Grievance Management Process is illustrated in Figure 5.1 overleaf.
Figure 5.1: TAP Grievance Management Process
The following timeframes apply in the implemented grievance administrative process.

**Table 5.1: Applicable timeframes in Grievance Administrative Process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Register grievance in database</td>
<td>Within 7 working days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledge Grievance</td>
<td>Within 7 working days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue grievance rejection letter (if required)</td>
<td>Within 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue grievance feedback explaining time required for resolution and on-going progress (if not yet resolved)</td>
<td>After 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue grievance feedback (when mitigation established)</td>
<td>30/60/90 days (see case and severity levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue compensation payments</td>
<td>30 days after signing agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The grievance management process is described in detail in the TAP Albania Grievance Procedure on TAP’s website. Grievance forms are also available on the website, from TAP in-country personnel and its contractors and from TAP project offices. Grievances can also be submitted directly through the TAP website. EPC contractor GMs are available from the contractors directly. Any grievances submitted in these GMs are recorded in the TAP SGMT.

All incoming grievances are recorded, tracked and managed in the SGMT by the in-country Grievance Coordinator. This allows an on-going information flow, enabling the project to understand and monitor its impact over the course of project implementation. Any requests for information captured in the grievance process that are not related to grievances, will be sent to the affected TAP work-stream or the relevant EPC contractor to be addressed.

The TAP Grievance team periodically reviews the grievance management process to eliminate systemic problems and to ensure that the resolution process is working efficiently and delivering effective outcomes. TAP staff and contractors receive continual grievance training, including training in using the SGMT tool. The in-country Grievance Coordinator monitors the compliance against relevant commitments using the grievance monitoring and verification forms.
6. Stakeholder Engagement Coordination and Monitoring

6.1 Alignment of Social and Stakeholder Management Functions

The Social Impact Management Plan (SIMP) describes the alignment of social functions within TAP. Overall responsibility for TAP social impacts and stakeholder management rests with the Commercial and External Affairs Director, who heads the TAP Corporate Assurance Team. The Assurance Team ensures the integration of the project’s environmental and social management organisation at corporate level and, through the various Country Offices, the country-level integration of stakeholder relations, government affairs and social investment functions.

Stakeholder engagement functions are represented in the Corporate Assurance Team by the corporate staff responsible for coordination of stakeholder management, social investment, government affairs and communications, across the TAP project. The Country Offices are generally responsible for in-country stakeholder engagement, although Environmental & Social (E&S) teams are responsible for construction-related community-level engagement and Land Management teams conduct engagement on land access and compensation matters. Where engagement is conducted by EPC contractors, project requirements are defined in Contractor Control Plans (CCPs) and implementation monitored on an ongoing basis. All contractor-managed processes comply with the standards established by TAP for stakeholder engagement and grievance management.

The Corporate Assurance team conducts monthly cross-functional meetings to review project social performance and to agree strategic responses to emerging social issues, identified areas of underperformance or changes in the project’s social or stakeholder environments. Monthly cross-functional meetings ensure effective integration between the E&S Manager and other social functions such as Stakeholder Engagement, SEI, Security, Communications and Land Managers. There is a similar arrangement at country level to provide coordination between the Integrated Project Management Team (IPMT) and the Country Office Stakeholder Manager, CLCs and SEI Manager functions. The monthly cross-functional meetings are based on country-level reports coordinated by the E&S teams with input from Lands Management, Country Offices, EPCs and others.

6.2 Internal Coordination and Monitoring

TAP has set up a process aimed at ensuring ongoing coordination and monitoring of the effectiveness of its stakeholder engagement processes and those of its EPC contractors.

The Integrated Stakeholder Engagement Team members and the EPC contractors participate in regular coordination meetings as illustrated in figure 6.1 below.
Figure 6.1: Stakeholder Engagement – Coordination Meetings

Weekly coordination and monitoring meetings take place in the field, at the EPC contractor facilities. These meetings are attended by the EPC social staff and TAP stakeholder engagement team members from the E&S Management (Social Expert, SFMs, Grievance Coordinator, E&S Manager), LM (LM Manager and experts) and Country Office Stakeholder Management (Stakeholder Manager, CLCs) functions and the EPC (CLOs). Should specific situations or issues need to be addressed with additional inputs, the SEI and Government Affairs team units’ representatives also participate as needed, either in person or remotely via teleconference.

Aspects addressed during these meetings include ongoing stakeholder engagement topics, closure of actions/issues from the previous week, operational issues, construction-related aspects including community health and safety, engagement planning for the upcoming week and further based on the construction schedule look-ahead, grievances received and aspects of grievance management. The E&S Management function is in charge of organising the weekly coordination meetings.

On a monthly basis, the country stakeholder engagement functional leads (Country Office Stakeholder Engagement Manager, E&S Management Social Expert, Grievance Advisor, LM Manager, SEI Advisor, Government Affairs Advisor, Communications Advisor) participate in a Stakeholder Engagement Review Meeting by country, co-ordinated and facilitated by the Corporate Stakeholder Manager. These monthly meetings are held by teleconference and are aimed at reviewing the foregoing month’s engagement, ensuring coordination and
monitoring of the engagement process between in-country and the corporate-level teams, and looking ahead to the next monthly phase of stakeholder engagement.

The monthly review meetings take place in advance of the monthly TAP Leadership Team Meetings, allowing the provision of updates to the TAP management on key aspects of stakeholder engagement.

TAP conducts quarterly reviews of the performance and risks associated with stakeholder engagement. These quarterly reviews are part of TAP’s continual improvement process and take place with similar participation to the monthly review meetings.

The Corporate Stakeholder Manager oversees organising the Monthly Stakeholder Engagement Review Meetings and the Quarterly Risk/Performance Reviews.

Contractors report to TAP on a monthly basis on stakeholder engagement performed.

6.3 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Examples of key performance indicators (KPIs) used by TAP for evaluating the effectiveness of stakeholder engagement activities and analysis of trends are provided in Table 6.1 overleaf.
### Table 6.1: KPIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outreach</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of outreach sessions/meetings conducted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE community training sessions conducted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of pipeline corridor communities informed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of participation in pre-entry/pre-exit construction awareness meeting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned number of participants in outreach sessions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits and visitors received in TAP offices.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of visitors to TAP offices.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of requests for information responded to within 3 working days.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of MoMs entered into SGMT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues/Commitments</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of informal meetings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of issues raised (during office visits and outreach sessions) vs issues addressed and issues outstanding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of commitments received and delivered within the timeframe (this is for commitments made during stakeholder engagement meetings).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;S Management</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of social incidents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social incidents (category 3/4/5) *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social incidents (category 1/2) *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of social incidents by type (land related, non-land related, industrial action).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stakeholder meetings/community awareness sessions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees from local project-affected communities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees from host countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro spend on goods and services in host country.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grievance</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency: total number of grievances received and resolved, number of grievances resolved according to prescribed timeframes, median days to closure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness: total number of grievances received by region, number of grievances by work-stream, number of grievances by category, number for recurring grievances.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness: number of grievance training sessions completed by TAP and contractors; areas provided with grievance communication; recorded number of grievances using recourse mechanisms and number of hot topics escalated from assessment of overall grievance and stakeho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ref TAP-HSE-PR0011
7. Change Management

7.1 Annual SEP Review

In accordance with TAP’s Commitment Register (GAL00-PMT-601-Y-TLX-0001), the Stakeholder Engagement Plan will be reviewed on an annual basis and updated to take into account the results of the review. The Corporate Stakeholder Manager will be responsible for facilitating this review, in collaboration with the in-country stakeholder-facing functions and incorporating any agreed changes.

7.2 Operations Readiness

Before TAP enters its operational phase, the Stakeholder Engagement Plan will be updated to take into account the following:

- Resources required by different stakeholder-facing functions during the operations.
- Review of stakeholder mapping, tailored to the operations phase and engagement requirements.
- Operations plans for other stakeholder-facing functions (Stakeholder Management, SEI, Livelihood Restoration, Environmental and Social, Grievance Management).
- Residual grievances carried over from the construction phase and requiring ongoing monitoring.

TAP’s Commitment Register (GAL00-PMT-601-Y-TLX-0001) specifies that the Stakeholder Engagement Plan for Operations will be finalised six months before the start of operations and will be shared with key stakeholders (GR3040).
8. Sources of Information

8.1 TAP Website

A wide range of information relating to the project is available on the TAP website. In terms of stakeholder engagement, the following sections are of particular interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Website Section</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Access Summary - Albania</td>
<td><a href="https://www.tap-ag.al/aksesi-ne-toke">https://www.tap-ag.al/aksesi-ne-toke</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide on Land Easement and Acquisition - Albania</td>
<td><a href="https://www.tap-ag.al/assets/03.land_access/albanian/GLAC_FINAL_PRINT.pdf">https://www.tap-ag.al/assets/03.land_access/albanian/GLAC_FINAL_PRINT.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.tap-ag.al/assets/LRF_alb_final1.pdf">https://www.tap-ag.al/assets/LRF_alb_final1.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 Newsletters/Leaflets/Posters

The following information leaflets, posters and brochures have been published in Albanian and distributed in the communities along the pipe transportation route and pipeline corridor in Albania:

- TAP corporate brochure – (approx. 300 copies).
- TAP Transportation campaign – leaflets (approx. 2,000), posters (approx. 200).
- Grievances - poster (approx. 200 copies), leaflets (approx. 2,000 copies), grievances card (approx. 200 cards).
- HSE regional leaflets (approx. 2,000 copies).
- PAPs land rights and access – kit with 3 different leaflets, package and give-away (7,000 sets).
• LATS programme – leaflets (2000 copies), brochures (approx. 500 copies).
• LEA: Training on Financial Management Empowerment – leaflets (200 copies).

Project information is available from the TAP local offices. A hard copy of the ESIA has been distributed to all affected local government offices (municipalities and communes) and a copy of the non-technical summary is held at each TAP office.

8.3 Media Campaigns

Media campaigns were organised covering areas along the pipeline route, communities along the pipe transportation route and general public, with TV and radio spots, on the following topics:

• Road Safety radio campaign (aired on all local radio stations along TAP route and 3 national radio stations during entire summer).
• Safety Construction Sites – TVC (broadcast in all local TV channels along the pipeline’s route).
• TAP construction phases campaign – TV (broadcast on all local TV channels on TAP route).
• Grievances – TV (broadcast on all local TV channels along the pipeline’s route).
• TAP Transportation campaign – TV (used on all local TV channels on TAP route), radio spot (used on all local radio stations on TAP route and two national radio stations).

8.4 Local TAP Offices

Trans Adriatic Pipeline AG Albania, Branch
Building No.12 (ABA Business Centre), 9th Floor, Office No.906
Papa Gjon Pali II street
1010 Tirana, Albania
Phone: +355(4)4 306 937
Fax: +355(4)2 265 685

tapshqiperi@tap-ag.com

Regional Office in Korça
Blv. Fan Noli, Building number 1,
First floor, 7000, Korça, Albania
Phone: +355 (4)4 813 051
Alketa.Zallemi@tap-ag.com

Regional Office in Fier
Blv. Jakov Xoxa, Hotel Fieri,
Third floor, 9300, Fier, Albania
Phone: +355 (4)4 813 052
Dhimitraq.Marko@tap-ag.com

Regional Office in Çorovoda
District Çilirimi, Çorovoda, 5300, Skrapar, Albania
Phone: +355 (4)4 813 053
Endri.Dyrmishi@tap-ag.com

Regional Office in Berat
Street 10 Koriku, Nr. 21, Albania
Phone: +355 (4)4813 060
Erjon.Piperku@tap-ag.com