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About the EIB Investment Survey (EIBIS)
The EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance is a unique, EU-wide, annual survey of 12,500 firms. It collects data on firm characteristics and performance, past investment activities and future plans, sources of finance, financing issues and other challenges that businesses face. Using a stratified sampling methodology, EIBIS is representative across all 28 member States of the EU, as well as for firm size classes (micro to large) and 4 main sectors. It is designed to build a panel of observations to support time series analysis, observations that can also be linked to firm balance sheet and profit and loss data. EIBIS has been developed and is managed by the Economics Department of the EIB, with support to development and implementation by Ipsos MORI. For more information see: http://www.eib.org/eibis.

About this publication
This Country Overview is one of a series covering each of the 28 EU Member States, plus an EU-wide overview. These are intended to provide an accessible snapshot of the data. For the purpose of these publications, data is weighted by value-added to better reflect the contribution of different firms to economic output. Contact: eibis@eib.org.

About the Economics Department of the EIB
The mission of the EIB Economics Department is to provide economic analyses and studies to support the Bank in its operations and in the definition of its positioning, strategy and policy. The Department, a team of 30 economists, is headed by Debora Revoltella, Director of Economics.

Main contributors to this publication
Georg Weiers, EIB.

Disclaimer
The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the EIB.

About Ipsos Public Affairs
Ipsos Public Affairs works closely with national governments, local public services and the not-for-profit sector, as well as international and supranational organizations. Its c.200 research staff in London and Brussels focus on public service and policy issues. Each has expertise in a particular part of the public sector, ensuring we have a detailed understanding of specific sectors and policy challenges. This, combined with our methodological and communications expertise, helps ensure that our research makes a difference for decision makers and communities.
EIBIS 2016 – COUNTRY OVERVIEW

Germany

The annual EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance (EIBIS) is an EU-wide survey of 12,500 firms that gathers quantitative information on investment activities by both SMEs and larger corporates, their financing requirements and the difficulties they face.

As the EU bank, the EIB Group responds to the need to accelerate investment to strengthen job creation and long-term competitiveness and sustainability across all 28 EU member States.

EIBIS helps the EIB to contribute to a policy response that properly addresses the needs of businesses, promoting investment.

This country overview presents selected findings based on telephone interviews with 605 firms in Germany in 2016 (July-October). Note: The results are weighted by value-added, reflecting firms’ contribution to the economy.

Key results

**Investment outlook:** In Germany the service sector is somewhat neutral but other sectors are on balance positive about their investment outlook. More firms are increasing investment (34%) than reducing it (26%) in line with the EU average.

**Investment activity:** 85% of firms in Germany invested in last financial year. Intensity of investment (investment per employee) is above the EU average.

**Investment gap:** 81% of firms say their investment has been the right amount over the last three years which is in line with the EU average. On average firms in Germany say half of their building stock satisfies high efficiency standards, which is considerably above the EU average.

**Investment barriers:** Political and regulatory climate is perceived to be the main short term barrier to implementing investment, similar to the EU. The economic climate is less of a concern in Germany than across the EU as a whole.

**External finance:** Six per cent of firms are finance constrained, compared with 5% EU-wide. This is the proportion of firms dissatisfied with the amount of finance obtained, sought finance but did not receive it, did not seek finance because they thought borrowing costs were too high or that they would be turned down.

**Firm performance:** Firms have higher productivity compared to the EU average, except for in the service sector.
Overall 85% of firms in Germany invested in the last financial year compared to 84% across the EU as a whole.

The intensity of investment (investment per employee) in Germany is above the EU average.

Investment activity in Germany was more than previous year compared to the EU as a whole.

More firms in Germany increased their investment activity in 2015 (36%) than reduced it (19%) – representing a net balance of +17 slightly higher than the EU average of +15.

Infrastructure sector firms in Germany were more likely than average to have increased their investment activity in 2015.
On balance more firms are increasing investment (33%) in Germany than decreasing it (26%), consistent with expected strong macro-economic investment figures for the year.

The proportion of firms expecting to invest less than in the previous year is highest in the service and manufacturing sectors (both 27%) and lowest in the construction sector (19%, versus 26% overall).

Investment cycle

While the service sector is on balance somewhat neutral, all other sectors are on balance positive about their investment outlook.

Large firms and the infrastructure sector, while still showing relatively high levels of investment activity, expect to expand further still.
- Almost half of investment spend in Germany is on machinery and equipment (48%), followed by land, business buildings and infrastructure (16%). The pattern is similar to the EU as a whole.
- The gap is much smaller for service sector companies in Germany – 37% of their investment spend is on machinery and equipment, and 22% on land, business buildings and infrastructure – but there are few notable differences by size or sector.

**Investment abroad**

- Only ten per cent of firms in Germany invested in another country in the last financial year, which is similar to the EU average of 12%.
- Large companies in Germany are significantly more likely than SMEs to invest abroad (14% vs. 4%).
- Only two per cent of construction companies in Germany say they invested abroad in 2015.
The majority of investment in Germany is driven by the need to replace existing buildings, machinery, equipment and IT, in line with the pattern across the EU.

Capacity expansion is more common among large companies than SMEs in Germany (comprising 27% vs. 18% of total investment).

The manufacturing sector is more likely than average to invest in developing or introducing new products, processes or services.

For nearly half of firms in Germany planning to invest in the next three years (48%), the priority is replacing existing buildings, machinery, equipment and IT. This is higher than the EU average of 40%.

Firms in the construction sector in Germany consider capacity expansion to be a lower priority than those in other sectors.
Almost eight in ten firms in Germany (77%) believe their investment over the last three years was about the right amount – 15% report investing too little, and only four per cent think they invested too much.

All of the above figures are in line with the EU average.

Over half of firms in Germany report operating at or above maximum capacity in the last financial year (56%), compared with an EU average of 51% of firms operating at full capacity.

Construction firms in Germany are more likely than average to report operating at or above full capacity (70%) which is in line with the uptake in investments in dwellings in recent years.
The average share of machinery and equipment owned by firms in Germany that is considered to be state-of-the-art is significantly higher than the EU average (62% for firms in Germany versus 44% for the EU).

The share of state-of-the-art machinery and equipment owned by firms in Germany is higher than average for construction firms (69%) and SMEs (65%).

Firms in Germany say half of their building stock (51%) satisfies high energy efficiency standards, which is considerably above the EU average (40%).
INVESTMENT CONSTRAINTS

- The political and regulatory climate is cited as the main barrier to implementing planned investment in Germany in the current financial year, in line with findings at EU level.
- Firms in Germany are more positive about the influence of the overall economic climate in their investment behaviour than firms across the EU as a whole.

Short term influences on investment

Firms in Germany that invested too little over the past three years are generally more cautious in considering influences to be positive or negative than firms that invested sufficiently during that time.

Firms that invested sufficiently
Firms that invested too little

Political and regulatory climate
Overall economic climate
Business prospects in the sector
Availability of external finance
Availability of internal finance

Base: All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses)

Q. How do each of the following affect your ability to carry out your planned investment. Does it affect it positively or negatively, or make no difference at all?

*Net balance is the share of firms seeing a positive effect minus the share of firms seeing a negative effect
Almost half of firms in Germany consider availability of staff with the right skills as a major obstacle to investment over the longer term, which is well above the EU average (48% vs. 37%).

One in four firms in Germany consider business regulations, uncertainty about the future and demand for products or services as major obstacles to investment.

Long term barriers to investment

For firms in Germany that report their investment in the past three years has been below their needs, the main obstacle is availability of staff with right skills.

Long term barriers by investment performance

For firms in Germany that report their investment in the past three years has been below their needs, the main obstacle is availability of staff with right skills.
Firms in both Germany and the EU rely to a large extent on internal funds to finance their investment activities (64% of activity in Germany and 60% EU-wide).

Bank loans are the most common source of external finance, particularly for the service sector.

Firms that used external finance are on balance satisfied with the amount, cost, maturity, collateral and type of finance received (and in each case more firms are very rather than fairly satisfied).

**Type of external finance used for investment activities**

**Satisfaction with external finance**

**Source of investment finance**

**Base:** All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Q. Approximately what proportion of your investment in the last financial year was financed by each of the following?

**Base:** All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Q. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with …?
- Overall firms in Germany want more of the type of external finance they are already using.
- Six per cent of firms in Germany can be considered finance constrained, compared to 5% across the EU as a whole.

### Share of finance constrained firms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Share of firms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EU</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Rejected**
- **Received less**
- **Too expensive**
- **Discouraged**

**Base:** All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Data is derived from two questions: firms were first asked about the types of external finance used in the last financial year and then which type of external finance they would want to have a more prominent role over the next 3 years.

---

**Types of finance used versus the one type of finance firms want to use more**
More than half the value added in Germany that is part of this survey comes from large companies (54%). This is similar to the EU average of 50%.

Employment change is less favourable in Germany than the EU average – the EU average sees more favourable employment growth which is in line with already high employment figures in Germany and reported hiring constraints.

Except for the service sector, firms in Germany have higher productivity compared to the EU average. Within Germany, the construction and manufacturing sector have the highest productivity.

**Base:** All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses)

Q. Thinking about the number of people employed by your company, by how much has it changed in the last 3 years?

**Distribution of firms by productivity class**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Bottom EU Quintile</th>
<th>2nd EU Quintile</th>
<th>3rd EU Quintile</th>
<th>4th EU Quintile</th>
<th>Top EU Quintile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Share of firms by productivity class (Total Factor Productivity). Productivity classes are sector specific; they are defined on the basis of the entire EU sample (for a particular sector).
**Investment Dynamics over time**

- Investment levels, after a drop in 2009 that recovered quickly, have been mostly stable and are even increasing slightly. Overall the level is well above the pre crisis trend.
- Household investments show an even faster rebound based largely on buoyant construction investments.

**Investment Dynamics by Institutional Sector**

**Investment Dynamics by Asset Class**

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by asset class. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.
The final data are based on a sample, rather than the entire population of firms in Germany, so the percentage results are subject to sampling tolerances. These vary with the size of the sample and the percentage figure concerned.

**Glossary**

**Investment**
A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities with the intention of maintaining or increasing the company’s future earnings.

**Investment cycle**
Based on the expected investment in current financial year compared to last one, and the proportion of firms with a share of investment greater than EUR 500 per employee.

**Productivity**
Total factor productivity is a measure of how efficiently a firm is converting inputs (capital and labor) into output (value-added). It is estimated by means of a country-by-country regression analysis (with industry dummies).

**Manufacturing sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group C (manufacturing).

**Construction sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group F (construction).

**Services sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group G (wholesale and retail trade) and group I (accommodation and food services activities).

**Infrastructure sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in groups D and E (utilities), group H (transportation and storage) and group J (information and communication).

**SME**
Firms with between 5 and 249 employees

**Large firms**
Firms with at least 250 employees
## Base sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base definition and page reference</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All firms, p. 3, p. 6, p. 7, p. 9, p. 11, p. 12, p. 13</td>
<td>12483</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 2</td>
<td>11838</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding those who have no investment planned/don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>12159</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding ‘Company didn’t exist three years ago’ responses), p. 6</td>
<td>12453</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses), p. 13</td>
<td>12162</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 2</td>
<td>12281</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 4</td>
<td>10881</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 4</td>
<td>10060</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>9682</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10</td>
<td>9093</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses), p. 8</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10, p. 11</td>
<td>4344</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Percentage rounding

Percentage with value of less than 0.5 but greater than zero has not been displayed in the charts.