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KEY RESULTS

Investment Dynamics and Focus
While fewer firms invested in 2020, firms have become more optimistic for 2021, with more firms expecting to increase rather than decrease investment. However, this still remains below the average levels in the EU.

On average, firms in Portugal spent almost three-fifths (61%) of their investment on replacement, an increase on EIBIS 2020 (52%) but similar to the EU average (50%). The highest share of investment went into machinery and equipment (50%).

Impact of COVID-19
COVID-19 hit firms in various ways. Slightly more than half of the firms (54%) report that their sales or turnover have declined compared to the beginning of 2020. COVID-19 also had an impact on investment. A third (32%) of firms in Portugal have revised their investment plans downwards as a result of COVID-19, higher than among EU firms (26%).

Asked about the short-term actions to react to COVID-19, digitalisation stood out: two in five (42%) firms have taken action or made investments to become more digital due to COVID-19, similar to the EU average (46%).

Investment Needs and Priorities
COVID-19 will undeniably have a long-term impact on needs and priorities. Again, digitalisation stands out, with around three in five (58%) firms believing that the increased use of digital technologies will be the long-term impact of COVID-19, similar to all EU firms (55%).

Around a half (48%) of all Portuguese firms report operations at or above full capacity, similar to what was reported in EIBIS 2020 (48%) and the EU average (51%). Portuguese firms do not perceive major gaps in their investment activities: eight in ten (80%) firms report that they invested about the right amount over the last three years.

Innovation Activities
The share of firms innovating has declined (32% in EIBIS 2021 versus 50% EIBIS 2020) but is similar to the EU average (36%). More than two thirds of firms (67%) have implemented at least one of the advanced digital technologies they were asked about.

Drivers and Constraints
More firms expect the political and regulatory climate to deteriorate than improve in the next twelve months, but to a lesser extent than what was reported in EIBIS 2020. On balance, Portuguese firms became positive again about the economic climate, business prospects in the sector and availability of finance.

The most frequently cited long-term barriers to investment are the uncertainty about the future (89%) and business regulations (80%). Firms in Portugal are more likely to cite the following aspects as a barrier to investment than EU firms: energy costs (76% versus 64%), labour market regulations (70% versus 61%), business regulations (80% versus 65%) and uncertainty about the future (89% versus 73%).

Investment Finance
Access to finance conditions in Portugal are benign: 6% of all firms in Portugal can be considered as external finance constrained, in line with the EU average. Firms that used external finance are on balance satisfied with the finance received. The highest level of dissatisfaction is with the cost of the finance (12%), which is higher than the EU average (5%)

As a result of the crisis, more than a quarter of firms (27%) have increased their debt and 4% have raised equity from current owners. Public support was substantial: more than half of all firms (65%) received financial support in response to COVID-19. The most common form of support came via subsidies and other financial support that do not need to be paid back in the future (41%).

Climate Change and Energy Efficiency
Three-quarters (72%) of firms in Portugal report that weather events have an impact on their business. This is much higher than the EU average (58%). Moreover, Portuguese firms are starting to internalise the risks associated with the transition to net zero. In particular, firms that think the transition to stricter climate standards and regulations will have an impact on their business are more likely to see it as an opportunity rather than an a risk (37% compared to 22%).

On average, 36% of Portuguese firms have already invested to deal with climate change, and 50% have plans to do so in the next three years. The share of firms planning to invest has increased compared to EIBIS 2020 (50% versus 41% EIBIS 2020). 44% of Portuguese firms set and monitored internal targets for carbon emissions, in line with the EU average (46%).

Firm management, gender balance and employment
Around two thirds of Portuguese firms linked individual performance to pay (68%), made use of a strategic monitoring system (67%) and strove for gender balance (70%). Portuguese firms were more likely to strive for gender balance than the EU average (70% versus 60%). Employment in Portugal has declined slightly (-1.4%) since the start of the pandemic, with SMEs experiencing the largest decline (-5.5%).
Investment Dynamics and Focus

INVESTMENT DYNAMICS BY INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR

Investment in Portugal was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, with investment levels declining from Q2 2020, until falling to 2.8% below the pre-crisis level in Q4 2020. Still, following a strong rebound in Q2 2021, investment rose back to 1.6% above the pre-crisis 2019 level in Q3 2021.

This aggregate picture masks significant sectoral differences. The recovery is mainly driven by government investment, while corporate and household investment, though improving in the first quarters of 2021, still remained below pre-crisis levels in Q2 2021.

The graph on the left shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data are transformed into four-quarter sums, deflated using the implicit deflator for total GFCF. The four-quarter sum of total GFCF in 2019 Q4 is normalized to 0. Source: Eurostat.

The graph on the right shows the year-on-year growth of total gross fixed capital formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data are deflated using the implicit deflator for total GFCF. Source: Eurostat.

INVESTMENT CYCLE AND EVOLUTION OF INVESTMENT EXPECTATIONS

While fewer Portuguese firms invested in 2020, they have become more optimistic for 2021, with more firms expecting to increase rather than decrease investment. However, this still remains below the average levels in the EU.

Large firms and firms within the infrastructure sector are the most likely to have invested and to expect to increase rather than decrease investment.

Investment expectations have returned to the positive although they have not yet reached EIBIS 2019 levels.

The graph on the left shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data are transformed into four-quarter sums, deflated using the implicit deflator for total GFCF. The four-quarter sum of total GFCF in 2019 Q4 is normalized to 0. Source: Eurostat.

The graph on the right shows the year-on-year growth of total gross fixed capital formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data are deflated using the implicit deflator for total GFCF. Source: Eurostat.

Realised change (%)  Expected change (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Realised change’ is the share of firms who invested more minus those who invested less; ‘Expected change’ is the share of firms who expected to invest more minus those who expected to invest less.

Base: All firms

Share of firms investing shows the percentage of firms with investment per employee greater than EUR 500. The y-axis line crosses x-axis on the EU average for EIBIS 2021.

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)
Investment Dynamics and Focus

PURPOSE OF INVESTMENT IN LAST FINANCIAL YEAR (% of firms’ investment)

On average, firms across Portugal spent three-fifths (61%) of their investment in 2020 to replace buildings and equipment, an increase compared to EIBIS 2020 (52%) but similar to the EU average (50%).

Investment in capacity expansion accounts for around a quarter (23%) of all investment spent in Portugal, while investment in the development and introduction of new products and services made up 14% of the investment, lower than what was reported in EIBIS 2020 (19%). Manufacturing firms have allocated the largest share of investment to developing or introducing new products, processes and services (19%). Large firms invested a larger share to expand capacity than SMEs (26% vs 22% respectively).

Q. What proportion of total investment was for (a) replacing capacity (including existing buildings, machinery, equipment, IT) (b) expanding capacity for existing products/services (c) developing or introducing new products, processes, services?

Base: All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

INVESTMENT AREAS

Out of the six investment areas considered, the largest share of investment in Portugal went into machinery and equipment (50%), followed by land, business buildings and infrastructure (17%), software, data, IT and website activities (11%) and training of employees (11%). The pattern is broadly in line with the EIBIS 2020 findings and the EU average.

Investment activities varied depending on the sector and size of the business. Firms in the services sector invested a higher share in ‘intangible assets’ (R&D, software, training and business processes) and a lower share in ‘tangible assets’ (land, buildings, infrastructure and machinery).
Impact of COVID-19

IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON SALES

COVID-19 hit firms in various ways. When asked about the impact on sales, more than a half of firms (54%) report that their sales have declined compared to the beginning of 2020, broadly in line with the EU average (49%).

Large firms are slightly more likely than SMEs to have seen a decline in sales due to COVID-19 (60% versus 50%).

More than half of firms (54%) in Portugal undertook at least one of the short-term actions they were asked about as a result of COVID-19, similar to the EU average (57%), but below the US average (74%). The most common action is taking action or investing in order to become more digital, mentioned by around 42% of the firms, again similar to the EU average (46%). Large firms are more likely than SMEs to report that they have become more digital (55% versus 35%).

A quarter (26%) of firms have developed new products.
Impact of COVID-19

IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON INVESTMENT

COVID-19 also had an impact on investment. A third (32%) of the firms have revised their investment plans downwards as a result of COVID-19, higher than among EU firms (26%). However, around two thirds (65%) of the firms report that they have not changed their investment plans as a result of COVID-19, which is line with the EU average (71%).

Firms in the services (44%) and manufacturing (34%) sectors and large (40%) firms are the most likely to have revised their investment plans downwards.

DIFFERENCES IN IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON INVESTMENT

Overall, firms whose sales or turnover have been negatively impacted by COVID-19 are more likely to have revised their investment plans downwards compared to firms whose sales or turnover have been buoyant.

More firms in Portugal who have experienced a reduction in sales, have revised their investment plans downwards compared with the EU overall (46% versus 36%).

Overall, very few firms in Portugal revised their investment plans upwards regardless of the impact they felt on their sales.
Investment Needs and Priorities

PERCEIVED INVESTMENT GAP

Portuguese firms do not perceive major gaps in their investment activities. Eight in ten (80%) firms believe their investment over the last three years was about the right amount, while 18% report investing too little. These figures are in line with the EU average.

Almost 9 in 10 firms (89%) in the construction sector believe they have invested the right amount, the highest share amongst all sectors. Firms in the infrastructure sector (77%) are the least likely to report that their investment was in line with their needs.

SHARE OF FIRMS AT OR ABOVE FULL CAPACITY

Around a half (48%) of all Portuguese firms report operations at or above full capacity in 2020, similar to what was reported in EIBIS 2020 (51%) and the EU average (49%).

Firms in the construction sector were the most likely to operate at or above full capacity (64%, compared to between 42% and 53% among firms in the other sectors).

Large firms were as likely as SMEs to operate at or above full capacity in 2020. This is a change from EIBIS 2020, where SMEs were more likely to be at or above full capacity.

Full capacity is the maximum capacity attainable e.g. company’s general practices regarding the utilization of machines and equipment, overtime, work shifts, holidays etc.

Q. Looking back at your investment over the last 3 years, was it too much, too little, or about the right amount?

Base: All firms (excluding ‘Company didn’t exist three years ago’ responses)

Q. In the last financial year, was your company operating above or at maximum capacity attainable?

Base: All firms (data not shown for those operating somewhat or substantially below full capacity)
Investment Needs and Priorities

FUTURE INVESTMENT PRIORITIES (% of firms)

Looking ahead to the next three years, replacing existing buildings and equipment is the most commonly cited investment priority among firms (34%). Capacity expansion for existing products and services is the priority for more than a quarter (27%) of firms, followed by the development or introduction of new products, processes or services (26%). The share of firms prioritising the development and introduction of new products, processes or services has declined (26% in EIBIS 2021 versus 33% in EIBIS 2020).

Firms in Portugal are more likely than EU firms to have no investment planned (13% versus 9%) and firms in the construction sector are the most likely to have no investment planned (22%).

COVID-19 LONG-TERM IMPACT

COVID-19 will undeniably have a long-term impact on needs and priorities. Overall, more than eight in ten (84%) firms think COVID-19 will have a long-term impact on their business, in at least one of the aspects they were asked about, which is higher than among EU firms (72%).

Around three in five (58%) firms think the long-term impact of COVID-19 will be the increased use of digital technologies, similar to all EU firms (55%). Firms in Portugal are more likely than all EU firms to think that COVID-19 will have a long-term impact on their services or product portfolio (34% versus 23% respectively), on their supply chain (36% versus 28%) and in form of a permanent reduction in employment (25% versus 13%). In addition, in first two instances the share of firms in Portugal who think there will be a long-term impact has declined.
Innovation Activities

INNOVATION ACTIVITY

The share of firms innovating has declined since EIBIS 2020 (32% versus 50% EIBIS 2020), in line with the EU average (36%). Manufacturing firms were the most likely to innovate (50%) and infrastructure firms were the least likely (21%) to do so. Large firms were more likely than SMEs to develop or introduce new products, processes, services that are new to the country or global market (18% versus 10%).

Q. What proportion of total investment was for developing or introducing new products, processes, services?
Q. Were the products, processes or services new to the company, new to the country, new to the global market?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

INNOVATION PROFILE

When firms’ innovation and research and development behaviour is profiled more widely, 16% of firms in Portugal can be classified as ‘active innovators’, and a further 6% of firms as ‘developers’, both relatively similar to the EU average, but slightly below the US average.

The ‘No innovation and no R&D’ group comprises firms that did not introduce any new products, processes or services in the last financial year. The ‘Adaptor only’ introduced new products, processes or services but without undertaking any of their own research and development effort. ‘Developers’ are firms that did not introduce new products, processes or services but allocated a significant part of their investment activities to research and development. ‘Incremental’ and ‘Leading innovators’ have introduced new products, processes and services and also invested in research and development activities. The two profiles differ in terms of the novelty of the new products, processes or services. For incremental innovators these are ‘new to the firm’; for leading innovators these are new to the country/world.

Q. What proportion of total investment was for developing or introducing new products, processes, services?
Q. Were the products, processes or services new to the company, new to the country, new to the global market?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)
Innovation Activities

IMPLEMENTATION OF ADVANCED DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

More than two thirds of Portuguese firms (67%) have implemented, at least one of the advanced digital technologies they were asked about. This proportion is in line with the EU average (61%).

Firms in the construction sector are the least likely to have implemented advanced digital technologies within their business (44%). Large firms are more likely than SMEs to have implemented at least one advanced digital technology (82% versus 59%). Portuguese service and manufacturing firms report a relatively high uptake of new digital platforms when compared with all EU firms.

Q: Can you tell me for each of the following digital technologies if you have heard about them, not heard about them, implemented them in parts of your business, or whether your entire business is organised around them?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Reported shares combine implemented the technology ‘in parts of business’ and ‘entire business organised around it’

ADVANCED DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

Q: Can you tell me for each of the following digital technologies if you have heard about them, not heard about them, implemented them in parts of your business, or whether your entire business is organised around them?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses);
Sample size PT: Manufacturing (141); Construction (110); Services (110); Infrastructure (120)

* Sector: 1 = Asked of Manufacturing firms, 2 = Asked of Services firms, 3 = Asked of Construction firms, 4 = Asked of infrastructure firms

Reported shares combine implemented the technology ‘in parts of business’ and ‘entire business organised around it’
Drivers And Constraints

SHORT-TERM FIRM OUTLOOK

More firms expect the political and regulatory climate to deteriorate than improve in the next twelve months, but to a lesser extent than in EIBIS 2020 (-11% versus -29% EIBIS 2020). This is in line with firms across the EU.

Firms are more optimistic than in EIBIS 2020 about: the overall economic climate (+9% versus -67%), business prospects in the sector (+38% versus -36%) and the availability of internal (+14% versus -27%) and external (+10% versus -11%).

More firms expect the political and regulatory climate to deteriorate than improve in the next twelve months, but to a lesser extent than in EIBIS 2020 (-11% versus -29% EIBIS 2020). This is in line with firms across the EU.

Firms are more optimistic than in EIBIS 2020 about: the overall economic climate (+9% versus -67%), business prospects in the sector (+38% versus -36%) and the availability of internal (+14% versus -27%) and external (+10% versus -11%).

Q. Do you think that each of the following will improve, stay the same, or get worse over the next twelve months?

Please note: green figures are positive, red figures are negative

Base: All firms

SHORT-TERM FIRM OUTLOOK BY SECTOR AND SIZE (NET BALANCE %)

Firms are consistently more negative than positive about the political/regulatory climate.

In contrast to other sectors, manufacturing and construction sectors firms are more negative than positive about the economic climate. Large firms are, on balance, more optimistic about business prospects in their sector, the economic climate and internal finance than SMEs firms.
Drivers And Constraints

LONG-TERM BARRIERS TO INVESTMENT

The most frequently cited long-term barriers to investment are the uncertainty about the future (89%) and business regulations (80%). Firms in Portugal are also more likely to cite the following barriers to investment than EU firms: energy costs (76% versus 64%), labour market regulations (70% versus 61%), business regulations (80% versus 65%) and uncertainty about the future (89% versus 73%).

The share of firms citing adequate transport infrastructure has increased since EIBIS 2020 (46% versus 39%).

Q. Thinking about your investment activities in Portugal, to what extent is each of the following an obstacle? Is it a major obstacle, a minor obstacle or not an obstacle at all?

Base: All firms (data not shown for those who said not an obstacle at all/don't know/refused)

LONG-TERM BARRIERS BY SECTOR AND SIZE

Q. Thinking about your investment activities in Portugal, to what extent is each of the following an obstacle? Is it a major obstacle, a minor obstacle or not an obstacle at all?

Base: All firms (data not shown for those who said not an obstacle at all/don't know/refused)
Investment Finance

SOURCE OF INVESTMENT FINANCE

Firms in Portugal continued to fund the majority of their investment in 2020 through internal financing (62%), which is similar to the EU average and EIBIS 2020 (63% and 66% respectively).

External finance made up more than a third of the overall investment finance in 2020 (37%). This is similar to the EU average (35%). Intra-group finance accounted for 1% of the overall investment finance and was predominantly used by manufacturing firms (4%) and by large (4%) firms.

Q. What proportion of your investment was financed by each of the following?

Base: All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

TYPE OF EXTERNAL FINANCE USED FOR INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

Bank loans continued to make up the largest share of external finance (51%). This is in line with what was reported in EIBIS 2020 (47%) and above the EU average (56%). Other bank finance accounted for the second largest share of external finance used (18%), which is above the current EU average (10%).

A fifth of finance in the construction (22%) and infrastructure (21%) sectors came from leasing or hiring.

Q. Approximately what proportion of your external finance does each of the following represent?

*Non-institutional loans*=

Loans from family, friends or business partners

Base: All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)
Investment Finance

ACTIONS TAKEN AS A RESULT OF COVID-19

As a result of the crisis, more than a quarter of firms (27%) have increased their debt and 4% have increased new equity from current owners.

This increase in debt is significantly above the EU (16%) and US (13%) averages and is similar across firms of all size classes.

Q. Has your company taken any of the following actions as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?

[335x509]0%
[331x551]10%
[331x592]20%
[335x502]EU 2021 PT 2021 US 2021 SME Large

SHARE OF FIRMS RECEIVING FINANCIAL SUPPORT IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19

Public support was important: around six in ten (65%) firms in Portugal report having received some form of financial help, above the EU average (56%) but below the US average (72%). Two in five (41%) firms in Portugal have received subsidies or other financial support that they do not need to pay back as a result of COVID-19, which is above the EU average (36%).

Overall, SMEs are slightly less likely than large firms to have received financial support (61% versus 71%).
Access To Finance

DISSATISFACTION WITH EXTERNAL FINANCE RECEIVED

Firms are more satisfied than dissatisfied with the finance they have received in 2020. The highest level of dissatisfaction is with the cost of the finance (12%), which is higher than the EU average (5%).

The sources of dissatisfaction vary across sectors and firm size classes. Construction firms have the highest level of dissatisfaction, with the cost of finance.
Access To Finance

**SHARE OF FINANCE CONSTRAINED FIRMS**

6% of all firms in Portugal can be considered as external finance constrained, which is similar to the EU average (5%) and the share reported in EIBIS 2020 (4%).

A higher share of firms in the services sector report to be finance constrained (8.5%). The share of SMEs reporting to be finance constrained is more than the double that of large firms (8% vs 3%).

Finance constrained firms include: those dissatisfied with the amount of finance obtained (received less), firms that sought external finance but did not receive it (rejected) and those who did not seek external finance because they thought borrowing costs would be too high (too expensive) or they would be turned down (discouraged).

**FINANCING CONSTRAINTS OVER TIME**

There has been little change in the EU average of finance constrained firms since EIBIS 2020.

Firms in Portugal are slightly more likely to be finance constrained when compared to the EU average.
Climate Change and Energy Efficiency

IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE – PHYSICAL RISK

A large share of firms feel the effect of weather events: three-quarters (72%) of firms in Portugal report that weather events are currently having an impact on their business, although they are more likely to think it has a minor rather than a major impact (51% compared to 20%). This is much higher than the EU average (58%).

Firms in the services sector (36%) and SMEs (33%) are the most likely to believe that climate change and the related changes are not having an impact on their business.

Q. Thinking about climate change and the related changes in weather patterns, would you say these weather events currently have a major impact, a minor impact or no impact at all on your business?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know / refused responses)

IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE – RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRANSITION TO A NET ZERO EMISSION ECONOMY OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS

Two in five (41%) firms in Portugal think that the transition to stricter climate standards and regulations will have no impact on their company over the next 5 years. However, firms that think it will have an impact are more likely to see it as an opportunity rather than an a risk (37% compared to 22%). Firms in the infrastructure and manufacturing sectors are the most likely to view the transition as an opportunity (47% and 41% respectively).

The share of firms viewing the transition as an opportunity is much higher in Portugal than among EU firms (37% versus 28%).

Q. Thinking about your company, what impact do you expect this transition to stricter climate standards and regulations will have on your company over the next five years?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know / refused responses)
Climate Change and Energy Efficiency

INVESTMENT PLANS TO TACKLE CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACT

On average, 36% of Portuguese firms have already invested to deal with climate change and 50% have plans to do so in the next three years. The share of firms planning to invest has increased compared to EIBIS 2020 (50% versus 41%); however, the share of firms who have already invested has declined from 42% to 36%.

Large (62%) firms, along with manufacturing (56%) and infrastructure (57%) firms are the most likely to be planning to invest to tackle the impacts of weather events and to deal with the process of reduction in carbon emissions.

SHARE OF FIRMS INVESTING IN MEASURES TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND SHARE OF TOTAL INVESTMENT

The share of firms that invested in measures to improve energy efficiency has declined (39% in EIBIS 2021 versus 48% EIBIS 2020), but remains similar to the EU average (37%).

Firms in the services sector are the least likely to report that they have invested in these measures and the share has dropped since EIBIS 2020 (23% versus 43%). In addition, firms in the service sector (8%) have the lowest share of investment across all sectors. Large firms were more likely than SMEs to invest in measures to improve energy efficiency (56% versus 29%).
Firm management, climate targets, gender balance and employment

FIRM MANAGEMENT, CLIMATE TARGETS AND GENDER BALANCE

When asked about several management practices, 68% of Portuguese firms report having linked individual performance to pay, 67% indicate to have made use of a strategic monitoring system and 70% report to have striven for gender balance in 2020. Portuguese firms were more likely to strive for gender balance than the EU average (70% versus 60%).

Large firms (88%) were the most likely to make use of a strategic monitoring system, whereas firms in the service industry were the least likely to set targets on carbon emissions and energy consumption (26%).

CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT DURING COVID-19

Employment in Portugal has declined slightly (-1.4%) since the start of the pandemic, with SMEs experiencing the largest decline (-5.5%).

Q. How many people does your company employ either full or part time at all its locations, including yourself?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Q. How many people did your company employ either full or part time at all its locations, at the beginning of 2020, before the COVID-19 pandemic?

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)
EIBIS 2021 – Country Technical Details

**SAMPLING TOLERANCES APPLICABLE TO PERCENTAGES AT OR NEAR THESE LEVELS**

The final data are based on a sample, rather than the entire population of firms in Portugal, so the percentage results are subject to sampling tolerances. These vary with the size of the sample and the percentage figure concerned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU vs PT</th>
<th>Constr vs Manuf</th>
<th>SME vs Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(11920)</td>
<td>(802)</td>
<td>(481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% or 90%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% or 90%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% or 70%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GLOSSARY**

- **Investment**
  A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities with the intention of maintaining or increasing the company’s future earnings.

- **Investment cycle**
  Based on the expected investment in current financial year compared to last one, and the proportion of firms with a share of investment greater than EUR 500 per employee.

- **Manufacturing sector**
  Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group C (Manufacturing).

- **Construction sector**
  Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group F (Construction).

- **Services sector**
  Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group G (wholesale and retail trade) and group I (accommodation and food Services activities).

- **Infrastructure sector**
  Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in groups D and E (utilities), group H (transportation and storage) and group J (information and communication).

- **SME**
  Firms with between 5 and 249 employees.

- **Large firms**
  Firms with at least 250 employees.

*Note: the EIBIS 2021 country overview refers interchangeably to ‘the past/last financial year’ or to ‘2020’. Both refer to results collected in EIBIS 2021, where the question is referring to the past financial year, with the majority of the financial year in 2020 in case the financial year is not overlapping with the calendar year 2020.*
The country overview presents selected findings based on telephone interviews with 481 firms in Portugal (carried out between March and July 2021).

**BASE SIZES** (*Charts with more than one base; due to limited space, only the lowest base is shown*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All firms, p. 2, p. 6, p. 7, p. 10, p. 11</td>
<td>11920/11971</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>481/481</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding 'Company didn't exist three years ago' responses), p. 6</td>
<td>11910/11949</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>481/480</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 2</td>
<td>11620/11634</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>474/478</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 4 (top)</td>
<td>11860/NA</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>480/NA</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 4 (bottom)</td>
<td>11891/NA</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>481/NA</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 5 (top)</td>
<td>11814/11971</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>479/481</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 5 (bottom)</td>
<td>11760/11760</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>480/481</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 7 (top)</td>
<td>11648/11720</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>476/478</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 7 (bottom)</td>
<td>8780/9039</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>384/426</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 8 (top)</td>
<td>11891/11938</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>481/481</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 8 (bottom)</td>
<td>11765/11727</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>480/481</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 9 (top)</td>
<td>11882/NA</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>481/NA</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 9 (bottom)</td>
<td>11887/NA</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>480/NA</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 10</td>
<td>11518/11477</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>477/474</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 10 (top)</td>
<td>11760/11760</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>480/480</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 10 (bottom)</td>
<td>11849/11898</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>480/480</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 11</td>
<td>11384/NA</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>470/NA</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 11 (top)</td>
<td>11659/11739</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>478/479</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 11 (bottom)</td>
<td>11616/NA</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>468/NA</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 12</td>
<td>11664/11402</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>472/475</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 3 (top)</td>
<td>9670/10138</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>417/447</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 3 (bottom)</td>
<td>9523/9874</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>398/437</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 12</td>
<td>8675/9255</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>375/408</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 17</td>
<td>11920/11971</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>481/481</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 14</td>
<td>4003/4354</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>200/208</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don't know/refused responses), p. 14</td>
<td>3964/4310</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>202/210</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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