

The Department of Roads Resettlement
and the Division of Environment
Protection of the Roads Department
of Georgia of Governmental Subdivision Institution
of the Ministry of Regional Development
and Infrastructure of Georgia

From the Individual _____, residing at: _____

Tel.: _____

STATEMENT

Respectful Mrs. _____! I got acquainted with the Mutual Act Project drawn up on April 14, 2016, with which I fully disagree, and I would not sign the present Agreement with regard to the following reasons:

In the Mutual Act Project was indicated, that I allegedly due to some reasons at present cannot fulfill the conclusion of the Purchasing Agreement of the land plot, which is not true. I agree to conclude the above-mentioned Purchasing Agreement of the Real Estate interesting to you, even today, only with the conditions where should be payment by the reasonable price in amount of approximately 12, ~~13~~ GEL per one square meter. And not the price 5,3 GEL for one square meter offered by you.

And the reason why do I disagree to conclude the Purchasing Agreement Project offered by you, I have already informed you and Georgian Representation of European Investment Bank with regard to my opinion to this issue with the Letter, but, in addition, let me remind you, that the Real

Estate with cadastral code : [REDACTED] is the fish farming pool, and you make its assessment as considering it the arable agricultural land instead of fish farming pond.

You should also mention, that I allegedly on this stage cannot receive the compensation sum prescribed by the Resettlement Action Plan, though before the conclusion of Purchase Agreement, I am not against to perform the constructional works with regard to the car highway (on the above-mentioned section area), which is also not correct, and with this conditions I would not sign the Mutual Act, due to the following reasons:

Though I am not against to take the compensation sum in compliance with tariff stipulated with the Resettlement Action Plan, which shall correspond the price for the fish farming pool, which by my calculations is 12,13 Gel per one square meter. But I am categorically against to any constructional works performed on the Real Estate being in my ownership by you before the conclusion the Purchase Agreement (in amount of remuneration reasonable and suitable for me).

Respectfully,

/signed/

To the Georgian Representation of European Investment Bank

Form the Individual , residing at:

Tel.:

Tel.

STATEMENT

Let me remind you, that there is a land of 48 Ha area in my private ownership, which is located at of and which is completely cultivated with the whole fish farming ponds system cycle.

The above-mentioned economy is the only means of profit and existence of my business, and the large family's and not only my family's source for living.

How not to rejoice, but unfortunately for me, but through the above-mentioned and plot being in my ownership crossed the Samtredia-Grigoleti Car Highway, and completely covered my fish farming ponds.

The Department of Roads Resettlement and the Division of Environment Protection of the Roads Department of Georgia of Governmental Subdivision Institution of the Ministry of Regional Development and Infrastructure of Georgia considered as the pond the part of the ponds, in particular: up to 20 Ha area with the cadastral code and

If during the checking there was no fish and water, this should have been my problem, as the price for fish should not have been included in the full price, and the compensation price should have been calculated in compliance with the price for the empty pond.

In addition, it should be mentioned, that the small parts of the fish farming pond being in my ownership, which did not get into the accounting, which inflicts me a greatest loss.

In near future we will present you the Expert's Conclusions regarding to that the considered by them arable agricultural is actually the fish farming pool, and the land plot parts left without any compensation are Unusable for its intended purpose.

As it is clear for us, the potential buyer obviously violates my interests and rights of ownership protected by Law. In particular, as the price for the Purchasing Subject I was offered rather less price, which I should be actually paid. And in fact, tries to expropriate without charge the Land plot being in my ownership.

By the above-mentioned action they strictly violate my rights stipulated by an Article 41 of the Constitution of Georgia and by the First Added Protocol of European Convention.

Please, as for this regards to you competence, make the appropriate reaction.

The copy of the above-mentioned Letter I sent to the potential buyer , and he is also aware, with regard to why I did not sign the Purchasing Agreement Project.

declared the will to purchase that area which was crossed by the Car Highway, and this area consisted of approximately 16068 sq. m., and the price per each square meter was calculated in compliance with the price for the ponds, and its price was indicated by a low tariff, which does not exceed 5.3 GEL per one square meter.

As the reason for such circumstance was mentioned that on February 2014, these pools were dried and I planted the corn and soya there, with the purpose that after a few months, during the bringing this fish into that pond the pond should be provided with the feed.

Such practice in the field of fish farming is rather tested, high effective and justified, when the pond give such opportunity. The above-mentioned methods much more cheapens the expenditures for fish feeding.

In a few days after the checking I run fish into the pools and it is for the 2nd year I have been producing the silver carp breed in this pool.

Somehow next year this action was considered on the above-mentioned place as economy arrangement and the action fulfilled after the maximum period, whereas the about-mentioned fish farming pond was not arranged after the deadline period. This pond was existing from the beginning. And similarly I have bought it on the Internet auction on January 18, 2014.

The Department of Card Roads of Georgia during the indication of the purchasing territory's category and compensation amount violated the Requirement necessary for society needs with regard to the Property Confiscation Order, in compliance with an Article 11 of Georgia Law. If fish farming pond was even empty during the checking, it should be considered as the pond anyway, and the price for the Purchasing Subject should not be calculated as the Arable agricultural land, but its price should have been calculated as the price for fish farming pond.

The Enclosure the copy of the Statement was sent to the Department of Car Roads of Georgia of two pages.

Respectfully,

/signed/

(15/04/2016)