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EIBIS 2016 – COUNTRY OVERVIEW

Netherlands

The annual EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance (EIBIS) is an EU-wide survey of 12,500 firms that gathers quantitative information on investment activities by both SMEs and larger corporates, their financing requirements and the difficulties they face.

As the EU bank, the EIB Group responds to the need to accelerate investment to strengthen job creation and long-term competitiveness and sustainability across all 28 EU member States. EIBIS helps the EIB to contribute to a policy response that properly addresses the needs of businesses, promoting investment.

This country overview presents selected findings based on telephone interviews with 506 firms in Netherlands in 2016 (July–October). Note: The results are weighted by value-added, reflecting firms’ contribution to the economy.

Key results

**Investment outlook:** High investment, moderately expanding: a high share of firms invested in the last financial year, with the outlook for the current year on balance slightly more positive than for the wider EU. Investment by infrastructure firms looks to expand most, with that by services firms to contract significantly. (The latter contrasts with the perception in the services sector of underinvestment in recent years.) Construction firms also expect to ramp-up investment.

**Investment activity:** More than four in five firms invested in the last financial year, with an intensity (investment per employee) similar to the EU average.

**Investment gap:** One in ten firms report having invested too little over the last three years, while only 3% found they invested too much. The construction sector aside, a relatively large share of firms in the services sector deem themselves to have underinvested. Overall, the shares of Dutch firms considering that the energy efficiency of their buildings and technological level of their machinery to be advanced are similar to the shares in the wider EU.

**Investment barriers:** Political and regulatory climate is perceived to be the main barrier to implementing investment in the short-run, with uncertainty about the future and skills shortage important longer-term factors; this matches the EU.

**External finance:** Firms that underinvested consider external finance as an important constraint, yet the proportion of firms identified as financially constrained is lower than the EU average.

**Firm performance:** Dutch firms have higher productivity compared to the EU average, particularly in the infrastructure and service sector.
The share of firms that are actively investing is similar to that in the EU as a whole.

Sectoral differences are apparent, with nearly all manufacturing firms investing (94%) compared with 80% of services firms.

The intensity of investment (investment per employee) is similar to the EU average. Within the Netherlands this is highest in the manufacturing and infrastructure sectors.

Investment activity in last financial year compared to previous

Compared to 2014, 40% of firms in the Netherlands increased investment activities in 2015 (a net positive of 23% versus 15% for the EU average).

On balance large firms were particularly active.

Notwithstanding the large number of manufacturing firms investing, only 34% increased investment.
In the Netherlands, the number of firms expecting to increase investment activity in 2016 over 2015 is low (a net balance of 3%) compared to the EU average (net 8%).

Construction and infrastructure firms are the least likely to invest less than in 2015.

On balance, manufacturing and services firms expect to have reduced investment in 2016 relative to 2015.

Investment cycle

A relatively large share of firms in the Netherlands have been investing and, on average, expect to continue expanding investment moderately.

Infrastructure firms expect to ramp-up investment most, followed by the hitherto relatively staid construction sector and, to a lesser extent, SMEs.

Contrary to the wider EU, investment in the services sector looks set to contract even further.
The broad pattern of investment in the Netherlands overall is similar to the EU as a whole.

Investment in intangibles is pronounced for SMEs with large firms more likely to invest in fixed assets.

SMEs invest considerably less in land and buildings than large enterprises.

Manufacturing firms are most likely to be investing in R&D.

An unusually large share of Dutch companies invest abroad compared to the EU average; the firms in the Netherlands rank third in the EU after Denmark and Belgium.

This propensity is particularly marked for infrastructure and services sectors, where about twice the EU share of firms invest abroad.

Large firms, in particular, tend to invest abroad.
- As in the wider EU, the largest share of firm investment in the Netherlands went into the replacement of existing buildings, machinery and equipment.

- Manufacturing led the way in terms of investing for new products (with 20% of total investment being allocated to this).

- Going forward, capacity expansion appears to be relatively important (on average 29% of firms named this as their main investment priority compared to 25% for the EU).

- Large firms place more importance on capacity expansion than SMEs (36% vs 23%).

- The manufacturing sector looks to lead the way in terms of investment for new products (33%), compared with the Netherlands as a whole.
In line with the EU average, the majority of firms report investing sufficiently over the past three years; only 13% consider that they have underinvested.

- 56% of firms in the Netherlands report operating at or above capacity, a similar to the proportion in the EU as a whole (51%).
- Seven in ten (71%) firms in the services sector report operating at/above capacity, more than other sectors within the Netherlands and compared with the services sector across the EU.
- Similar to the EU average, firms in the Netherlands on average consider that 44% of their machinery and equipment is state-of-the-art.
- The share of state-of-the-art machinery and equipment is largest in the infrastructure sector.

### Average share of building stock meeting high energy efficiency standards

- In line with the wider EU, firms in the Netherlands consider that 38% of their buildings meet high energy standards.
- There is little variation across sector and size.

### Average share of state-of-the-art machinery and equipment

- Similar to the EU average, firms in the Netherlands on average consider that 38% of their buildings meet high energy standards.
In line with the wider EU, the political and regulatory climate is considered the greatest barrier to investment in the short-term.

Consistent with the growing economic resilience, the overall economic outlook is noted relatively frequently as a positive factor.

**Short term influences on investment**

- Political and regulatory climate
- Overall economic climate
- Business prospects in the sector
- Availability of external finance
- Availability of internal finance

**Net balance**
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**Base:** All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year

Q. How do each of the following affect your ability to carry out your planned investment. Does it affect it positively or negatively, or make no difference at all?

* Net balance is the share of firms seeing a positive effect minus the share of firms seeing a negative effect

Regulatory constraints appear to be particularly pronounced for firms that consider themselves to have invested sufficiently.
- Compared to EU peers, firms in the Netherlands are less prone to identifying barriers to investment.

- About half of Dutch firms cite availability of staff with the right skills as a longer-term factor hampering investment, with around four in ten also noting uncertainty about the future as well as business regulations.

- A third of firms (36%) note availability of finance as an obstacle to investment.

Long term barriers by investment performance

- For firms that report their investment to be below their needs, the availability of external finance is considered is the main obstacle.
INVESTMENT FINANCE

- Compared to the EU average, firms in the Netherlands take relatively high recourse to internal financing, especially the construction sector.
- Leasing is more commonly used in the Netherlands than across the EU.
- Among the less satisfactory aspects of external finance are the cost of finance and collateral requirements.

Type of external finance used for investment activities

Source of investment finance

Q. Approximately what proportion of your investment in the last financial year was financed by each of the following?

Satisfaction with external finance

Q. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with ...?
More than half of Dutch companies appear keen on bank loans to finance investments over the next three years, with bank loans and leasing each accounting for 30% of external finance in the past year.

8% of firms in the Netherlands are classified as financially constrained, compared to an EU average of 5%.

Unsurprisingly following the considerable boom-bust cycle suffered by the Dutch property sector, the construction sector drives much of this result.

Still, a surprisingly large share of Dutch firms across various sectors are classified as financially constrained, including large firms.
The size distribution of Dutch firms is tilted slightly towards SMEs when compared to the EU distribution. A larger share of firms in the Netherlands is engaged in services when compared with the EU, whilst for manufacturing the reverse is the case.

The Dutch labour market is relatively dynamic.

Dutch firms are relatively productive across certain sectors, especially in services and manufacturing.

**Base:** All firms

The charts reflect the relative contribution to value-added by firms belonging to a particular size class / sector in the population of firms considered. That is, all firms with 5 or more employees active in the sectors covered by the survey. Micro: 5-9 employees; Small: 10-49; Medium: 50-249; Large: 250+.

**Employment dynamics in last 3 years**

The charts reflects the relative change in employment in the last 3 years.

**Distribution of firms by productivity class**

*Share of firms by productivity class (Total Factor Productivity). Productivity classes are sector specific; they are defined on the basis of the entire EU sample (for a particular sector).*
MACROECONOMIC INVESTMENT CONTEXT

Investment Dynamics over time

- Real investment in the Netherlands remains some 10% below 2008 levels and also significantly below the pre-crisis trend.
- Unsurprisingly, the vast bulk of this is related to the property and construction sectors.
- IPP has grown in importance over recent years.

Investment Dynamics by Institutional Sector

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms) by institutional sector. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.

Investment Dynamics by Asset Class

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms) by asset class. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.
EIBIS 2016 – COUNTRY TECHNICAL DETAILS

The final data are based on a sample, rather than the entire population of firms in Netherlands, so the percentage results are subject to sampling tolerances. These vary with the size of the sample and the percentage figure concerned.

Approximate sampling tolerances applicable to percentages at or near these levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large</th>
<th>EU vs Country</th>
<th>Manufacturing vs Construction</th>
<th>SME vs Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% or 90%</td>
<td>(12483)</td>
<td>(506)</td>
<td>(157)</td>
<td>(88)</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>(151)</td>
<td>(432)</td>
<td>(74)</td>
<td>(12468 vs 506)</td>
<td>(157 vs 88)</td>
<td>(432 vs 74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% or 70%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glossary

- **Investment**: A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities with the intention of maintaining or increasing the company’s future earnings.
- **Investment cycle**: Based on the expected investment in current financial year compared to last one, and the proportion of firms with a share of investment greater than EUR 500 per employee.
- **Productivity**: Total factor productivity is a measure of how efficiently a firm is converting inputs (capital and labor) into output (value-added). It is estimated by means of an industry-by-industry regression analysis (with country dummies).
- **Manufacturing sector**: Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group C (manufacturing).
- **Construction sector**: Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group F (construction).
- **Services sector**: Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group G (wholesale and retail trade) and group I (accommodation and food services activities).
- **Infrastructure sector**: Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in groups D and E (utilities), group H (transportation and storage) and group J (information and communication).
- **SME**: Firms with between 5 and 249 employees.
- **Large firms**: Firms with at least 250 employees.
## Base sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base definition and page reference</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All firms, p. 3, p. 6, p. 7, p. 9, p. 11, p. 12, p. 13</td>
<td>12483</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 2</td>
<td>11838</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding those who have no investment planned/don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>12159</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding ‘Company didn’t exist three years ago’ responses), p. 6</td>
<td>12453</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses), p. 13</td>
<td>12162</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 2</td>
<td>12281</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 4</td>
<td>10881</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 4</td>
<td>10060</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>9682</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10</td>
<td>9093</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses), p. 8</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10, p. 11</td>
<td>4344</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage rounding

Percentage with value of less than 0.5 but greater than zero has not been displayed in the charts.