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The annual EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance (EIBIS) is an EU-wide survey of 12,500 firms that gathers quantitative information on investment activities by both SMEs and larger corporates, their financing requirements and the difficulties they face.

As the EU bank, the EIB Group responds to the need to accelerate investment to strengthen job creation and long-term competitiveness and sustainability across all 28 EU member States. EIBIS helps the EIB to contribute to a policy response that properly addresses the needs of businesses, promoting investment.

This country overview presents selected findings based on telephone interviews with 160 firms in Malta in 2016 (July-October). Note: The results are weighted by value-added, reflecting firms’ contribution to the economy.

Key results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment outlook:</th>
<th>High investment, expanding: a high share of firms invested in the last financial year, with a positive outlook for the current year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment activity:</td>
<td>78% of the firms invested in the last financial year, with an investment intensity (median investment per employee of the investing firms) less than the EU average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment gap:</td>
<td>14% of the firms reported having invested too little over the last three years, while 8% found that they invested too much.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment barriers:</td>
<td>Firms in Malta are more concerned than other firms across the EU about the lack of availability of staff with the right skills. In fact, 56% of the firms consider the skills’ mismatch issue as a major obstacle to their investment activities in the long term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External finance:</td>
<td>Firms in Malta, appear to be finance constrained (14% of firms compared to 5% across the EU). This is the proportion of firms either dissatisfied with the amount of finance they received, had their application rejected, thought borrowing costs would be too high or were discouraged from applying. Firms are also dissatisfied with the collateral conditions and cost of external finance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm performance:</td>
<td>Job creation has been improving as firms in Malta are more likely to increase and less likely to decrease their employment levels. Although firms in Malta show satisfactory productivity levels, in the construction sector there is a high share of firms in the lowest EU productivity quantile.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
78% of firms in Malta invested in the last financial year, compared to 84% in the EU.

The share of firms investing stood at 88% in the manufacturing sector, 75% in the services sector and 74% in the construction and infrastructure sectors.

Intensity of investment (median investment per employee) was EUR 2,700 and, thus, significantly lower than for the EU as a whole (with a median investment outlay of EUR 6,200).

In 2015, 43% of the companies in Malta increased their investment activity and only 9% of the firms decreased their investment activity compared to 2014.

More than half (53%) of firms in the manufacturing sector, 49% of firms in the construction and infrastructure sectors and 31% of firms in the services sector increased their investment amount.

Investment expansion is driven by firms across all sizes. However, the share of medium and large firms that increased investment is 21 percentage points higher than the equivalent share of micro and small firms.

Q. Overall was this more, less or about the same amount of investment as in the previous year?
For 2016, firms in Malta expect a stagnation in investment.

More than half (55%) of firms in Malta expect their investment in 2016 to be the same as in 2015, significantly more than the EU average of 38%.

One out of five (22%) firms expect more investment in 2016 than 2015; 23% of firms expect less.

On balance, firms in the manufacturing and services sectors expect a contraction in investment (net investment of -18% and -1% respectively) and firms in construction/infrastructure are positive about their investment outlook (+12% net investment).

**Investment cycle**

The conservative investment outlook places Malta in the “low investment” quadrants in the investment cycle.

The construction and infrastructure sectors, which were severely hit by the crisis, signal an investment recovery for the current financial year; while the manufacturing sector may be in for a down-turn.
Most investment activity in Malta was concentrated in machinery and equipment (30% of total investment), followed by investments in land, business buildings and infrastructure (27%) and software, data, IT and website (17%).

However, compared to their EU peers, firms in Malta stand out by allocating a larger share of their investments to investments in land, business buildings and infrastructure and a lower share to investments in machinery and equipment.

Four per cent of firms in Malta have invested in another country in the last financial year, with an average of 12% across the EU as a whole.

Eight per cent of firms in construction/infrastructure and two per cent of firms in the services sector invested abroad. No firms in the manufacturing sector reported foreign investment activities in the last financial year.
Investments in Malta are dominated by replacement of existing buildings, machinery, equipment and IT (irrespective of their size), followed by capacity expansion and new products or services, closely in line with the pattern across the EU.

For nearly two in five (37%) firms, the investment priority for the coming 3 years is to replace existing buildings, machinery, equipment and IT, similar to the EU average.

In line with other EU countries, nearly a third (32%) of firms in Malta plan to invest in new products, processes or services in the next 3 years, and the proportion increases to 42% among micro and small firms.

Over a fifth (22%) of firms name the capacity expansion as their main investment priority going forward, again similar to the pattern across the EU.
Three out of four (75%) firms in Malta consider their investment over the last three years to have been of the right size, in line with the EU average.

Nearly one in ten firms (8%) believe that their investment expenditure was too much.

Similar to the EU figure, 14% of the firms state that invested too little in the last three years (relative to their needs).

Micro and small firms are more likely than the overall to state that they have invested too little (17% versus 14% overall).

The share of firms in Malta reporting operations at the maximum capacity in the last financial year is 69%, 18 percentage points more than the EU average figure.

The proportion operating at or above full capacity is 84% in the construction and the infrastructure sectors, 65% in the services sector and 56% in the manufacturing sector.
The average share of machinery and equipment owned by firms in Malta that is considered to be state-of-the-art stands at 55%, significantly higher than the EU average of 44%.

Among firms in construction/infrastructure the average stands at 60%, among firms in the services sector it is 54% and in the manufacturing sector the average is 50%.

Overall the share of building stock in Malta meeting high efficiency standards is 58%, again well above the average for the EU (40%).

Medium and large firms are significantly more likely overall to report a higher share (67% versus 58% overall).
Malta is one of the few countries across the EU where in net terms, firms believe that the influence of the political and regulatory climate contributes positively to their ability to implement planned investment.

Across all dimensions, firms in Malta consider the availability of internal finance, and business prospects to be favourable.

**Short term influences on investment**

- **Political and regulatory climate**
  - Malta negative net balance: -20%
  - Malta positive net balance: 80%
  - EU negative net balance: -20%
  - EU positive net balance: 0%

- **Overall economic climate**
  - Malta negative net balance: -20%
  - Malta positive net balance: 0%
  - EU negative net balance: -20%
  - EU positive net balance: 0%

- **Business prospects in the sector**
  - Malta negative net balance: -20%
  - Malta positive net balance: 0%
  - EU negative net balance: -20%
  - EU positive net balance: 0%

- **Availability of external finance**
  - Malta negative net balance: -20%
  - Malta positive net balance: 80%
  - EU negative net balance: -20%
  - EU positive net balance: 0%

- **Availability of internal finance**
  - Malta negative net balance: -20%
  - Malta positive net balance: 80%
  - EU negative net balance: -20%
  - EU positive net balance: 0%

**Base:** All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year.

Q. How do each of the following affect your ability to carry out your planned investment. Does it affect it positively or negatively, or make no difference at all?

*Net balance is the share of firms seeing a positive effect minus the share of firms seeing a negative effect*

Among firms that report that their investment in the last three years has been below needs, while still positive, are somewhat less enthusiastic about the role of the political and regulatory climate with regard to the implementation of their planned investment.
- Lack of staff with the right skills is the main long term obstacle to investment in Malta; 56% of the firms consider lack of skilled staffs a major obstacle, while 30% of the firms consider it a minor obstacle.

- Around one in five firms consider future uncertainty, finance availability, business and labour market regulations and the demand for their products or services as major obstacles for investment activities.

- The cost of energy is more beneficial to firms in Malta than the EU average, with 1.3% of Maltese firms considering it a major issue (7 percentage points less than the corresponding EU average).

### Long term barriers to investment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstacle</th>
<th>EU average</th>
<th>Share of firms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty about the future</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate transport infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour market regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to digital infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of staff with right skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for products or services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Base:** All firms (data not shown for those who said not an obstacle at all/don’t know/refused)

Q. Thinking about your investment activities in Malta, to what extent is each of the following an obstacle? Is a major obstacle, a minor obstacle or not an obstacle at all?

### Long term barriers by investment performance

- Firms that have invested too little in the past three years, are significantly more likely to cite business regulation; uncertainty; demand for new products and access to digital infrastructure as long-term barriers to their investment activities.
Firms in Malta largely depend on internal funds for financing their investment activities (80% against the EU average of 60%).

Bank loans and other types of bank finance are the dominant sources of external finance.

Firms in Malta are generally satisfied with the amount of external finance obtained; but slightly less so with the cost of external finance and the corresponding collateral requirements.

Type of external finance used for investment activities

Source of investment finance

Base: All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Q. Approximately what proportion of your investment in the last financial year was financed by each of the following?

*Caution: very small base size of less than 30

Satisfaction with external finance

Base: All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Q. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with ...?

*Caution: very small base size of less than 30

** Data not shown as only 4 companies asked question
Overall firms in Malta want more of the type of external finance they are already using.

However, firms overall would like to have bond issuance play a much more prominent role in their financing mix.

Firms in Malta are relatively finance constrained (14% of firms compared to 5% across the EU). The proportion of finance constrained firms increases to 27% among firms in the construction and infrastructure sectors.
PROFILE OF FIRMS

Contribution to Value-Added

Base: All firms
The charts reflect the relative contribution to value-added by firms belonging to a particular size class / sector in the population of firms considered. That is, all firms with 5 or more employees active in the sectors covered by the survey. Micro: 5-9 employees; Small: 10-49; Medium: 50-249; Large: 250+.

Employment dynamics in last 3 years

Base: All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses)
Q. Thinking about the number of people employed by your company, by how much has it changed in the last 3 years?

- Large firms represent nearly a quarter of the firms in Malta (24%), below the EU average of 50%. On the other hand, SME companies are significantly overrepresented (76% versus an average of 50%).

- The sectors profile of firms surveyed in Malta are similar to the EU profile.

- Employment dynamics in Malta are skewed towards employment expansion, with 28% of the firms reporting more than 21% employment in the last 3 years, compared to the EU average of 15%.

- Firms are very diverse in terms of their productivity performance: nearly 60% of Maltese manufacturing firms fall into the top EU productivity quintile (of manufacturing firms); while 70% of construction firms fall into the bottom EU quintile (of construction firms).
In 2015, aggregate investment in Malta increased 40% more than the equivalent 2008 investment levels, which enabled the country to reach the pre-crisis trend.

- Investment in dwellings still has not recovered since the crisis.
- The strong upturn in machinery and equipment investment in 2015 originated on the back of a number of large-scale projects and investment in EU-funded projects.
The final data are based on a sample, rather than the entire population of firms in Malta, so the percentage results are subject to sampling tolerances. These vary with the size of the sample and the percentage figure concerned.

Approximate sampling tolerances applicable to percentages at or near these levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Malta</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Construction/Infrastructure</th>
<th>Micro/Small</th>
<th>Medium/Large</th>
<th>EU vs Country</th>
<th>Manufacturing: Construction/Infrastructure</th>
<th>Micro/Small vs Medium/Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(12483)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>(37)</td>
<td>(104)</td>
<td>(56)</td>
<td>(12483 vs 160)</td>
<td>(38 vs 37)</td>
<td>(104 vs 56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% or 90%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% or 70%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Glossary**

**Investment**
A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities with the intention of maintaining or increasing the company’s future earnings.

**Investment cycle**
Based on the expected investment in current financial year compared to last one, and the proportion of firms with a share of investment greater than EUR 500 per employee.

**Productivity**
Total factor productivity is a measure of how efficiently a firm is converting inputs (capital and labor) into output (value-added). It is estimated by means of an industry-by-industry regression analysis (with country dummies).

**Manufacturing sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group C (manufacturing).

**Construction sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group F (construction).

**Services sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group G (wholesale and retail trade) and group I (accommodation and food services activities).

**Infrastructure sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in groups D and E (utilities), group H (transportation and storage) and group J (information and communication).

**SME**
Firms with between 5 and 249 employees.

**Large firms**
Firms with at least 250 employees.
## Base sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base definition and page reference</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Malta</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Construction / Infrastructure</th>
<th>Micro / Small</th>
<th>Medium / Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All firms, p. 3, p. 6, p. 7, p. 9, p. 11, p. 12, p. 13</td>
<td>12483</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 2</td>
<td>11838</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding those who have no investment planned/don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>12159</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding ‘Company didn’t exist three years ago’ responses), p. 6</td>
<td>12453</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses), p. 13</td>
<td>12162</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 2</td>
<td>12281</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 4</td>
<td>10881</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 4</td>
<td>10060</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>9682</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10</td>
<td>9093</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses), p. 8</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10, p. 11</td>
<td>4344</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage rounding

Percentages with value of less than 0.5 but greater than zero have not been shown in the charts.