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The annual EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance (EIBIS) is an EU-wide survey of 12,500 firms that gathers quantitative information on investment activities by both SMEs and larger corporates, their financing requirements and the difficulties they face.

As the EU bank, the EIB Group responds to the need to accelerate investment to strengthen job creation and long-term competitiveness and sustainability across all 28 EU member States. EIBIS helps the EIB to contribute to a policy response that properly addresses the needs of businesses, promoting investment.

This country overview presents selected findings based on telephone interviews with 480 firms in Belgium in 2016 (July-October). Note: The results are weighted by value-added, reflecting firms’ contribution to the economy.

Key results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment outlook:</th>
<th>Investment outlook is positive overall; the investment dynamics are, to a large extent, driven by bigger enterprises.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment activity:</td>
<td>88% of firms invested in the last financial year, with an intensity (investment per employee) close to the EU average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment gap:</td>
<td>12% of firms report having invested too little over the last three years, while only 5% think they invested too much. Overall, firms in Belgium consider 42% of their machinery and equipment to be state-of-the-art, similar to the EU average of 44%. On average, firms estimate 36% of their building stock to satisfy high efficiency standards, again in line with the EU average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment barriers:</td>
<td>Political and regulatory climate, and uncertainty about the future are perceived to be the main barriers in the long run to implementing investment, in line with the EU-wide trends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External finance:</td>
<td>6% of firms are finance constrained: this is the proportion of firms either dissatisfied with the amount of finance they received, had their application rejected, thought borrowing costs would be too high or were discouraged from applying; in line with the EU average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm performance:</td>
<td>Firms are at the EU-average levels in terms of productivity, although there is less within-sector dispersion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey results indicate that 88% of companies in Belgium invested in the last financial year, in line with the EU average.

Manufacturing companies were more likely than firms in other sectors to invest (93%, vs. 88% overall).

The intensity of investment (investment per employee) stood at around EUR 7600 per employee, rising to 16,890 for firms in the infrastructure sector.

**Investment activity in last financial year compared to previous**

![Investment activity in last financial year](chart)

**Base:** All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

*The blue bars indicate the proportion of firms who have invested in the last financial year. A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities. Investment intensity is the median investment per employee of investing firms.*

- Overall, investment activities in Belgium are in line with the EU average, with the net balance pointing towards investment expansion in all but the service sector.

- On average firms in Belgium expanded their investment activities, with 32% of companies investing more and 20% of firms reducing their investment compared to the last financial year.
Investment outlook remains in line with the EU, and is largely stable across the sectors. The net figures highlight a modest investment contraction among SME firms.

Nearly one third (32%) of firms in Belgium plan to expand their investments, while around a quarter (26%) forecast a contraction in the current financial year.

Investment reduction is foreseen mostly in the SME sector, while large enterprises have stable investment plans.

### Investment cycle

The firms’ investment activity places Belgium in the ‘high investment; expanding’ quadrant on the investment cycle.

While construction and service sectors show relatively lower levels of investment activity, they plan to expand in the current financial year.
Investment areas of companies in Belgium are consistent to EU trends of investment.

- 44% of investments fall into machinery and equipment, followed by 19% spent on land, business buildings and infrastructure.
- Intangibles constitute almost 40% of investments in Belgium and are dominated by software, data, IT, website (12%) and training (10%).

Investment abroad

- Nearly a fifth (19%) of firms in Belgium have invested abroad, above the EU average of 12%.
- Investment abroad was mainly dominated by large companies and firms in the manufacturing sector (32% and 33% respectively).
The majority of firm investment (53%) goes into the replacement of existing buildings, machinery, equipment and IT, in line with the EU aggregate.

While 26% of firms’ investment is allocated to the expansion of capacity, 17% goes into the development of new products, processes or services.

Looking ahead, the investment priority for 38% of firms is replacement; followed by the development of new products, processes or services (30%); and capacity expansion (24%).

Large companies are more likely to prioritise investment in capacity expansion than larger firms going forward.
- Over eight in ten (82%) invested the right amount over the last three years, in line with the EU average.
- Overall, 12% of companies in Belgium report that they invested too little, which is also in line with the EU average.

**Perceived investment gap**

- Nearly half (49%) of companies in Belgium reported that they operated at or above their capacity, which closely corresponds to the EU as a whole.
- Besides the manufacturing sector, capacity utilisation is largely consistent across sectors and firm size.

**Share of firms at or above full capacity**

- Base: All firms (data not shown for those operating somewhat or substantially below full capacity)

Full capacity is the maximum capacity attainable under normal conditions e.g., company's general practices regarding the utilization of machines and equipment, overtime, work shifts, holidays etc.

Q. In the last financial year, was your company operating above or at maximum capacity attainable under normal circumstances?
Overall, firms in Belgium consider 42% of their machinery and equipment to be state-of-the-art, similar to the EU average of 44%.

The above proportion is consistent across the different sectors and sizes.

Firms in Belgium estimate 36% of their building stock to satisfy high efficiency standards, again in line with the EU average.
The political and regulatory climate is cited as the main short term obstacle to investment for firms in Belgium, in line with European trends.

Overall, firms consider business prospects, availability of external and internal finances as favourable to investment in Belgium.

Firms in Belgium that invested too little over the past three years are generally more cautious in considering influences to be positive or negative than firms that invested sufficiently during that time. Among firms that say they invested too little, the economic climate seems to be non-favourable, confirming the modest growth prospects of Belgian economy.

Q. How do each of the following affect your ability to carry out your planned investment. Does it affect it positively or negatively, or make no difference at all? *Net balance is the share of firms seeing a positive effect minus the share of firms seeing a negative effect

Base: All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses)

Q. How do each of the following affect your ability to carry out your planned investment. Does it affect it positively or negatively, or make no difference at all? *Net balance is the share of firms seeing a positive effect minus the share of firms seeing a negative effect

Base: All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year
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Firms that invested sufficiently
Firms that invested too little
- Uncertainty about the future appears to be the most significant long term barrier to investment, the same as in the EU on average.
- Nearly 60% of companies in Belgium report that availability of staff with the right skills is a barrier.
- Almost half of firms consider business and labour market regulations and energy costs as long term impediments to investment.

Long term barriers to investment

- The availability of external finances, business and labour market regulations are perceived as stronger long term barriers for firms reporting to have invested too little (compared to firms that consider to have invested sufficiently).

### Long term barriers by investment performance

- **Firms that invested sufficiently**
- **Firms that invested too little**

- **Uncertainty about the future**
- **Availability of external finance**
- **Adequate transport infrastructure**
- **Business regulations**
- **Labour market regulations**
- **Access to digital infrastructure**
- **Energy costs**
- **Availability of staff with right skills**
- **Demand for products or services**

**Base:** All firms (data not shown for those who said not an obstacle at all/don’t know/refused)

Q. Thinking about your investment activities in Belgium, to what extent is each of the following an obstacle? Is a major obstacle, a minor obstacle or not an obstacle at all?
Nearly three in five (59%) companies in Belgium rely on internal funds to finance their investment activities, in line with the EU average.

Bank loans are the most popular source of external finance.

Although firms in Belgium are fairly satisfied with external finance, collateral requirements are seen by 15% as unsatisfactory.

Type of external finance used for investment activities

Source of investment finance

Satisfaction with external finance

EIB Group Survey on Investment and Investment Finance 2016 Country overview: Belgium
Companies in Belgium would like to concentrate their external financing in bank loans and, to a lower extent, in leasing. This suggests a comfort among firms in Belgium with traditional finance activities.

Six per cent of firms in Belgium can be viewed as external-finance constrained, in line with the EU average.

Share of finance constrained firms

- Bank loan
- Factoring
- Equity
- Leasing
- Bonds
- Overdraft
- Rejected
- Received less
- Too expensive
- Discouraged

Base: All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses)

Data is derived from two questions: firms were first asked about the types of external finance used in the last financial year and then which one type of external finance they would want to have a more prominent role over the next 3 years.
**PROFILE OF FIRMS**

**Contribution to Value-Added**

- The distribution of firms in Belgium by size and sector in terms of contribution to added-value corresponds to the EU distribution.
- Overall, employment in Belgium is marginally skewed towards employment reduction, compared to the EU-wide numbers.
- Productivity of more than a third of firms in the manufacturing and service sectors falls into the top EU quantiles, and is above the EU averages.

**Employment dynamics in last 3 years**

- The charts reflect the relative contribution to value-added by firms belonging to a particular size class/sector in the population of firms considered. That is, all firms with 5 or more employees active in the sectors covered by the survey. Micro: 5-9 employees; Small: 10-49; Medium: 50-249; Large: 250+.

**Distribution of firms by productivity class**

- Base: All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses)
- Q. Thinking about the number of people employed by your company, by how much has it changed in the last 3 years?
In 2014 the aggregate investment recovered to the 2008 levels and continues an increasing trend.

Investment seems to be driven mostly by corporates and government, with households consecutively cutting down investment expenditures.

Investment is to be observed in IIP and other buildings and structures, whereas machinery and equipment still underperform.

---

**MACROECONOMIC INVESTMENT CONTEXT**

**Investment Dynamics over time**

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); against the series ‘pre-crisis trend. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.

**Investment Dynamics by Institutional Sector**

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by institutional sector. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.

**Investment Dynamics by Asset Class**

The graph shows the evolution of total Gross Fixed Capital Formation (in real terms); by asset class. The data has been indexed to equal 100 in 2008. Source: Eurostat.
The final data are based on a sample, rather than the entire population of firms in Belgium, so the percentage results are subject to sampling tolerances. These vary with the size of the sample and the percentage figure concerned.

### Approximate sampling tolerances applicable to percentages at or near these levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Belgium</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large</th>
<th>EU vs Country</th>
<th>Manufacturing vs Construction</th>
<th>SME vs Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(12483)</td>
<td>(480)</td>
<td>(148)</td>
<td>(91)</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>(133)</td>
<td>(384)</td>
<td>(96)</td>
<td>(12483 vs 480)</td>
<td>(148 vs 91)</td>
<td>(384 vs 96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Glossary

**Investment**
A firm is considered to have invested if it spent more than EUR 500 per employee on investment activities with the intention of maintaining or increasing the company’s future earnings.

**Investment cycle**
Based on the expected investment in current financial year compared to last one, and the proportion of firms with a share of investment greater than EUR 500 per employee.

**Productivity**
Total factor productivity is a measure of how efficiently a firm is converting inputs (capital and labor) into output (value-added). It is estimated by means of an industry-by-industry regression analysis (with country dummies).

**Manufacturing sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group C (manufacturing).

**Construction sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group F (construction).

**Services sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in group G (wholesale and retail trade) and group I (accommodation and food services activities).

**Infrastructure sector**
Based on the NACE classification of economic activities, firms in groups D and E (utilities), group H (transportation and storage) and group J (information and communication).

**SME**
Firms with between 5 and 249 employees.

**Large firms**
Firms with at least 250 employees.
## Base sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base definition and page reference</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>Belgium</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All firms, p. 3, p. 6, p. 7, p. 9, p. 11, p. 12, p. 13</td>
<td>12483</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 2</td>
<td>11838</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding those who have no investment planned/don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>12159</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding ‘Company didn’t exist three years ago’ responses), p. 6</td>
<td>12453</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms (excluding don’t know, refused and missing responses), p. 13</td>
<td>12162</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 2</td>
<td>12281</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year, p. 4</td>
<td>10881</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 4</td>
<td>10060</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 5</td>
<td>9682</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who invested in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10</td>
<td>9093</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who have planned to invest in the current financial year and who invested too much, about the right amount or too little in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused/company didn’t exist three years ago responses), p. 8</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms who used external finance in the last financial year (excluding don’t know/refused responses), p. 10, p. 11</td>
<td>4344</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage rounding

Percentage with value of less than 0.5 but greater than zero has not been displayed in the charts.